

10 Midland Avenue, Suite 100 Port Chester, New York 10573 Tel. 914.920.6372 admin@masengpc.com

29 November 2023

Town of North Castle Planning Department 15 Bedford Road Armonk, New York 10504 Attn : Mr. Adam R. Kaufman, AICP

RE: Armonk Estates LLC 4 Armonk Heights Road, Armonk, NY S/B/L : 101.01 / 1 / 34

Dear Mr. Adam R. Kaufman, AICP:

Attached is the submission package and the development drawings for the proposed one family residence located at the above address. As per our pre-submission meeting, the owner has performed some land clearing and started some drainage remediation to stop the current overland runoff from the adjacent properties to the east and received a stop work order and is now currently in front of the town judge. This submission is to assist in removing the violation to satisfy the court along with obtaining the proper approvals from the Planning Board to move forward and obtain the necessary building permits to construct the property as indicated on the development drawings.

The property consists of 11.016 Acres of land with a section of the northwesterly corner of the property containing wetlands. The wetland consultant has visited the site and has flagged all the wetlands which exist on the property, and this has been indicated in the drawings. All proposed work is more than the 100-foot buffer and the wetlands will not be disturbed by any construction activities. A 9,488 square foot one family residence is being proposed with this application along with a pool, pool house and barn for the owner to use and enjoy the property. The entire parcel will remain one parcel and no subdivision of land is proposed. The owner is developing the property for his use and to raise his family.

Please let me know if you have any questions or require further information so the proposal can be placed on the next available Planning Board agenda.

Sincerely,

Michael Mastrogiacomo, P.E., L.S.



TOWN OF NORTH CASTLE

WESTCHESTER COUNTY 17 Bedford Road Armonk, New York 10504-1898

PLANNING DEPARTMENT Adam R. Kaufman, AICP Director of Planning

Telephone: (914) 273-3542 Fax: (914) 273-3554 www.northcastleny.com

Application for Site Development Plan Approval

Application Name

ARMONK ESTATES LLC

I. IDENTIFICATION OF PROPERTY OWNER, APPLICANT AND PROFESSIONAL REPRESENTATIVES

Name of Property Owner:	Egiates LLC	
Mailing Address: 2 BRASSIE	KD., EASTCHESTER, NY	10709
Telephone: 914 · 282 · 3784 Fax:		e-mail ANGELO AGOVINO. COM
Name of Applicant (if different):	e as owner)	
Address of Applicant:		
Telephone: Fax:		e-mail
Interest of Applicant, if other than Proper	ty Owner:	
Is the Applicant (if different from the prop	perty owner) a Contract Vendee?	
Yes No		
If yes, please submit affidavit sating such	. If no, application cannot be revi	ewed by Planning Board
Name of Professional Preparing Site Plan	NEERING, P.C.	
Address: 10 MIRAND AVE, ST	100, PORT CHESTER.	NY 10573
Telephone: 914.920.6372	Fax:	e-mail ADMIN @ MASENGPC. COM
Name of Other Professional: B , LA	la Associates, inc.	(WETLAND CONSULTANT)
Address: 108 FORT SALONGA	RP., STEE, FORT SA	CGNA, NY 11768
Telephone: 631 · 261 · 4140	Fax:	e-mail TAIORS C
Name of Attorney (if any):		
Address:		
Telephone:	Fax:	e-mail

Applicant Acknowledgement

By making this application, the undersigned Applicant agrees to permit Town officials and their designated representatives to conduct on-site inspections in connection with the review of this application.

The Applicant also agrees to pay all expenses for the cost of professional review services required for this application.

It is further acknowledged by the Applicant that all bills for the professional review services shall be mailed to the Applicant, unless the Town is notified in writing by the Applicant at the time of initial submission of the application that such mailings should be sent to a designated representative instead.

Signature of Applicant:	Date: 11 . 28 . 202 9
Signature of Property Owner: Comp. Agim	Date: 11.28.2023

MUST HAVE BOTH SIGNATURES

II. IDENTIFICATION OF SUBJECT PROPERTY

Street A	Address: 4 ARMONK	Edits RP				
Locatio	on (in relation to nearest interse	cting street):				
335.0	feet (north, south, east or	est of BYRAN	1 KIDLOG	RD		
Abuttin	ng Street(s): ARMONK HIE	6H19 80				
Tax Ma	ap Designation (NEW): Section	101.01	Block	1	Lot	34
Tax Ma	ap Designation (OLD): Section	2	Block	050	Lot	11
Zoning	District: K-IA To	tal Land Area	1.016 A	CRES		
Land A	rea in North Castle Only (if dif	ferent)				
Fire Di	strict(s) ARMONK Sci	nool District(s)	YRAM H	وعسال		
Is any p	portion of subject property abut	ting or located w	ithin five h	undred (50	0) feet of the	following:
	The boundary of any city, town No <u>X</u> Yes (adjacent) If yes, please identify name(s): The boundary of any existing of No <u>X</u> Yes (adjacent)	n or village? Yes (within 500 or proposed Coun Yes (within 500	feet) ity or State feet)	 park or any 	other recrea	tion area?
	The right-of-way of any existin or highway? No X Yes (adjacent)	ng or proposed Co Yes (within 500	ounty or St	ate parkway	y, thruway, e	xpressway, road
	The existing or proposed right- for which the County has estab No <u>X</u> Yes (adjacent)	of-way of any st lished channel lin _ Yes (within 50	ream or dra nes? 0 feet)	inage chan	nel owned by	the County or
	The existing or proposed boun or institution is situated? No Yes (adjacent)	dary of any count	ty or State o	owned land	on which a j	public building
	The boundary of a farm operat No <u>X</u> Yes (adjacent)	ion located in an Yes (within :	agricultura 500 feet)	l district?		
Does th	ne Property Owner or Applican No Yes	have an interest	in any abu	tting proper	ty?	
If yes, p	please identify the tax map desi	gnation of that p	roperty:			

III. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

Proposed Use: ONE FAMILY RESIDENCE
Gross Floor Area: Existing S.F. Proposed 4488.47 S.F.
Proposed Floor Area Breakdown:
Retail S.F.; Office S.F.;
Industrial S.F.; Institutional O S.F.;
Other Nonresidential O S.F.; Residential 9488.41 S.F.;
Number of Dwelling Units:
Number of Parking Spaces: Existing <i>O</i> Required Proposed <i>S</i>
Number of Loading Spaces: Existing N/A Required N/A Proposed N/A
Earthwork Balance: Cut C.Y. Fill C.Y.
Will Development on the subject property involve any of the following:
Areas of special flood hazard? No <u>X</u> Yes (If yes, application for a Development Permit pursuant to Chapter 177 of the North Castle Town Code may also be required)
Trees with a diameter at breast height (DBH) of 8" or greater?
No Yes X (If yes, application for a Tree Removal Permit pursuant to Chapter 308 of the North Castle Town Code may also be required.)
Town-regulated wetlands? No Yes (If yes, application for a Town Wetlands Permit pursuant to Chapter 340 of the North Castle Town Code may also be required.)
State-regulated wetlands? No Yes (If yes, application for a State Wetlands Permit may also be required.)

IV. SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS

The site development plan application package shall include all materials submitted in support of the application, including but not limited to the application form, plans, reports, letters and SEQR Environmental Assessment Form. Submission of the following shall be required:

- One (1) PDF set of the site development plan application package in a single PDF file .
- A check for the required application fee and a check for the required Escrow Account, both made payable to "Town of North Castle" in the amount specified on the "Schedule of Application Fees."

(continued next page)

V. INFORMATION TO BE INCLUDED ON SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

The following checklist is provided to enable the Applicant to determine if he/she has provided enough information on the site development plan for the Planning Board to review his/her proposal. Applicants are advised to review ARTICLE VIII, Site Development Plan of the North Castle Town Code for a complete enumeration of pertinent requirements and standards prior to making application for site development plan approval.

