STAFF REPORT - TOWN OF NORTH CASTLE PLANNING DEPARTMENT January 19, 2021					
APPLICATION NUMBER - NAME [#2020-051] – The Summit Residential Plan Planning Board Site Plan, Wetlands Permit, Stean Slange Darmit, and Tree Damayol Darmit			SBL101.02-1-28.1 & 101.02-1-28.2		
Steep Slopes Permit and Tree Removal Permit MEETING DATE January 25, 2021			PROPERTY ADDRESS/LOCATION 568 Bedford Road		
BRIEF SUMMARY OF REQUEST Planning Board Site Plan, Wetlands Permit, Steep Slopes Permit and Tree Removal Permit Approvals. The proposal provides for 73 residential units containing 176 bedrooms in seven buildings. Seven of the units will be will be AFFH units.					
PENDING ACTION:	Plan Review	□ Town Board Referral	□ Preliminary Discus	sion	
EXISTING ZONING EX	XISTING LAND USE	SURROUNDING ZONING & LAND USE	SITE IMPROVEMENTS	SIZE OF PROPERTY	
GCCFO District- Golf Fo Course Community Floating Overlay District	ormer Golf Club	Educational Uses, Single Family Residential Uses	Multifamily Housing Development with associated appurtenances.	130 acres	
PROPERTY HISTORYCOMPATIBILITY with the COMPREHENSIVE PLAJune 2015 - The Town Board adopted a new Golf Course Community Floating Overlay District ("GCCFO District") and mapped it to the subject site which permitted the Property to be developed with a residential community having up to seventy three dwelling units residences.Page 30 of the Comprehensive Plan states that District (GCCFO) has been placed on the Brynwood Country Club residential community designed for active adults to course and recreational facilities. The intent of resources through the preservation of golf coursesPage 126 of the Comprehensive Plan states that provide recreational or open space benefits either The largest of these are country clubs: the 175-ac and the 155-acre Brynwood Golf and Country Club Community Floating Overlay District (GCCFO) wa as part of an approved project to develop a portion active adults. The floating zone provides for presen- site as designated green space.			es that the Golf Course Co / Club property and permit adults with affiliated memb ent of this floating zone i courses. es that several privately ov either to members or the s 175-acre Whippoorwill Clu ry Club between Route 22 FO) was established at the portion of the property as	ts for the development of a ership to a club with a golf is to maintain open space whet areas in North Castle surrounding neighborhoods. Ib along Whippoorwill Road and I-684. The Golf Course is site of the Brynwood club a residential community of	
STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS					
 The Applicant should be directed to address all outstanding staff and consultant's comments. The project is compatible with the Comprehensive Plan. 					


 The Planning Board will need to schedule a Public Hearing regarding the proposed site plan and wetlands permit. The SEQRA review of this project concluded with the adoption of a Findings Statement by the Planning Board (and Town Board) in March of 2016. Pursuant to Section 340-5B of the Town Code, the Conservation Board is required to structure report and its recommendation concerning the application with the Planning Board. Such report required to available the proposed regulated structure in terms of the findings, intern and standards of Chapter 340. Pursuant to Section 7.3.4(6) of the Town Code, all site development plane submitted to the Planning Board comment. The step lan will need to be forwarded to the Chief of Police. Fire Inspector and the Arometer to the Planning Board including, but not limited to, the designation of no-spin spin approval will need to be forwarded to the Water and Sower department so that they may make any periment recommendations to the Planning Board including, but not limited to, the application to for spin plan approval will need to be referred to the Westchester County Planning Board pursuant to § 239-m of New York State General Municipal Law (GML). The Applicant will need to obtain Westbester County Planning Board pursuant to § 239-m of New York State General Municipal Law (GML). The Applicant will need to obtain Westbester County Planning Board pursuant to § 239-m of New York Permit for any work within the NYS Route 22 right-of-way. Ceneral Comments The Applicant will need to obtain NYSDCT Highway Work Permit for any work within the NYS Route 22 right-of-way. Ceneral Comments The Applicant has stated that the plans the appropriate with execution plans, plans and elevations for review and provide in these buildings. The Applicant has stated that the appropriate with execution building the same building the same building the	Procedural Comments	Staff Notes
