

STAFF REPORT - TOWN OF NORTH CASTLE PLANNING DEPARTMENT

May 24, 2023



APPLICATION NAME & NUMBER
15 Old Route 22 - Gavi- Site Development Plan
Approval [2023-021]

SBL
107.04-2-16

MEETING DATE
June 12, 2023

PROPERTY ADDRESS/LOCATION
15 Old Route 22, Armonk

BRIEF SUMMARY OF REQUEST

Improvements to existing parking lot, construction of a new 5,000 sf. mixed use building with retail on the first floor and 2 apartments on the second floor.



PENDING ACTION: Plan Review Town Board Referral Preliminary Discussion

EXISTING ZONING	EXISTING LAND USE	SURROUNDING ZONING & LAND USE	SITE IMPROVEMENTS	SIZE OF PROPERTY
RB	Restaurant	Commercial Business	Proposed New Mixed Use Building	0.74 acres

PROPERTY HISTORY

The Planning Board and Zoning Board of Appeals, in 2002, approved a plan for the improvement of the existing parking facilities on the property and the use of the subject building as a pizzeria restaurant.

The Applicant previously received two variances for the property, one for a reduction of five off-street parking spaces and another reducing the required amount of landscaping within the parking area. Both of the previously approved variances have expired since construction was not initiated within two years of receiving the variances.

COMPATIBILITY with the COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

The Comprehensive Plan states the following with respect to Old Route 22:

- Recent streetscape improvements along Old Route 22 have enhanced the walkability of this area and increased the number of on-street parking spaces.
- The Town is in the process of implementing a series of roadway improvements along Old Route 22 in coordination with the New York City Department of Environmental Protection (NYCDEP), which owns a large parcel just south of Kaysal Court. These improvements – together with the recently built Armonk Commons complex next to the Beehive restaurant and recent development on properties along Old Route 22 to the north – is transitioning the character of the corridor from a scattered commercial and light industrial area to a more cohesive center of activity. Much of Old Route 22 is walkable from the townhome communities of Cider Mill, Whipoorwill Ridge and Whipoorwill Hills, and the corridor is a short drive to downtown Armonk.

STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

1. The Applicant should be directed to address the outstanding comments in this report.

<u>Procedural Comments</u>	<u>Staff Notes</u>
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pursuant to Section 12-18(1) of the Town Code, all site development plans submitted to the Planning Board are required to be referred to the Architectural Review Board (ARB) for review and comment. 2. The application for site plan approval requires referral to the Westchester County Planning Board pursuant to § 239-m of New York State General Municipal Law (GML). This referral is required because the site is within 500 feet of NYS Route 22. 3. The Proposed Action would be classified as an Unlisted Action pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA). 4. A Public Hearing for the proposed site plan will need to be scheduled. 5. The site plan should be forwarded to the Chief of Police, Fire Inspector and the Armonk Fire Chief so that they may make any pertinent recommendations to the Planning Board including, but not limited to, the designation of no-parking zones, emergency vehicle access or any other issued deemed important to providing emergency services. 6. A small portion of the property is located in a flood zone. The Applicant will need to obtain a floodplain development permit from the Building Department. 7. The Town Engineer will need to advise the Planning Board as to whether the proposed site plan will need to be approved by the New York City Department of Environmental Protection since this property is located within the Kensico Watershed. 8. The Applicant will need to obtain a curbcut permit from the North Castle Highway Department. 9. The site plan depicts a terrace to be used for seasonal outdoor dining. The Planning Department encourages the establishment of outdoor dining facilities and recognizes the fact that outdoor dining is one of the benefits that pleasant weather may bring. It is noted that outdoor dining facilities require a permit to be issued by the Building Department. 	<p>The Planning Board will need to determine whether to conduct a coordinated or uncoordinated review.</p>
<p><u>General Comments</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Applicant is proposing a 2,500 square foot retail space on the first floor and two apartments on the second floor of a newly proposed 5,000 square foot building. The existing Gavi Restaurant and existing second floor apartment are proposed to remain. 2. The site plan should be revised to include an off-street parking analysis of existing and proposed uses on the site. The site plan should be revised to indicate the breakdown of existing and proposed uses on the property in square feet (existing restaurant, proposed retail, apartments, etc). In addition, the site plan should indicate the existing and proposed number of seats in the restaurant. 3. The Zoning Schedule on plan SP should be revised to include existing and proposed FAR and include the calculations used to arrive at the FAR. It is noted that existing and proposed basement areas not used for mechanical space must be included in the FAR and off-street parking requirements. The submitted table is not correct as the existing FAR and proposed FAR indicate the same 0.3 FAR (which does not seem correct as the existing farm stand is much smaller than the proposed building). 4. The site plan should be revised to depict all parking space dimensions. The plans shall demonstrate that parking spaces meet the minimum size requirement of Section 355-56.D of the Town Code. 5. The site plan should be revised to dimension backup and maneuvering aisles. The plans shall demonstrate that the aisles meet the minimum width requirements of Section 355-56.E of the Town Code. 6. Based upon the number of parking spaces, the site plan is required to include a minimum of three handicap parking spaces. 	

7. It is not clear from the revised site plan whether the site is adequately/appropriately lit at night. The Applicant will need to provide a photometric plan that complies with [Section 355-45.M of the Town Code](#) for review by the Planning Board.
8. The site plan should be revised to include details of the proposed refuse enclosure pursuant to [Section 355-15.O of the Town Code](#).
9. The Zoning Schedule on plan SP should be revised to indicate the existing and proposed amount (in % of the total parking area) of landscaping within the parking area.
10. The site plan shall depict at least one tree, not less than three inches caliper at time of planting, within the parking lot for each 10 parking spaces.
11. The plans indicate a proposed retail use in the new building. However, based upon conversations with the Applicant's architect, it is believed that the intended use is for a carry-out restaurant (with a maximum of eight permitted seats).
12. The Town Wetlands Consultant should review the site and the adjacent NYCDEP site to determine whether a wetlands permit would be required for any of the proposed improvements.
13. The Applicant should submit elevations of all sides of the proposed building.
14. The elevations of the proposed building should be revised to depict proposed building height.
15. The Applicant is proposing to continue the sidewalk along Old Route 22 along the property frontage. The plans should be revised to also continue the street lighting along the property frontage.