The application for site development plan approval will not be accepted for Planning Board review unless all items identified below are supplied and **so indicated with a check mark in the blank line provided.** If a particular item is not relevant to the subject property or the development proposal, **the letters "NA" should be entered instead**. In addition, the project will not be scheduled on a Planning Board agenda until the Applicant receives an initialed "site plan checklist" from the Planning Department.

The information to be included on a site development plan shall include:

Legal Data:

- ____ Name of the application or other identifying title.
- _____ Name and address of the Property Owner and the Applicant, (if different).
- Name, address and telephone number of the architect, engineer or other legally qualified professional who prepared the plan.
- ✓ Names and locations of all owners of record of properties abutting and directly across any and all adjoining streets from the subject property, including the tax map designation of the subject property and abutting and adjoining properties, as shown on the latest tax records.
- Existing zoning, fire, school, special district and municipal boundaries.
- Size of the property to be developed, as well as property boundaries showing dimensions and bearings as determined by a current survey; dimensions of yards along all property lines; name and width of existing streets; and lines of existing lots, reservations, easements and areas dedicated to public use.
- Reference to the location and conditions of any covenants, easements or deed restrictions that cover all or any part of the property, as well as identification of the document where such covenants, easements or deed restrictions are legally established.
 - Schedule of minimum zoning requirements, as well as the plan's proposed compliance with those requirements, including lot area, frontage, lot width, lot depth, lot coverage, yards, off-street parking, off-street loading and other pertinent requirements.
- Locator map, at a convenient scale, showing the Applicant's entire property in relation to surrounding properties, streets, etc., within five hundred (500) feet of the site.
- North arrow, written and graphic scales, and the date of the original plan and all revisions, with notation identifying the revisions.

A signature block for Planning Board endorsement of approval.

Existing Conditions Data:

Location of existing use and design of buildings, identifying first floor elevation, and other structures.

Location of existing parking and truck loading areas, with access and egress drives thereto.

Location of existing facilities for water supply, sanitary sewage disposal, storm water drainage, and gas and electric service, with pipe sizes, grades, rim and inverts, direction of flow, etc. indicated.

- Location of all other existing site improvements, including pavement, walks, curbing, retaining walls and fences.
- Location, size and design of existing signs.
- Location, type, direction, power and time of use of existing outdoor lighting.
- NA Location of existing outdoor storage, if any.
 - Existing topographical contours with a vertical interval of two (2) feet or less.
 - Location of existing floodplains, wetlands, slopes of 15% or greater, wooded areas, landscaped areas, single trees with a DBH of 8" or greater, rock outcrops, stone walls and any other significant existing natural or cultural features.

Proposed Development Data:

- NA Proposed location of lots, streets, and public areas, and property to be affected by proposed easements, deed restrictions and covenants.
- Proposed location, use and architectural design of all buildings, including proposed floor elevations and the proposed division of buildings into units of separate occupancy.
- NA Proposed means of vehicular and pedestrian access to and egress from the site onto adjacent streets.



Proposed sight distance at all points of vehicular access.



N/A Proposed number of employees for which buildings are designed

- NA Proposed streets, with profiles indicating grading and cross-sections showing the width of the roadway; the location and width of sidewalks; and the location and size of utility lines.
- NA Proposed location and design of any pedestrian circulation on the site and off-street parking and loading areas, including handicapped parking and ramps, and including details of construction, surface materials, pavement markings and directional signage.
 - Proposed location and design of facilities for water supply, sanitary sewage disposal, storm water drainage, and gas and electric service, with pipe sizes, grades, rim and inverts, direction of flow, etc. indicated.

Proposed location of all structures and other uses of land, such as walks, retaining walls, fences, designated open space and/or recreation areas and including details of design and construction.

- NA Location, size and design of all proposed signs.
- N/A Location, type, direction, power and time of use of proposed outdoor lighting.
- NA Location and design of proposed outdoor garbage enclosure.
- NA Location of proposed outdoor storage, if any.
- Location of proposed landscaping and buffer screening areas, including the type (scientific and common names), size and amount of plantings.
- N/A Type of power to be used for any manufacturing
- N/A Type of wastes or by-products to be produced and disposal method
- N/A In multi-family districts, floor plans, elevations and cross sections
- The proposed location, size, design and use of all temporary structures and storage areas to be used during the course of construction.
- Proposed grade elevations, clearly indicating how such grades will meet existing grades of adjacent properties or the street.
- Proposed soil erosion and sedimentation control measures.
- For all proposed site development plans containing land within an area of special flood hazard, the data required to ensure compliance with Chapter 177 of the North Castle Town Code.
- For all proposed site development plans involving clearing or removal of trees with a DBH of 8" or greater, the data required to ensure compliance with Chapter 308 of the North Castle Town Code.
- **N**/A For all proposed site development plans involving disturbance to Town-regulated wetlands, the data required to ensure compliance with Chapter 340 of the North Castle Town Code.

F:\PLAN6.0\Application Forms\2016 Full Set\Part B - Site Devel 2016.doc

Short Environmental Assessment Form Part 1 - Project Information

Instructions for Completing

Part 1 - Project Information. The applicant or project sponsor is responsible for the completion of Part 1. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification. Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information.

Complete all items in Part 1. You may also provide any additional information which you believe will be needed by or useful to the lead agency; attach additional pages as necessary to supplement any item.

Part 1 - Project and Sponsor Information					
Name of Action or Project:					
ARMONIC ESTATES LLC					
Project Location (describe, and attach a location map):					
A Alladauble Hundelline and Antasitie alle					
Brief Description of Proposed Action:				ini	
CONSTRUCTION OF NEW ONE FAMILY	LEGI	DENS			
	- 6 7 1	enco.			
	1				
Name of Applicant or Sponsor:	Teleph	one: 914.282	57	24	
Armonic egtates uc	E-Mai	ANGELO @ MG		10.0	M
Address:					
21 BRASSIE PP.					
City/PO:		State:	Zip	Code:	
EAST CHESTER		NY	10	100	
1. Does the proposed action only involve the legislative adoption of a plan, I	local law	, ordinance,		NO	YES
administrative rule, or regulation? If Ves, attach a narrative description of the intent of the proposed action and	the env	ironmental recources	that		
may be affected in the municipality and proceed to Part 2. If no, continue to	o questio	n 2.	ulat	>	
2. Does the proposed action require a permit, approval or funding from any	other go	overnmental Agency?		NO	YES
If Yes, list agency(s) name and permit or approval:			Γ		
BUILDING PERMIT & COUNTY PERMIT FOR	2 50	mc & were			×
3.a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action?	1.014	acres			
b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed?	2.918	acres			
c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned					
or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor?	11.010	acres			
4. Check all land uses that occur on, adjoining and near the proposed action	ı.				
4. Check all land uses that occur on, adjoining and near the proposed action Urban Rural (non-agriculture) Industrial Comm	n. nercial	Residential (subur	ban)		
4. Check all land uses that occur on, adjoining and near the proposed action □ Urban □ Rural (non-agriculture) □ Industrial □ Comm □ Forest □ Agriculture □ Aquatic □ Other	n. nercial (specify)	Residential (subur	ban)		