 by the Planning Board (and Town Board) in March of 2016. Pursuant to Section 340-5.B of the Town Code, the Conservation Board is required to proposed wetland application and, within 45 days of receipt thereof. file a written report and its recommendation concerning the application with the Planning Board. Such report is required to available the proposed regulated activity in terms of the findings, intern and standards of Chapter 340. Pursuant to Section 7-3.A(6) of the Town Code, all site development plans submitted to the Planning Board are required to be referred to the Architectural Review Board (ARB) for review and comment. The site plan will need to be forwarded to the Chief of Police, Fire Inspector and the Armonk Fire Chiefs so that they may make any pertinent recommendations to the Planning Board including, but not limited to, the designation of no-parking zones, emergency vehicle access or any other issued deemed important to providing emergency services. The site plan will need to be forwarded to the Water and Sewer department so that they may make any pertinent recommendations to the Planning Board including, but not limited to, the capacity of the water infrastructure to handle the proposed amount of demand. The Applicant will need to obtain NYSDEC approval for modification to the wastewater treatment plant. The Applicant will need to obtain a NYSDOT Highway Work Permit for any work within the NYS Roule 22 right-of-way. The Applicant will need to obtain a NYSDOT Highway Work Permit for any work within the building is struction building structive, the proposed repetition of the same building structive, the proposed repetition of the same building is three leads to a design that is excessively unform. The plans should be appropriate with the applicant the surface wetwer, based upon the proposed estions of the building structive, the proposed repetition of the proposed repetition of the proposed destion of plans and elevations in		
 review the proposed veltand application and, within 45 days of receipt thereof, file a written report is required to evaluate the proposed regulated activity in terms of the findings, intern and standards of Chapter 340. Pursuant to Section 7-3 A(6) of the Town Code, all site development plans submitted to the Planning Board an equired to be referred to the Architectural Review Board (ARB) for review and comment. The site plan will need to be forwarded to the Chief of Police, Fire Inspector and the Amont Fire Chief so that they may make any periment recommendations to the Planning Board including, but not limited to, the designation of no-parking zones, mergency vehicle access or any other issued deemed important to providing emergency services. The site plan will need to be forwarded to the Velater and Sever department to the they may make any periment recommendations to the Planning Board including, but not limited to, the capacity of the water infrastructure to handle the proposed amount of demand. The applicant will need to obtain NYSDEC approval for modification to the wastewater treatment plant. The Applicant will need to obtain NYSDEC approval for modification to the wastewater treatment plant. The Applicant will need to obtain NYSDEC approval for modification to the wastewater treatment plant. The Applicant will need to obtain NYSDEC approval for the same building bit time floppint and height, as necessary, to provent excessible uniformity of the proposed design of the building as with six of these buildings placed in a row. While the proposed design of the same building bit imergency since the place and manner to be compatible with the excessible uniformity of the proposed of petitoring design. Prehaps three different to correlasing would be appropriate with the adopted finding design. Prehaps three different to correlasing would be approprint and building design. Prehaps three different to correlasi		
 Planning Board are required to be referred to the Architectural Review Board (ARB) for review and comment. The stie plan will need to be forwarded to the Chief of Police, Fire Inspector and the Arronk Fire Chief so that they may make any pertinent recommendations to the Planning Board including, but not limited to, the designation of no-parking zones, emergency vehicle access or any other issued deemed important to providing emergency services. The site plan will need to be forwarded to the Water and Sewer department so that they may make any pertinent recommendations to the Planning Board including, but not limited to, the capacity of the water infrastructure to handle the proposed amount of demand. The application for site plan approval will need to be referred to the Westchester County Planning Board pursuant to § 239-m of New York State General Municipal Law (GML). The Applicant will need to obtain NYSDEC approval for modification to the wastewater treatment plant. The Applicant will need to obtain