| | WN OF NORTH CASTLE | E PLANNING DEPARTM | ENT | | |
|--|------------------------------|---|----------------------|--|--|
| February 15, 2022 APPLICATION NUMBER - NAME | | | SBL | | |
| #2020-043– 2 Tripp La | | | SBL 108.02-1-11 | | |
| | , Wetlands Permit and Ti | ee Removal Permit | | | |
| Approvals | | | | | |
| MEETING DATE | | | PROPERTY ADDRESS | LOCATION | |
| February 28, 2022 | | | 2 Tripp Lane | | |
| BRIEF SUMMARY OF | REQUEST | | 8 4 4 4 | | |
| It has been found by the Building Department that the property owner clear cut all the vegetation and trees within the wetlands on their property as well as removed trees without a permit. | | | | a start and a start a st | |
| | y extension has been cons | ng Department found that a tructed without filing for the | 87 m | | |
| The site plan application above with the Town of Network | | lize the activities described | | And the second sec | |
| PENDING ACTION: Plan Review Town Board Referral Preliminary Discussion | | | | | |
| EXISTING ZONING | EXISTING LAND | SURROUNDING | SITE | SIZE OF PROPERTY | |
| EXISTING ZOMING | USE | ZONING & LAND USE | IMPROVEMENTS | SIZE OF PROPERTY | |
| | 002 | | | | |
| R-2A Zoning District | Single Family Residential | Residential & Institutional (School) | Legalization | 2.18 acres | |
| | | | | | |
| PROPERTY HISTORY | | COMPATIBILITY with t | the COMPREHENSIVE PL | _AN | |
| STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS & PLANNING E | | Continue to take neighborhood context into account in approving new single-family homes. Continue to protect natural resources and environmentally sensitive areas such as rivers, streams, lakes, ponds, wetlands, flood plains, aquifers, wildlife habitats, steep slopes and forested areas, significant trees, and woodlands, among others, from unnecessary and avoidable impacts. Continue strong protection of tree cover through the tree removal permitting process. Preserve the current overall development pattern of North Castle and its neighborhoods. Be sure new development responds to environmental constraints, particularly for preservation of the New York City watershed. Maintain the quality-of-life created by physical and natural attributes, by structuring development that promotes sound conservation measures. The Town should encourage residential development that is compatible in scale, density, and character with its neighborhood and natural environment. | | | |
| The Planning Board should direct the Applicant to address the comments contained in this memo and resubmit to the Planning Board for further discussion. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

OS NORTH AL

Γ

| Procedural Comments | Staff Notes |
|---|--|
| 1. The Proposed Action would be classified as a Type II Action pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA). | Construction, expansion or placement of minor accessory/appurtenant residential structures, including garages, carports, patios, decks, swimming pools, tennis courts, satellite dishes, fences, barns, storage sheds or other buildings not changing land use or density. |
| 2. A neighbor notification meeting regarding the proposed amendment will need to be scheduled. | |
| 3. Pursuant to Section 340-5.B(1) of the Town Code, the Conservation Board is required to review the proposed wetland application and, within 45 days of receipt thereof, file a written report and its recommendation concerning the application with the Planning Board. Such report is required to evaluate the proposed regulated activity in terms of the findings, intent and standards of Chapter 340. | |
| 4. The application for site plan approval will need to be referred to the Westchester County Planning Board, pursuant to § 239-m of New York State General Municipal Law (GML). This referral is required because the subject site is located within 500 feet of NYS Route 22. | |
| General Comments | |
| 1. The site plan depicts 1,300 square feet of Town-regulated wetland and wetland buffer disturbance. A mitigation plan consisting of 3,489 square feet of mitigation area has been proposed. | |
| 2. The site plan notes that approximately 13 trees were removed without a permit. As mitigation, the Applicant is proposing to plant five new trees. | The Applicant should indicate how the 13 trees were estimated. |
| 2000 2011 | The plant list quantity (4 tees) should be revised to reflect the five proposed trees on the site plan. Based upon older aerial photos, it appears that the wooded area behind the fill pad has been thinned considerably and that significantly more than 13 tree were removed. The Planning Board should review this area during their site walk and determine whether additional planting in the rear area is warranted. |
| The Applicant should submit a gross land coverage calculations worksheet and backup data that includes the proposed (legalize) terrace. | |
| The site plan depicts existing stone walls within the Town right-of-way. The walls shall be depicted to be removed or the Applicant will need to seek a license from the Town Board. | |
| 5. The site plan depicts 900 cubic yards of fill was brought onto the property. The Town Engineer notes that a 2003 plan to bring fill onto the property was not approved. It appears that the Applicant placed the fill on the property regardless. | The Applicant will need to obtain a fill permit pursuant to Chapter 161 of the Town Code. |
| The Applicant should submit a gross floor area calculations worksheet and backup data for review. | |
| F:\PLAN6.0\Memos\2022\2022-013.ark.docx | |