5. Is the proposed action,	NO	YES	N/A
a. A permitted use under the zoning regulations?		X	
b. Consistent with the adopted comprehensive plan?		X	
6. Is the proposed action consistent with the predominant character of the existing built or natural		NO	YES
The the site of the surged action has to dive the iteration of the second			×
7. Is the site of the proposed action located in, or does it adjoin, a state listed Critical Environmental Ar If Yes, identify:	ea?	NO	YES
		X	
8. a. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels?		NO	YES
b. Are public transportation service(s) available at or near the site of the proposed action?			
			×
c. Are any pedestrian accommodations or bicycle routes available on or near site of the proposed act	ion?	×	
If the proposed action will exceed requirements, describe design features and technologies:		NO	YES
USE OF SPRAY FORM INSULATION & ENERGY EFFECTENT HERE UNITS			×
10. Will the proposed action connect to an existing public/private water supply?		NO	YES
If No, describe method for providing potable water:		×	
11. Will the proposed action connect to existing wastewater utilities?		NO	YES
If No, describe method for providing wastewater treatment: A-9TE SEPTL SYSTE	M	×	
12. a. Does the site contain a structure that is listed on either the State or National Register of Historic		NO	YES
b. Is the proposed action located in an archeological sensitive area?		×	
		×	
13. a. Does any portion of the site of the proposed action, or lands adjoining the proposed action, contain wetlands or other waterbodies regulated by a federal, state or local agency?	1		YES
b. Would the proposed action physically alter, or encroach into, any existing wetland or waterbody? If Yes, identify the wetland or waterbody and extent of alterations in square feet or acres:			
14. Identify the typical habitat types that occur on, or are likely to be found on the project site. Check a □ Shoreline □ Forest □ Agricultural/grasslands □ Early mid-succession □ Wetland □ Urban ☑ Suburban	ll that a onal	apply:	
15. Does the site of the proposed action contain any species of animal, or associated habitats, listed		NO	YES
by the State or Federal government as threatened or endangered?		×	
16. Is the project site located in the 100 year flood plain?		NO	YES
17. Will the proposed action create storm water discharge, either from point or non-point sources?		NO	YES
If Yes,			
a. will storm water discharges flow to adjacent properties?			
b. Will storm water discharges be directed to established conveyance systems (runoff and storm drain If Yes, briefly describe:	s)?		
			La contra

	×	
19. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed solid waste management facility?	NO	YES
If Yes, describe:	×	
 20. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? If Yes, describe:	NO	YES
I AFFIRM THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE IS TRUE AND ACCURATE TO THE F KNOWLEDGE Applicant/sponsor name: AFMONE ESTATES WC Date: 11/28/	BEST O	FMY



TOWN OF NORTH CASTLE

WESTCHESTER COUNTY 17 Bedford Road Armonk, New York 10504-1898

PLANNING DEPARTMENT Adam R. Kaufman, AICP Director of Planning

Telephone: (914) 273-3542 Fax: (914) 273-3554 www.northcastleny.com

FLOOR AREA CALCULATIONS WORKSHEET

Appl	cation Name or Identifying Title: ARMONIC ESTATES LLC	Date: 11/28/23
Tax M	Map Designation or Proposed Lot No.: 10 01/1/34	
Floor	Area	
1.	Total Lot Area (Net Lot Area for Lots Created After 12/13/06):	479, 807 SF
2.	Maximum permitted floor area (per Section 355-26.B(4)):	22,755 SF
3.	Amount of floor area contained within first floor: existing + proposed =	4100 SF
4.	Amount of floor area contained within second floor: existing + proposed =	6390 9F
5.	Amount of floor area contained within garage: existing + proposed =	000 35
6.	Amount of floor area contained within porches capable of being enclosed:	
7.	Amount of floor area contained within basement (if applicable – see definition):	41005F
8.	Amount of floor area contained within attic (if applicable – see definition):	0
9.	Amount of floor area contained within all accessory buildings: existing +proposed =	600 %
10.	Proposed floor area: Total of Lines $3 - 9 =$	10, 190 SF

If Line 10 is less than or equal to Line 2, your proposal **complies** with the Town's maximum floor area regulations and the project may proceed to the Residential Project Review Committee for review. If Line 10 is greater than Line 2 your proposal does not comply with the Town's regulations.

ASTRO Signature and Seal of Professional Preparing Worksheet ENSED PROFESSION

11/28/23 Date



TOWN OF NORTH CASTLE

WESTCHESTER COUNTY 17 Bedford Road Armonk, New York 10504-1898

PLANNING DEPARTMENT Adam R. Kaufman, AICP Director of Planning

Telephone: (914) 273-3542 Fax: (914) 273-3554 www.northcastleny.com

GROSS LAND COVERAGE CALCULATIONS WORKSHEET

Application Name or Identifying Title:	Date: 11/28/23
Tax Map Designation or Proposed Lot No.:	34
Gross Lot Coverage	
1. Total lot Area (Net Lot Area for Lots Created After 12/12	3/06): 479,857 75
2. Maximum permitted gross land coverage (per Section 33	55-26.C(1)(a)): 43,019 9F
3. BONUS maximum gross land cover (per Section 355-26	.C(1)(b)):
Distance principal home is beyond minimum front yard s $\frac{57.5}{2} \times 10 =$	etback5735P
4. TOTAL Maximum Permitted gross land coverage = 5	Sum of lines 2 and 3 44, 592 9F
5. Amount of lot area covered by principal building: existing +proposed =	6220 95
6. Amount of lot area covered by accessory buildings:	1500gF
7. Amount of lot area covered by decks: <u>o</u> existing + <u>proposed</u> =	0 35
 Amount of lot area covered by porches: existing + proposed = 	051
9. Amount of lot area covered by driveway, parking areas <u>o</u> existing + <u>16600</u> proposed =	and walkways:
10. Amount of lot area covered by terraces: existing + proposed =	200 SF
11. Amount of lot area covered by tennis court, pool and m existing + proposed =	echanical equip:
12. Amount of lot area covered by all other structures: 	0 95
13. Proposed gross land coverage: Total of Lines 5-12=	27,990 9F

If Line 13 is less than or equal to Line 4, your proposal complies with the Town's maximum gross land coverage regulations and the project may proceed to the Residential Project Review Complete for review. If Line 13 is greater than Line 4 your proposal does not comply with the Town's regulations.

Ċ

Signature and Seal of Professional Preparing Worksheet

11/25/23 Date

WETLAND CONSULTANT REPORT





ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTING www.blaingassociates.com 103 Fort Salonga Road - Suite 5 Fort Salonga, NY 11768 (631) 261-7170, Fax: (631) 261-7454

November 15, 2023

Mr. Angelo Agovino 4 Armonk Heights Road Armonk, New York

Re: 4 Armonk Heights Road, Armonk, Town of North Castle, Westchester County Summary of Wetland and Watercourse Findings

B. Laing Associates, Inc. is an environmental consulting firm specializing in the analysis of ecological and physical conditions associated with residential and commercial structures with an emphasis on the analysis of, and impacts to, natural resources. This includes wetlands, ecology and listed species, storm water management, baseline evaluations for green or brown fields, etc., plus remediation and mitigation of environmental impacts related to natural resources. In this case, B. Laing Associates, Inc. was retained to determine the extent of freshwater wetlands or watercourses on the subject property known as 4 Armonk Heights Road. Furthermore, this report describes state, federal, and local regulations which may have jurisdiction over these resources. Lastly, B. Laing Associates has reviewed the proposed action (i.e., residential development) and project considerations are herein recommended.

The subject property, herein referred to as "the site," is known as Westchester County Tax Map No. 101.01-1-34. The site is a large (±10.8-acre) residential¹ lot situated northeast of the private terminus of Faraway Road. It is bounded to the east by the residences which front on the western side of Byram Ridge Road and to the north by similar residential properties. The (north)western boundary of the site is largely coterminous with a watercourse/stream and wetland area with steep topography rising towards the west. Current site access exists where Skyview Drive meets Armonk Heights Road. See Figures 1 & 2 for location maps of the subject site.

On November 3, 2023, B. Laing Associates, Inc. conducted a wetland delineation and investigation at the subject site referenced above. In review of the existing site conditions, Town of North Castle Map, and Chapter 340 of the Town code, it was determined that jurisdictional wetlands and Town-regulated watercourses do exist on site.

The site conditions, and more details of these findings, are provided below.

¹ Per the Town of North Castle, the site is zoned R-1A (Single-family, residential, one-acre).



Figure 1: Site Location Map (Wide)

Showing the site within greater neighborhood. Site is bounded by red and shown by the white star. North is straight up.