NYSDEC approval for modification to the wastewater treatment plant. The Applicant will need to obtain a NYSDOT Highway Work Permit for any work within the NYS Route 22 right-of-way. General Comments The Applicant is proposing 6 approximately 36,000 square foot (not including basement parking) identical buildings with six of those buildings situatize, the proposed repetition of the same building situatize, we not excessive uniformity of the proposed repetiting building foor plans and elevations for review and elevations for review and proval. The project reviewed by the Lead Agency depicted several single family golf catages chases in the cornor plan depicts an env to scory apartment building in this area. It is noted that we and the soluting is transe on the root plans and elevations for review and proval. The proplecant reviewed by the Lead Agency depicted several single	review the proposed wetland application and, within 45 days of receipt thereof, file a written report and its recommendation concerning the application with the Planning Board. Such report is required to evaluate the proposed regulated activity in terms of the findings,	
 Arronk Fire Chief so that they may make any pertinent recommendations to the Planning Board including. but not limited to, the designation to no-parking but not limited to, the capacity of the water infrastructure to handle the proposed analytic of the proposed and the building is 10⁻¹⁵ between plans build be appropriate with the adopted findings that the proposed design of the building is 10⁻¹⁵ between plans and elevations of this building. It is recommended to the Lead Agency depicted several single family geor design of placing and the adopted findings in the adopted fin	Planning Board are required to be referred to the Architectural Review Board (ARB) for review	
 may make any perinent recommendations to the Planning Board including, but not limited to, the capacity of the water infrastructure to handle the proposed amount of demand. The application for site plan approval will need to be referred to the Westchester County Planning Board pursuant to § 239-m of New York State General Municipal Law (GML). The Applicant will need to obtain Westchester County Department of Health approval for the water main extension and wastewater treatment plant expansion. The Applicant will need to obtain NYSDEC approval for modification to the wastewater treatment plant. The Applicant will need to obtain a NYSDDT Highway Work Permit for any work within the NYS Route 22 right-of-way. General Comments The Applicant is proposing 6 approximately 36.000 square foot (not including basement parking) identical buildings with six of those buildings placed in a row. While the proposed design of the building is attractive, the proposed repetition of the same building six times footprint and height, as necessary, to prevent excessive uniformity of the proposed exterior building design. Perhaps three different core designs would be appropriate with variations on the three base maps three different core designs would be appropriate with the strong sing family development platem in the area. However, based upon text included on the plans, family development plant emits and manner to be compatible with the exist gisting family development platem in the area. However, based upon text included on the plans, family development plant emits and the summer compatible with the sizen. It is noted that the first floor of this building is 10-15 feet above Bedford Road. The Applicant should uprovide floor plans and elevations of this building. It is recommended that this building have been langer its noted that the first floor of this building. It is recommended that this building have been languistic victures	Armonk Fire Chief so that they may make any pertinent recommendations to the Planning Board including, but not limited to, the designation of no-parking zones, emergency	
 Planning Board pursuant to § 239-m of New York State General Municipal Law (GML). 8. The Applicant will need to obtain Westchester County Department of Health approval for the water main extension and wastewater treatment plant expansion. 9. The Applicant will need to obtain NYSDEC approval for modification to the wastewater treatment plant. 10. The Applicant will need to obtain a NYSDOT Highway Work Permit for any work within the NYS Route 22 right-of-way. <u>General Comments</u> 1. The Applicant is proposing 6 approximately 36,000 square foot (not including basement parking) identical buildings with six of those buildings placed in a row. While the proposed design of the building is attractive, the proposed repetition of the same building sis. However, plans depicting the iders of a design that is excessively uniform. The plans should be revised to vary design, foor plans and elevations of the same buildings. 