Source: ESRI, ArcGIS





Figure 2: Site Location Map (Close) Showing the site within the immediate local vicinity. North is straight up.

Source: ESRI, ArcGIS



Existing Conditions:

Hydrology and Topography

The topography on site is occasionally flat, though consistently sloped westward. Along the site's eastern boundary, the highest elevation is at approximately 500-feet, where the site meets residential parcels associated with Byram Ridge Road. The "bottom" (i.e., low point) of the site is about 100-feet lower in elevation; between 380 and 390-feet. This low spot is along the (north)western boundary where a stream and wetlands are contained by the site's slope, and the (steeper) slopes across the stream.

The subject stream/watercourse which flows from north to south, along the western boundary of the site, is the primary hydrologic input² for the freshwater wetlands that exist at the base of the site. The wetlands there exist as palustrine, "flood-plain" wetlands which extend eastward from the stream, approximately to the base of the existing slope. These wetlands were delineated per the Army Corps of Engineers Federal Manual for Identifying and Delineating Jurisdictional Wetlands (1987). Flag locations were located with a sub-meter GPS device and those data are included at the rear of this report.

The stream is a regulated "Class C stream" by the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) as known as DEC# 935-107. It flows from the north, and eventually continues south into some larger NYSDEC-wetlands (e.g., NYSDEC # K-22) near Wampus Brook Park. This system acts as a tributary to the Byram River, which is where all of the water eventually ends up, before ultimately discharging into the Long Island Sound, near Rye, New York.

In addition to the stream which feeds the wetlands on site, there is a Y-shaped drainageway that was found to be mapped on a number of available online resources. This drainageway runs down the slope, from east to west, and roughly bisects the site in two. This drainageway was followed up-hill where it meets the rear-yard of the residential parcel known as #85 Byram Ridge Road. There it is piped underground and corresponds to a series of storm-drains along Byram Ridge Road. These drains were found to be the source of the linear drainageway; where stormwater is channeled onto the site, with the ostensible goal of eventually discharging into the stream.

There is also an "arm" of the drainageway which extends to the northeast. This was not associated with the same stormwater input as above, but there appeared to be a different input from Byram Ridge Road. When Byram Ridge Road was inspected, there was a corresponding storm drain between 89 and 91 Byram Ridge Road. At this location, stormwater is piped above-ground and channelized along a series of wooden retaining walls and sent down-slope, to the southwest. This Y-shaped drainageway was also mapped using GPS.

² A small pipe also discharges water into these wetlands from the neighbor's parcel to the north; see the B. Laing wetland map for details.



Thus, the primary hydrologic inputs on site are: 1. the watercourse/stream at the base of the slope and 2. the Town's stormwater drainage which has been cast onto the site with the goal of discharging into the stream. Please see the B. Laing Associates Wetlands and Watercourses Map for more information.

The hydrology on site has also been slightly altered by the landowner which provided some additional issues. Per the Kellard Sessions Construction Inspection Report dated February 13, 2023, a large south/north trench was excavated along the top/eastern portion of the site, for the purpose of diverting the stormwater drainage to the north and then down to the wetlands. This was also observed by B. Laing Associates personnel. The grade of the trench was never completed and, as such, there are areas throughout the site where hydrology has now been laterally spread out, perpendicular to the slope. As a consequence, there are a few (i.e., 2-3) smaller drainageways which have channelized over the last year. These are evidently new based on their size, plus minor ground scouring and erosion. In addition, they can be directly tied to the trench. These were not determined to be jurisdictional watercourses.

A few other areas which express indicators of hydrology (e.g., standing water, saturation) were observed on site, but those areas were not determined to be wetlands or watercourses. A discussion of these exceptions is included below.

Vegetation

The majority of the site is vegetated, though disturbed. The canopy is mostly closed on site, and comprised of deciduous trees; although a stand of white pine (*Pinus strobus*) and spruces (*Picea*) exists near the site's entrance. Trees on site are mostly oaks (*Quercus*) and maples (*Acer*) although various other species such as tulip-tree (*Liriodendron tulipifera*), elms (*Ulmus*), plus many disturbance-oriented species such as tree-of-heaven (*Ailanthus altissima*).

Uplands on site were mostly dominated by invasive species, including an abundance of Japanese barberry (*Berberis thunbergii*), Japanese knotweed (*Reynoutria japonica*), bush honeysuckle (*Lonicera tatarica*), and other species. The immediate understory was often dominated by garlic mustard (*Alliaria petiolata*), with sunnier areas being dominated by mugwort (*Artemisia vulgaris*). Occasionally areas in uplands were vegetated with native wildflowers such as asters (*Aster*), goldenrods (*Solidago*) and graminoids such as grasses and sedges. A large portion of the uplands were often dominated by tangled thickets of brambles (*Rubus*), multiflora rose (*Rosa multiflora*), and other dense, aggressive vines.

The wetlands on site were occasionally also dominated by thicket-like habitats with vines and knocked-over smartweeds (*Persicaria*). However, much of the wetlands were of much more higher quality habitat. These areas tended to be dominated by ferns (e.g., *Onoclea sensibilis*), sedges (*Carex spp.*), soft rush (*Juncus effusus*), and skunk cabbage (*Symplocarpus foetidus*). *Phragmites australis* was occasionally found in the wetlands and slender-leaved cattail (*Typha angustifolia*) was found at the southern end of the site near Faraway Road.



While hydrophytic vegetation (i.e., wetland plants) was found to be dominating the areas delineated as wetlands, the vegetation within the limits of the linear drainageways was more characteristic of uplands. The drainageways on site were mostly rocky (with little vegetation), and were fringed by upland species such as Japanese barberry and garlic mustard. Thus, these drainageways were truly linear without any wetland fringe associated therewith.

Soils

The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) identifies the site as containing four soil types, but two are very minor with only trace amounts on site; thus, there are two dominant soil types. These are mapped as Riverhead loam (RhD); 15-25 percent slopes; ±80% of the site & Fluvaquents-Udifluvents complex (Ff); ±20% of the site.

Riverhead loam is classified as "well drained" and typically found in cleared areas or areas used for suburban development. These soils are mapped beneath the sloped uplands and end roughly at the wetland line. This NRCS soil mapping is consistent with the field observations of soils and upland to facultative-upland vegetation that dominates this portion of the site. Per the NRCS, the Riverhead loam has a "hydric rating³" of 0/100 (i.e., not hydric).

The Fluvaquents-Udifluvents complex is classified as "poorly drained" and are typically found in deep and flat areas with unconsolidated alluvium deposited by streams. This soil type is subject to frequent changes through stream overflow as it is often located along streams. This soil is mapped within the northwest section of the site, and is mostly contained within the delineated wetlands. Thus, this soil type is also consistent with observations and conditions which confirmed that portion of the site as hydric with deep, saturated soils. Per the NRCS, the Urban land-Charlton-Chatfield complex has a "hydric rating" of 59/100 (i.e., likely hydric). The NRCS soil maps are included in Appendix C.

Soil samples were taken during the site investigation by B. Laing Associates personnel with a 3" Dutch auger. The soil sampling efforts were focused on both the upland portions of the site and the wetland area. Soil samples taken across the lot(s) were necessary to investigate the potential of any hydric (i.e., wetland, anaerobic) soils which may exist on site. All soil samples taken were fully consistent with soil types as mapped by the NRCS. As described above, there are areas on site where the dug trench has accidentally spread hydrology into new areas. These areas were also tested and found that they were underlain by upland (i.e., high-chroma) soils.

Regulatory Jurisdictions:

The water resources on site have the potential to be under the regulatory jurisdiction of up to three agencies: the NYSDEC, the Army Corps of Engineers (ACOE), and the Town of North Castle.

³ This rating corresponds to the percentage of entire map units that meets the criteria for hydric soils (i.e., how likely it is to be hydric or "wet").



A description of these regulations, and how they apply to the subject site and the proposed residential development on site, is included below.