2. The project reviewed by the Lead Agency depicted several single family golf cottages fronting Bedford Road. This design choice was acceptable to the Lead Agency site is noted that the first floor of this building is 10-15 feet above Bedford Road. The Applicant has stated the floor plans and elevations of this building. 2. The Applicant reviewed by the Lead Agency depicted several single family development pattern in the area. However, based upon text included on the plans, the current plan depicts a new two story apartment building in this area. It is noted that the first floor of this building is 10-15 feet above Bedford Road. The Applicant has stated the floor plans and elevations of this building. It is recommended that this building have been submitted for review, but we were unable to locate such plans. The Applicant has stated the floor plans and elevations for this building have been submitted for review, but we were unable to locate such plans. The Applicant has stated the floor plans and elevations of this bu	may make any pertinent recommendations to the Planning Board including, but not limited	
 the water main extension and wastewater treatment plant expansion. 9. The Applicant will need to obtain NYSDEC approval for modification to the wastewater treatment plant. 10. The Applicant will need to obtain a NYSDOT Highway Work Permit for any work within the NYS Route 22 right-of-way. <u>General Comments</u> 1. The Applicant buildings with six of those buildings placed in a row. While the proposed design of the building is utractive, the proposed repetition of the same building six times leads to a design that is excessively uniform. The plans should be revised to vary design, footprint and height, as necessary, to prevent excessive uniformity of the proposed exterior building design. Perhaps three different core designs would be appropriate with variations on the three base models comprising the other buildings. 2. The project reviewed by the Lead Agency depicted several single family golf cottages fronting Bedford Road. This design choice was acceptable to the Lead Agency since these units were designed in such a manner to be compatible with the existing single family development pattern in the area. However, based upon text included on the plans, the current plan depicts a new two story apartment building in this area. It is noted that the first floor of this building. It is recommended that this building have been larger 'Club Villa' buildings proposed in the Sum and elevations for this building. It is recommended that this building have been larger 'Club Villa' buildings proposed in the Sum and elevations for the sum the seven larger 'Club Villa' buildings proposed in the output with the surrounding residential neighborhood. The Applicant has stated the floor plans and elevations for this building. It is recommended that this building framity compatible with the surrounding residential neighborhood. The Applicant has stated the floor plans and elevations for this building. It is recommended that this building from plans and elevations o		
treatment plant. 10. The Applicant will need to obtain a NYSDOT Highway Work Permit for any work within the NYS Route 22 right-of-way. General Comments 1. The Applicant is proposing 6 approximately 36,000 square foot (not including basement parking) identical buildings with six of those buildings placed in a row. While the proposed design of the building is attractive, the proposed repetition of the same building six times leads to a design that is excessively uniform. The plans should be revised to vary design, footprint and height, as necessary, to prevent excessive uniformity of the proposed exterior building design. Perhaps three different core designs would be appropriate with variations on the three base models comprising the other buildings. 2. The project reviewed by the Lead Agency depicted several single family golf cottages fronting Bedford Road. This design choice was acceptable to the Lead Agency since first floor of his building is 10-15 feet above Bedford Road. The Applicant should provide floor plans and elevations of this building. It is recommended that this building be eliminated and replaced with smaller cottages or townhomes that will be more compatible with the surrounding residential neighborhood. The Applicant has stated the floor plans and elevations for this building have been larger "Club Villa" buildings proposed in the DEIS. The Golf Cottages are single family structures which would be architecturally compatible with the surrounding residential neighborhood. In addition, it is noted that there is no reason that the recommended cottages and/or townhouses can't be condominium units.		
the NYS Route 22 right-of-way. <u>General Comments</u> 1. The Applicant is proposing 6 approximately 36,000 square foot (not including basement parking) identical buildings with six of those buildings placed in a row. While the proposed design of the building is attractive, the proposed repetition of the same building six times leads to a design that is excessively uniform. The plans should be revised to vary design, footprint and height, as necessary, to prevent excessive uniformity of the proposed exterior building design. Perhaps three different core designs would be appropriate with variations on the three base models comprising the other buildings. 2. The project reviewed by the Lead Agency depicted several single family golf cottages fronting Bedford Road. This design choice was acceptable to the Lead Agency since these units were designed in such a manner to be compatible with the existing single family development pattern in the area. However, based upon text included on the plans, the current plan depicts a new two story apartment building in this area. It is noted that the first floor of this building is 10-15 feet above Bedford Road. The Applicant should provide floor plans and elevations of this building. It is recommended that this building be eliminated and replaced with smaller cottages or townhomes that will be more compatible with the surrounding residential neighborhood. The Applicant has stated the floor plans and elevations for this building have been submitted for review, but we were unable to locate such plans. In addition, it is noted that there is no reason that the recommended cottages and/or townhouses can't be condominium units. The proposed		
 The Applicant is proposing 6 approximately 36,000 square foot (not including basement parking) identical buildings with six of those buildings placed in a row. While the proposed design of the building is attractive, the proposed repetition of the same building six times leads to a design that is excessively uniform. The plans should be revised to vary design, footprint and height, as necessary, to prevent excessive uniformity of the proposed exterior building design. Perhaps three different core designs would be appropriate with variations on the three base models comprising the other buildings. The project reviewed by the Lead Agency depicted several single family golf cottages fronting Bedford Road. This design choice was acceptable to the Lead Agency since these units were designed in such a manner to be compatible with the existing single family development pattern in the area. However, based upon text included on the plans, the current plan depicts a new two story apartment building in this area. It is noted that the first floor of this building. It is recommended that this building have been revised to compatible with the surrounding residential neighborhood. The Applicant has stated the floor plans and elevations for this building have been is ubmitted for review, but we were unable to locate such plans. In addition, it is noted that there is no reason that the recommended cottages and/or townhouses can't be condominium units. 		
 parking) identical buildings with six of those buildings placed in a row. While the proposed design of the buildings attractive, the proposed repetition of the same building six times leads to a design that is excessively uniform. The plans should be revised to vary design, footprint and height, as necessary, to prevent excessive uniformity of the proposed exterior building design. Perhaps three different core designs would be appropriate with variations on the three base models comprising the other buildings. 2. The project reviewed by the Lead Agency depicted several single family golf cottages fronting Bedford Road. This design choice was acceptable to the Lead Agency since these units were designed in such a manner to be compatible with the existing single family development pattern in the area. However, based upon text included on the plans, the current plan depicts a new two story apartment building in this area. It is noted that the surrounding residential neighborhood. The Applicant has stated the floor plans and elevations for this building between the submitted for review, but we were unable to locate such plans. In addition, it is noted that there is no reason that the recommended cottages and/or townhouses can't be condominium units. 	General Comments	
fronting Bedford Road. This design choice was acceptable to the Lead Agency since these units were designed in such a manner to be compatible with the existing single family development pattern in the area. However, based upon text included on the plans, the current plan depicts a new two story apartment building in this area. It is noted that the first floor of this building is 10-15 feet above Bedford Road. The Applicant should provide floor plans and elevations of this building. It is recommended that this building be eliminated and replaced with smaller cottages or townhomes that will be more compatible with the surrounding residential neighborhood. The Applicant has stated the floor plans and elevations for this building have been submitted for review, but we were unable to locate such plans. In addition, it is noted that there is no reason that the recommended cottages and/or townhouses can't be condominium units.	parking) identical buildings with six of those buildings placed in a row. While the proposed design of the building is attractive, the proposed repetition of the same building six times leads to a design that is excessively uniform. The plans should be revised to vary design, footprint and height, as necessary, to prevent excessive uniformity of the proposed exterior building design. Perhaps three different core designs would be appropriate with	have been revised to provide for 3 base models. However, plans depicting the differing building floor plans and elevations have not been submitted. The Applicant should submit specific floor plans and elevations for review and
The Applicant has stated the floor plans and elevations for this building have been submitted for review, but we were unable to locate such plans. In addition, it is noted that there is no reason that the recommended cottages and/or townhouses can't be condominium units.	fronting Bedford Road. This design choice was acceptable to the Lead Agency since these units were designed in such a manner to be compatible with the existing single family development pattern in the area. However, based upon text included on the plans, the current plan depicts a new two story apartment building in this area. It is noted that the first floor of this building is 10-15 feet above Bedford Road. The Applicant should provide floor plans and elevations of this building. It is recommended that this building be eliminated and replaced with smaller cottages or townhomes that will be more compatible	apartment building in this location is inconsistent with the adopted findings statement: "in response to comments made on the DEIS, the Modified Project also includes five Golf Cottages closest to Bedford Road rather than the seven
townhouses can't be condominium units. Windmill Farms. The proposed	submitted for review, but we were unable to locate such plans.	the DEIS. The Golf Cottages are single family structures which would be architecturally compatible with the

feet lower in height than the "Club Villa" buildings shown in the DEIS.