NYSDEC

New York State takes regulatory jurisdiction over all freshwater wetlands which are included on their Freshwater Wetland Map, through Article 24 of the Environmental Conservation Law (ECL). These wetlands are typically larger than 12.4-acres (i.e., 5-hectares) in area or of unusual, local significance. Not including the pending changes to Article 24 which will take place in 2025 and 2028, NYSDEC typically does not take jurisdiction over freshwater wetlands which are not currently mapped.

NYSDEC imposes a 100-foot, regulated "adjacent area," which acts as a setback/upland buffer to freshwater wetlands. Most activities and development within the 100-foot NYSDEC adjacent area would require a permit or variance. Furthermore, NYSDEC regulates certain streams under Article 15 of the ECL; Protection of Waters (POW). These streams do not have regulated uplands (as wetlands do), but all disturbance to the banks of a regulated stream would require a permit.

Wetlands on site are not under the jurisdiction of the NYSDEC as they are not currently mapped and are unlikely to meet the 12.4-acre threshold as described above. The nearest NYSDEC wetland is known as K-19 and comprises the ponds immediately east of Byram Ridge Road. Wetland K-22 also exists downstream of the site, as described above. However, the stream which runs through the site is a NYSDEC-regulated stream (935-107) under Article 15.

ACOE

The Army Corps of Engineers, defines federally jurisdictional wetlands as:

"[Any] areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or ground water at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas."

The 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual and Regional Supplements (to the 1987 Manual) organizes characteristics of a potential wetland into three categories: soils, vegetation, and hydrology. The manual and supplements contain criteria for each category. With this approach, the ACOE takes jurisdiction, under the Clean Water Act, over any wetland which exhibits the three parameters per the 1987 Manual, regardless of size⁴.

Wetlands which are federally jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act do not have a regulated upland "adjacent area," but any filling of wetlands would require a federal permit.

⁴ Discussions regarding SWANCC v. EPA and Sackett v. EPA are not herein included as the federal jurisdiction over on-site wetlands would not be in question.



Town of North Castle

The Town of North Castle regulates Wetlands and Watercourses through Chapter 340 "*Wetlands and Watercourse Protection*" of the Town code. The Town's regulations define wetlands as follows:

1. Those geographic areas of the Town of North Castle which meet the technical criteria, field indicators and other sources of information as outlined in the Federal Manual for Identifying and Delineating Jurisdictional Wetlands... Areas that have a predominance of hydric soils and/or are inundated or saturated by surface water or groundwater at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of hydrophytic vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions. Wetlands possess three essential characteristics: hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils, and wetland hydrology. These characteristics are generally described below and are more thoroughly described in the 1989 Federal Manual for Identifying and Delineating Jurisdictional Wetlands.⁵

2. Watercourses and water bodies shall be encompassed under the term "wetland" as used in [the Town] chapter (i.e., the term wetland and watercourse are interchangeable and all wetland regulations also apply to watercourses).

3. "Wetland or freshwater wetland," as defined and regulated under this chapter, shall include lands and waters that meet the definition provided in § 24-0107, Subdivision 1, of the New York State Freshwater Wetlands Act... (i.e., any NYSDEC-wetland as discussed above).

4. Wetland areas depicted on the Environmental Map of North Castle (provides general guidance only and is intended only for general planning purposes; it is not site-specific).

In this instance, the Environmental Map of North Castle does show the primary stream on site which runs north to south at the western portion of the site as a Town watercourse. It does not directly show any freshwater wetlands associated therewith, but they should be implied due to their direct connection. However, the Y-shaped drainageway is not shown on the Town map. The Town also provides a "wetland buffer" area which is defined as:

"... is a specified area surrounding a wetland that is intended to provide some degree of natural protection to and separation of the wetland from human activity and other encroachment associated with development. The wetland buffer shall be subject to the regulations for wetlands as defined in this chapter and shall be determined to be the area extending 100 feet horizontally away from and paralleling the outermost wetland boundary or bank of the watercourse or if, within such buffer area, there is an area of slope

⁵ This more-or-less mirrors the federal definition for freshwater wetlands (i.e., any area which meets the three parameters approach to wetland delineation).



in excess of 25%, the buffer area shall be expanded to include the lesser of either 150 feet or the entirety of the area of 25% or greater slope which drains down toward the wetland, water body or watercourse."

The Town of North Castle Environmental Map and NYSDEC Freshwater Wetland Map are both included in Appendix B.

Findings:

Freshwater Wetlands

On November 3, 2023, B. Laing Associates, Inc. conducted a wetland delineation and investigation at the subject site referenced above. Most of the site is consistent with upland habitats, with well-drained, sloped soils which naturally do not retain much hydrology. These uplands are typically dominated by invasive or otherwise "weedy" vegetation. However, the base of the slope on site (where the western property line is) contains a Town watercourse which flows from north to south. This stream has associated freshwater, flood plain wetlands which stretch from the stream, eastward to the base of the slope on site. These wetlands also likely extend to the western property line, although the land west of the watercourse was not explored.

Wetland flags were installed by B. Laing Associates and plotted using a GPS/GNSS-device⁶ with sub-meter accuracy. These flags (16 in total) are shown on the B. Laing Associates Wetlands and Watercourses Map in Appendix D.

It is the opinion of this office that the freshwater wetlands on site are regulated⁷ under the jurisdiction of the Army Corps of Engineers and the Town of North Castle. As the Army Corps provides no upland buffers to freshwater wetlands, no further federal review is required provided the proposed residential development avoids the wetlands, as is anticipated. Thus, the only agency which this project has the potential to require a wetland approval from is the Town of North Castle, if the project is within 100-feet⁸ to the delineated wetlands.

Y-Shaped Drainageway

As discussed above, there is a Y-shaped drainageway which bisects the residential parcel in two. During the B. Laing Associates field investigation, this drainageway was sourced to a series of Town of North Castle storm drains which concentrate stormwater runoff/drainage onto the subject site. The goal of the stormwater drains is ostensibly to get the Town's roadway drainage down into the subject watercourse/wetlands. As the site has been privately held, though unimproved (and slopes

⁸ Steep slopes exist to the east, but the area adjacent to the wetlands does not appear to exceed 25% slopes. This will be confirmed by the project engineer/surveyor.



⁶ Juniper Systems Geode.

⁷ No NYSDEC jurisdiction would apply to the wetlands on site. However, disturbance to the stream itself would require a state-approval. This type of action is not anticipated.

towards the wetlands), for many decades, it stands to reason that the drainage was cast down these slopes with that goal in mind, without being properly piped and/or relocated.

This Y-shaped drainageway is shown on a number of online resources (e.g., ESRI's mapping data per ArcGIS) however it is not present on the Town's Environmental Map of North Castle (which is a jurisdictional map). Furthermore, this drainageway is entirely linear, rocky, and lacking hydrophytic (wetland) vegetation. Thus, it does not meet the federal standards for wetland identification. As this Y-shaped drainageway does not meet the definitions outlined for wetlands and watercourses, above, it should not be considered a "Town Watercourse." This drainageway is also included on the B. Laing map in Appendix D.

While it is the opinion of this office that the Y-shaped drainageway should not be considered a Town-jurisdictional wetland/watercourse, it still conveys a considerable amount of stormwater from Byram Ridge Road. As such, if the project proposes to disturb it, it should be either piped or rerouted such that there are no changes in the flows into the wetlands on site or the drainage of the upstream sources.

Other Areas of Interest

As discussed above, a linear trench was installed along the northern property line, though never completed. This occurred sometime prior to February 13, 2023, per the Kellard Sessions Construction Inspection. This unfinished trench has spent the last year (and thus, growing season) inadvertently spreading hydrology out in various locations of the site. This has caused a few "new rivulets⁹" to leave the berm and flow down-hill. These are clearly not the result of the natural condition and would not be considered "normal circumstances" per the Army Corps of Engineers approach to wetland delineation. Moreover, they did not seem to have a prevalence or dominance of hydrophytic (wetland) vegetation.