- 3. As requested, the plans have been revised to include a project summary comparison between the Findings Project and the currently proposed project; however, some of the table entries for the project are TBD. As the project develops, this table should be updated as necessary.
- 4. In general, the design style, mass and scale of the buildings has changed significantly as compared to previously submitted concept designs. The Planning Board and Architectural Review Board should evaluate whether the proposed design, size and scale of the buildings are acceptable or whether designs more similar to the previously submitted concept plans are preferable.



5. The Applicant should submit a substantial proposed landscaping plan within the R-2A zone (100 foot buffer). The plan should incorporate all of the expected landscape elements depicted on Exhibits I-8 to I-12 in the FEIS.



While the Applicant has prepared renderings of views from Bedford Road, the Applicant should submit a specific and detailed landscaping plan for the Planning Board to review.

6. The site plan depicts new tennis courts (structures) in the "front yard" of the property. While the tennis courts have been removed from the buffer, it is recommended that the site plan be revised to eliminate the tennis courts from the front yard since this area will be highly visible from the street and serves as the gateway to the project. This area should be incorporated into a formal landscaping/screening plan.	
7. Building Height analysis. The Applicant should provide a building height analysis demonstrating that the proposed buildings are no more than three stories and 39 1/2 feet to the mean level of the primary roof, measured from the level of the finished grade at the main entry to the building.	
8. The site plan shall be revised to depict a lighting plan that conforms to the minimum requirements of Section 355-45.M of the Town Code. The Applicant should indicate whether the tennis courts are proposed to be lit.	
9. The site plan shall be revised to provide calculations demonstrating that the proposed units meet the minimum size requirements of the GCCFO Zoning District.	It is recommended that the floor plans for each building be revised to include a chart that identified the minimum required unit size and the proposed unit size.
10. A golf course community must be affiliated with an adjoining membership club which is subject to a Town Board special use permit. Such affiliation shall be established by the requirement that, except for the initial developer/sponsor of the golf course community and successor sponsors/owners of units which have not yet been sold for owner occupancy, the owner of a dwelling unit of the golf course community must for the duration of ownership be a member (whether individually or as a family) of the membership club. The terms and conditions of membership shall be determined by the membership club.	
The golf course of the affiliated membership club functions as the open space for the golf course community, and preservation of that open space is a basis for the permitted density of a golf course community. Accordingly, as a condition of site development plan approval of a golf course community, the affiliated membership club shall record in the Westchester County Clerk's office a permanent conservation easement pursuant to which the membership club agrees that the property on which the golf course is located shall be used solely as a golf course or as open space. The conservation easement shall be in form and substance reasonably acceptable to the Town Board and Town Attorney.	
11. The Applicant should submit floor plans and elevations of the proposed gate house for review.	
12. The Applicant will need to file the previously discussed conservation easement prior to the issuance of the first building permit.	
13. The site plan should be revised to depict the phasing plan agreed to by the Town of North Castle and the Applicant. In December, 2019, in consideration of the adoption by the Town of the Amendment, the Applicant recorded a Declaration pursuant to which the Applicant may, subject to site plan approval, construct on the Development Lot a first phase of the Community ("Phase 1 "), which may consist of up to thirty-six (36) residences, which may be fee-simple homes and/or condominium units without limitation regarding form of ownership of the residences, and a second phase of the Community ("Phase 2"), which may consist of up to thirty-seven (37) residences, which may be fee-simple homes and/or condominium units without limitation regarding form of ownership of the residences; provided that unless the aggregate average of the gross sales prices of the market-rate Phase 1 condominium units is \$700.00 per square foot or more, the Phase 2 condominium residences are required to be "55 and older" age-restricted housing as permitted under applicable federal law and regulations. The Declaration also requires Phase 1 to include four (4) on-site affordable units, and Phase 2 to include three (3) on-site affordable units. However, the Applicant is permitted to at any time elect to relocate all or a portion of the affordable units off-site within areas in the Armonk Hamlet that are served by public sewer and water, and thereby reduce the on-site affordable units and substitute market-rate units therefor on a one-to-one basis, provided that in no event shall the total number of residential units on the Property exceed seventy-three (73).	While the site plan contains a note referencing the phasing requirements, the plans will need to be revised to actually depict the proposed phasing plan. The plan should clearly detail the components of each phase.

14.	The Applicant has indicated that chipping would be required during construction. At this time, the Applicant should provide details for review by the Planning Board.	The Applicant should review the newly modified Chapter 122 for additional information.
15.	The Applicant has indicated that rock processing would be proposed on the site. Additional details should be submitted regarding the proposed operation at this time.	
16.	The Town charges a fee in lieu of providing recreation facilities. The Applicant believes that sufficient on-site recreational facilities are being provided to meet the demand of the project, and has requested a credit be given for the market rate homes. The residents of the AFFH units would not be required to be members of the Club and would likely use Town recreation facilities. Therefore, the required \$1,000 per unit fee in lieu should be paid by the Applicant for the AFFH units.	The Planning Board will need to determine whether the on-site recreational facilities meet the demands of the residents and not require the recreation fee.
17.	The Applicant should update the Planning Board regarding the status of providing potable water to the project.	The Planning Board can't approve the project without a potable water supply.
18.	The Applicant should update the Planning Board regarding the plans to improve the wastewater treatment plant.	The Planning Board can't approve the project without plans and specifications for the improvement of the plant.
	Pursuant to Section 355-56.H(2) of the Town Code, 10% of the parking share shall be landscaped. The site plan should be revised to demonstrate conformance with this requirement.	
	The Applicant has stated that signage is proposed for the project. The location and design of the signage should be included on the plans at this time.	
	Pursuant to Section 355-34.I(5)(b) of the Town Code, within multifamily developments, the affordable AFFH units shall be physically integrated into the design of the development and shall be distributed among various sizes (efficiency, one-, two-, three- and four-bedroom units) in the same proportion as all other units in the development. The plan should identify which units will be AFFH and demonstrate that the unit sizes are equally distributed among the various sizes.	
	Pursuant to Section 355-24.I.1 of the Town Code AFFH units shall be marketed in accordance with the Westchester County Fair Affordable Housing Affirmative Marketing Plan.	
	Pursuant to Section 355-24-I.2 of the Town Code, the maximum monthly rent for an affordable AFFH unit and the maximum gross sales price for an AFAH unit shall be established in accordance with US Department of Housing and Urban Development guidelines as published in the current edition of the Westchester County Area Median Income AMI Sales Rent Limits available from the County of Westchester.	
	Pursuant to Section 355-24-I.3 of the Town Code, units designated as affordable AFFH units shall remain affordable for a minimum of 50 years from date of initial certificate of occupancy for rental properties and from date of original sale for ownership units.	
	Pursuant to Section 355-24-I.4 of the Town Code, a property containing any affordable AFFH units shall be restricted using a mechanism such as declaration of restrictive covenants in recordable form acceptable to the Town which shall ensure that the affordable AFFH unit shall remain subject to affordable regulations for the minimum 50-year period of affordability. The covenants shall require that the unit be the primary residence of the resident household selected to occupy the unit upon approval such declaration shall be recorded against the property containing the affordable AFFH unit prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy for the development.	
	Pursuant to Section 355-34.I(6)(a) of the Town Code the Applicant shall submit an exhibit demonstrating that the proposed AFFH units meet the minimum size requirements and are not less than 80% of the of average floor area of market rate units.	