Furthermore, an area within the northern portion of the site, downhill from the trench, now experiences some new hydrology as well. That area was found to have some saturated soils and standing water with a mix of upland (e.g., Japanese barberry) and wetland (e.g., soft rush) plants. However, soil samples in this area determined that the area was underlain by non-hydric, high chroma soils typical of uplands. This was corroborated by the landowner who expressed hydrology only entered this area following the trench installation. Thus, this was area was not considered wetland.

Lastly, a small area of standing water vegetated by wetland plants (e.g., *Phragmites australis, Carex spp., Iris sp.,* etc.) was located near the entrance to the site. However, it was determined that this area was an artificial impoundment sitting on a concrete slab from a historic barn structure. Thus, this area was also determined not to be a wetland.

⁹ As evident by very recent scouring/minor erosion through otherwise normal uplands.



Conclusions and Project Considerations:

As discussed above, the site has two primary water resources: a Town watercourse and freshwater wetlands which are found on the northwest portion of the site and flow south (west of Faraway Road), as a tributary to the Byram River; and a Y-shaped drainageway which is an above-ground expression of stormwater channelized from Byram River Road. The wetlands as delineated would be jurisdictional under the ACOE as well as the Town of North Castle. However, in review of the Town Code, the Y-shaped drainageway does not meet the definition of a wetland or a watercourse, nor any other definition of a wetland.

Per the site plans reviewed by this office, the property is proposed to be developed as a single residential lot with a dwelling plus other ancillary uses. The project engineer/surveyor should confirm that the limit of disturbance is greater than 100-feet from the delineated wetlands (the slope does not appear to exceed 25% at this location, which would impart a 150-foot buffer). If the project stays more than 100-feet from the wetlands, a Town approval under Chapter 340 would not be necessary (i.e., the project will avoid the regulated buffer). However, if the Y-shaped drainageway is proposed to be piped or relocated, detailed plans showing same should be included with the Town site plan approval, despite the fact that it does not appear to be a jurisdictional "wetland or watercourse" per the definitions of Chapter 340.

Furthermore, the existing trench seemed to be eroding during the November 3 site visit. This should be stabilized with erosion/sediment controls as soon as possible in order to prevent the sedimentation of jurisdictional down-stream resources.

Please contact the office with any questions you may have in regards to these findings, or if you require further assistance. Thank you for contacting our firm to assist you in this matter.

Sincerely,



Taylor J. Sturm, PWS Senior Project Scientist



<u>APPENDIX A</u>

Site Photographs taken November 3, 2023





Photo 1. Looking north at the large stream culvert which feeds the watercourse/stream on the northwest portion of the site.



Photo 2. Looking south at the watercourse on site from the same vantage as Photo 1.





Photo 3. Showing the site's entry/access road and standard uplands on site.



Photo 4. Looking at the old foundation of a historic barn structure (a slab of concrete) which has been covered by standing water and some wetland plants. This is not a jurisdictional wetland.





Photo 5. Looking at disturbed uplands on site which are smothered by vines, brambles, and Japanese barberry.



Photo 6. Showing standard non-hydric/oxidized soil which was found beneath an area which has "new" hydrology as described above.





Photo 7. Looking at the palustrine, flood plain wetlands which exist between the base of the slope and the watercourse.



Photo 8. Looking south at the private Faraway Drive cul-de-sac from the boundary of wetlands on site.





Photo 9. Looking north into wetlands from the mugwort-dominated berm which separates the wetlands on site from the Faraway Road cul-de-sac.



Photo 10. Looking east at the bottom of the "linear drainageway" which conveys stormwater from Byram Ridge Road into the wetlands. Shown by dashed line.





Photo 11. Looking at another rocky portion of the linear drainageway with the Faraway Road parcel to the right/background. Shown by dashed line.



Photo 12. Showing a typical boundary between wetlands (bottom right of image) and higher elevation uplands (left) with pink delineation flag in center.





Photo 13. Looking north at the existing trench on site.



Photo 14. Looking at the drain at 85 Byram Ridge Road which conveys water underground and dumps it on the site.





Photo 15. Looking west under the grate in photo 14, showing the drain facing the subject property (water heading west).



Photo 16. Looking east from the top of the Y-shaped drainageway where the roadway drainage leaves a pipe and cascades onto the site along a rocky spillway.





Photo 17. Looking southwest from 89/91 Byram Ridge Road where a Town drainage feature channels water towards the subject site. Shown by dashed line.



Photo 18. Another photo of the same drainageway which is shown channelized by wooden walls.



APPENDIX B Additional Wetland Resource Maps





Exhibit A: Town of North Castle Environmental Map

The site is bounded by red and the watercourse is called out with a black arrow. Note that the Y-shaped drainageway is not shown. Dark yellow areas are steep slopes.

Source: Town of North Castle





Exhibit B: USFWS National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) Map

The site is bounded by red; lot lines approximate. Note NWI wetlands shown on the along (north)west portion of the property. North is straight up.

Source: USFWS/NWI





Exhibit C: NYSDEC Freshwater Wetlands Map

The site is bounded by red; boundaries approximate. Closest NYSDEC wetland to the east (east of Byram Ridge Road) is NYSDEC Freshwater Wetland # K-19. North is straight up.

Source: NYSDEC Environmental Resource Mapper



APPENDIX C NRCS Soil Data





Conservation Service



USDA

Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
Ff	Fluvaquents-Udifluvents complex, frequently flooded	2.2	20.2%
HrF	Hollis-Rock outcrop complex, 35 to 60 percent slopes	0.0	0.2%
LcB	Leicester loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes, stony	0.0	0.3%
RhD	Riverhead loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes	8.5	79.2%
Totals for Area of Interest	·	10.8	100.0%





Hydric Rating by Map Unit

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
Ff	Fluvaquents-Udifluvents complex, frequently flooded	59	2.2	20.2%
HrF	Hollis-Rock outcrop complex, 35 to 60 percent slopes	4	0.0	0.2%
LcB	Leicester loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes, stony	42	0.0	0.3%
RhD	Riverhead loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes	0	8.5	79.2%
Totals for Area of Intere	st		10.8	100.0%

Description

This rating indicates the percentage of map units that meets the criteria for hydric soils. Map units are composed of one or more map unit components or soil types, each of which is rated as hydric soil or not hydric. Map units that are made up dominantly of hydric soils may have small areas of minor nonhydric components in the higher positions on the landform, and map units that are made up dominantly of nonhydric soils may have small areas of minor hydric components in the lower positions on the landform. Each map unit is rated based on its respective components and the percentage of each component within the map unit.

The thematic map is color coded based on the composition of hydric components. The five color classes are separated as 100 percent hydric components, 66 to 99 percent hydric components, 33 to 65 percent hydric components, 1 to 32 percent hydric components, and less than one percent hydric components.

In Web Soil Survey, the Summary by Map Unit table that is displayed below the map pane contains a column named 'Rating'. In this column the percentage of each map unit that is classified as hydric is displayed.

Hydric soils are defined by the National Technical Committee for Hydric Soils (NTCHS) as soils that formed under conditions of saturation, flooding, or ponding long enough during the growing season to develop anaerobic conditions in the upper part (Federal Register, 1994). Under natural conditions, these soils are either saturated or inundated long enough during the growing season to support the growth and reproduction of hydrophytic vegetation.

The NTCHS definition identifies general soil properties that are associated with wetness. In order to determine whether a specific soil is a hydric soil or nonhydric soil, however, more specific information, such as information about the depth and duration of the water table, is needed. Thus, criteria that identify those estimated soil properties unique to hydric soils have been established (Federal Register, 2002). These criteria are used to identify map unit components that normally are associated with wetlands. The criteria used are selected estimated soil properties that are described in "Soil Taxonomy" (Soil Survey Staff, 1999) and "Keys to Soil Taxonomy" (Soil Survey Staff, 1993).

If soils are wet enough for a long enough period of time to be considered hydric, they should exhibit certain properties that can be easily observed in the field. These visible properties are indicators of hydric soils. The indicators used to make onsite determinations of hydric soils are specified in "Field Indicators of Hydric Soils in the United States" (Hurt and Vasilas, 2006).

References:

Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States. Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States. Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.

Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18.

Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436.

Soil Survey Staff. 2006. Keys to soil taxonomy. 10th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service.

Rating Options

Aggregation Method: Percent Present Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified Tie-break Rule: Lower



<u>APPENDIX D</u>

B. Laing Associates Wetlands and Watercourses Map





AT: 4 Armonk Heights Rd. ON: NYSDEC Stream 935-106 COUNTY: Westchester STATE: NY FOR: A. Agovino

SHEET: 1 of 1

PROJ #: MGCAHR01 TM: --DATE: Nov. 8, 2023 REV: Map based on delineation of freshwater wetlands and inspection of water resources by B. Laing Associates on November 3, 2023.
 Basemap sourced from ArcGIS/ESRI.
 Points plotted using sub-meter GPS/GNSS data collector.
 Some illustrative features (e.g., stream location) are approximate.
 Area west of stream/watercourse not thoroughly surveyed (i.e., additional uplands may exist). B. LAING SSOCIATES ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS 103 Fort Salonga, Suite 5 Fort Salonga, NY 11768 (631) 261-7170 (631) 261-7454 fax www.blaingassociates.com

GENERAL NOTES

GENERAL

- 1. STANDARDS OF CONSTRUCTION: ALL CONSTRUCTION SHALL CONFORM TO THE TOWN OF CARMEL STANDARDS, RULES AND REGULATIONS REGARDLESS OF WHAT MAY BE INDICATED ON THE PLANS.
- 2. IMPORTED FILL: IF THE SITE REQUIRES IMPORTED FILL IN THE PROPOSED MUNICIPAL RIGHT OF WAY OR MUNICIPAL OWNED PROPERTY, ALL FILL MUST BE TESTED FOR COMPOSITION AND CHEMICALS IN ACCORDANCE WITH TOWN ENGINEER DIRECTIONS AND AT THE FULL EXPENSE OF THE OWNER. THESE TEST RESULTS MUST BE RECEIVED PRIOR TO DEPOSITING MATERIAL ON MUNICIPAL PROPERTY. A LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER MUST BE ON SITE TO APPROVE THE FILL, KEEP RECORDS, AND REVIEW THE CHAIN OF CUSTODY DOCUMENTATION OF EACH TRUCK BROUGHT TO THE SITE. THE REQUIRED NUMBER OF SAMPLES MUST BE TAKEN AND TESTED BY A NEW YORK STATE CERTIFIED LABORATORY. IN ADDITION, POLLUTION INSURANCE MUST BE PROVIDED PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION, IN THE AMOUNT TO HE DETERMINED BY THE TOWN ENGINEER.
- 3. EROSION, DUST & SEDIMENT CONTROL: THE DEVELOPER SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR PROVIDING PROPER EROSION, SEDIMENT AND DUST CONTROL. ALL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MUST BE SIZED AND DESIGNED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES PRESENTED IN THE LATEST NYSDEC REGULATIONS. THE DEVELOPER SHALL SUBMIT TO THE COMMISSIONER FOR APPROVAL, A PLAN WITH DETAILS DELINEATING THE METHODS HE INTENDS TO USE FOR EROSION, SEDIMENTATION AND DUST CONTROL DURING THE CONSTRUCTION OF THIS PROJECT. SILT PROTECTION AND ANY WATER RETENTION BASINS WILL BE THE FIRST ITEMS OF CONSTRUCTION. THE EROSION, SEDIMENTATION AND DUST CONTROLS MUST BE MAINTAINED THROUGHOUT CONSTRUCTION OR A STOP WORK ORDER WILL BE ISSUED BY TOWN ENGINEER.
- 4. ELEVATION DATUM: ELEVATIONS SHOWN ON THE PLANS ARE FROM THE FIELD SURVEY IN NAVD 83.
- 5. INDUSTRIAL CODE RULE '753: THE DEVELOPER SHALL NOTIFY ALL UTILITY COMPANIES 72 HOURS PRIOR TO THE START OF HIS OPERATIONS AND SHALL COMPLY WITH ALL THE LATEST INDUSTRIAL CODE RULE 753 REGULATIONS.
- 6. VERIFICATION AND PROTECTION OF EXISTING UTILITIES: THE DEVELOPER SHALL VERIFY THE SIZE, LOCATION, DEPTH AND INVERTS OF ALL EXISTING UTILITIES PRIOR TO COMMENCING HIS OPERATIONS. THE DEVELOPER SHALL PRESERVE AND PROTECT EXISTING PRIVATE AND MUNICIPAL UNDERGROUND AND OVERHEAD UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES, WHETHER OR NOT THEY ARE SHOWN ON THE APPROVED PLANS OR LOCATED UNDER INDUSTRIAL CODE RULE 753. THE COST OF REPAIRING DAMAGED UTILITIES OR STRUCTURES SHALL BE BORNE BY THE DEVELOPER. IF TEMPORARY UTILITIES ARE REQUIRED IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE DEVELOPER TO PROVIDE AND MAINTAIN SAID UTILITIES.
- 7. PIPE LAYOUT: THE DEVELOPER SHALL PERFORM ALL PROPOSED PIPE LAYOUT REQUIRED BY MEANS OF A LASER FOR EXACT VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT. THE USE OF BATTER BOARDS, AS SPECIFIED IN THE MUNICIPAL STANDARD CONSTRUCTION SPECIFICATIONS ARTICLE 33.132 - "LAYOUT", SHALL NOT BE ALLOWED. THE DEVELOPER'S EQUIPMENT MUST HAVE BEEN CALIBRATED WITHIN THE PREVIOUS SIX (6) MONTHS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. WRITTEN PROOF OF CALIBRATION MUST BE PROVIDED IF REQUIRED BY THE TOWN ENGINEER.
- 8. INSPECTION & BACKFILL: NO BACKFILL SHALL BE PLACED OVER NEW CONSTRUCTION PRIOR TO INSPECTION AND APPROVAL BY TOWN ENGINEER. THE DEVELOPER MUST HAVE A SUFFICIENT STOCKPILE OF CLEAN FILL IF EXCAVATED MATERIAL IS UNSUITABLE FOR BACKFILL (IE., ROCK, ORGANIC MATERIAL). THE USE OF CONTROLLED BACKFILL MATERIAL MAY BE REQUIRED IN TRENCHES IF DETERMINED BY THE TOWN ENGINEER.



EROSION CONTROL:

- APPROVED BY THE TOWN INSPECTOR. 4. SEEDING AND MULCHING SHALL BE AS FOLLOWS: **TEMPORARY SEEDING & MULCHING**
- 4.1. 4.2.
- 4.3.
- SUBJECT TO THE APPROVAL OF THE TOWN ENGINEER.

SITE WORK:

- EVENLY WITH THE EXISTING CONTOURS.
- DENSITY. 4. ALL STORM DRAIN PIPES SHALL BE H.D.P.E. PIPES UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
- 5. ALL GRAVEL SHALL BE 3/4" CRUSHED STONE OR RECYCLED MATERIAL IF ALLOWED BY THE MUNICIPALITY.
- NOTED HEREON.
- 7. PIPE LAYOUT MUST MEET TOWN CODE.

1. INSTALL ALL EROSION CONTROL DEVICES AS INDICATED ON DRAWINGS AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE NEW YORK STATE STANDARDS & SPECIFICATIONS FOR EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL, LATEST REVISION. 2. CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN ALL EROSION CONTROL DEVICES DURING COURSE OF CONSTRUCTION. 3. EROSION CONTROL DEVICES SHALL NOT BE REMOVED UNTIL FULL VEGETATION GROWTH HAS OCCURRED AND AS

> LIME : 90LBS./1,000 SQ.FT. GROUND LIMESTONE, FERTILIZER : 4LBS./1,000 SQ.FT., 10-20-10 OR EQUIVALENT WORKED INTO SOIL A MINIMUM OF 4 INCHES. SEED : ANNUAL RYEGRASS 40 LBS./ACRE OR OTHER APPROVED SEED, PLANT BETWEEN MARCH 1 AND MAY 15 OR BETWEEN AUGUST 15 AND OCTOBER 1. MULCH : SALT HAY OR SMALL GRAIN STRAW AT A RATE OF 70 TO 90 LBS./1,000 SQ.FT. TO BE APPLIED ACCORDING TO STANDARD PRACTICES. MULCH SHALL BE SECURED BY APPROVED METHODS.

5. THE APPLICANT SHALL BE REQUIRED TO CLEAN ROADWAYS FROM ALL SILTATION AND CONSTRUCTION DEBRIS AS REQUIRED, AND UPON COMPLETION OF THE WORK, WITHIN THE VICINITY OF THE PROJECT SITE 6. ALL PLANS SHOULD FULLY INCORPORATE THE APPROPRIATE RECOMMENDATIONS FROM NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION'S STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL DATED AUGUST 2001, OR THE MOST CURRENT VERSION OR ITS SUCCESSOR. THE PLAN AND ITS IMPLEMENTATION SHALL BE

1. THE SITE SHALL BE GRADED AS INDICATED ON THE DRAWINGS. ALL PROPOSED CONTOURS SHALL BE GRADED TO BLEND 2. ALL DISTURBED AREAS WHICH WILL BE LEFT EXPOSED FOR MORE THAN THIRTY (30) DAYS AND NOT SUBJECTED TO CONSTRUCTION TRAFFIC SHALL IMMEDIATELY RECEIVE TEMPORARY SEEDING. IF THE SEASON PROHIBITS TEMPORARY SEEDING. THE DISTURBED AREA WILL BE MULCHED WITH SALT HAY, OR APPROVED EQUAL, AND BOUND AS PER THE NEW YORK STATE STANDARDS & SPECIFICATIONS FOR EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL. 3. FILL MATERIAL SHALL BE CLEAN FILL AND SHALL BE INSTALLED IN 12 INCH LIFTS AND COMPACTED TO 95% OPTIMUM

6. MAINTAIN POSITIVE PITCHES ON ALL DRAIN PIPES TO EXISTING & PROPOSED DRAINAGE STRUCTURES UNLESS OTHERWISE

SEC.: 101.34

Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
Fluvaquents-Udifluvents complex, frequently flooded	0.0	0.6%
Leicester loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes, stony	0.2	4.8%
Riverhead loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes	4.1	94.6%
	4.3	100.0%

Soil Map—Westchester County, New York

MAP LEGEND

of Interest (AOI)		300	Spoil Area	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at	
	Area of Interest (AOI)	٥	Stony Spot	1.12,000.	
1	Soil Map Unit Polygons	0	Very Stony Spot	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.	
•	Soil Map Unit Lines	Ŷ	Wet Spot	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can caus misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of s	ie oil
]	Soil Map Unit Points	\triangle	Other	line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of	iled
cial	Point Features		Special Line Features	scale.	leu
ు	Blowout	Water Fea	atures		
R	Borrow Pit	\sim	Streams and Canals	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.	
	Clay Spot	Transport	tation		
联			Rails	Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service	
\diamond	Closed Depression	~	Interstate Highways	Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)	
K	Gravel Pit	~~	US Routes	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Merca	ator
0 9 0	Gravelly Spot	~	Major Roads	projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as	the
3	Landfill	~	Local Roads	Albers equal-area conic projection that preserves area, such as	
A.	Lava Flow	Backgrou	Ind	accurate calculations of distance or area are required.	
lle-	Marsh or swamp	Mar.	Aerial Photography	This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified dat of the version date(s) listed below.	ta as
2	Mine or Quarry			Soil Survey Area: Westchester County New York	
9	Miscellaneous Water			Survey Area Data: Version 19, Sep 6, 2023	
0	Perennial Water			Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales	
\checkmark	Rock Outcrop				
┢	Saline Spot			Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Oct 21, 2022—0 27, 2022	Jct
*	Sandy Spot			The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines we	re
₽	Severely Eroded Spot			compiled and digitized probably differs from the background	
٥	Sinkhole			shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.	
þ	Slide or Slip				
ର୍ଷ	Sodic Spot				

MAP INFORMATION

W-	Ø	
	V	\int
		Ч

T101
ТОРС
C100
C101
C102
C200
C201
C202
C203
A100

A101 A200

Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey

10/10/2023 Page 2 of 3

PROPOSED 1 FAMILY RESIDENCE FOR ARMONK ESTATES LLC 4 ARMONK HEIGHTS ROAD TOWN OF NORTH CASTLE, NEW YORK BLOCK: 1 TAX LOT: 34



VICINITY MAP

SCALE : 1"=300'

LIST OF DRAWINGS

- T100 TITLE SHEET, VICINITY MAP & GENERAL NOTES
 - ΤΑΧ ΜΑΡ
 - OGRAPHIC SURVEY
 - EROSION CONTROL & TREE REMOVAL PLAN
 - PARTIAL SITE PLAN & ZONING ANALYSIS TABLE
 - PARTIAL GRADING & UTILITY PLAN
 - STORMWATER DESIGN COMPUTATIONS & DETAILS CONSTRUCTION DETAILS
 - CONSTRUCTION DETAILS
 - CONSTRUCTION DETAILS
 - ARCHITECTURAL ELEVATIONS
 - ARCHITECTURAL ELEVATIONS
 - FIRST FLOOR PLAN

A201 -SECOND FLOOR PLAN

NORTH CASTLE PLANNING BOARD APPROVAL

APPROVED BY THE TOWN OF NORTH CASTLE PLANNING BOARD AS PER DATED : RESOLUTION No.

PLANNING BOARD CHAIRMAN

DATE

MA c 10 midl	ENGINEERING, P.C. CONSULTING ENGINEERS & LAND SURVEYORS Licensed in New York and Connecticut AND AVENUE, SUITE 100, PORT CHESTER, N.Y. 10573 Tel :. 914-920-6372 EMail : admin@masengpc.com www.masengpc.com
All ideas, d owned by developed ideas, desi person, fir permission Written dii dimension and condi from these It is a via Subdivisio acting unc	designs, arrangements and plans indicated or represented are and the property of this office and were created, evolved and for use and in connection with this specific project. None of such gns, arrangements or plans shall be used by or disclosed to any m or corporation for any purpose whatsoever without written of Mastrogiacomo Engineering, P.C. mensions on these drawings shall have precedence over scaled s. Contractors shall verify and be responsible for all dimensions tions on the job and this office must be notified of any variation e dimensions and conditions shown hereon in writing. plation of Chapter 16, Title VIII, Article 145 of Section 7209, n 2 of the New York State Education Law for any person, unless der the direction of a Licensed Professional Engineer, to add or
Revi	Item on this drawing and/or related specification. The ol Engineer/Surveyor whose seal appears hereon assumes no lity for any such alteration or re-use without his consent.
$\frac{}{}$	
$\frac{\underline{\land}}{\land}$	
$\frac{\underline{2 \cdot 2}}{\underline{2 \cdot 2}}$	
$\frac{\underline{\land \land}}{\underline{\land}}$	
$\frac{\underline{\land \land}}{\underline{\land \land}}$	
$\frac{\bigtriangleup}{\bigtriangleup}$	
	Client Name and Address Client Name and Address ARMONK ESTATES LLC 21 BRASSIE ROAD EASTCHESTER, NY 10709 Project Information PROPOSED 1 FAMILY RESIDENCE Situated At 4 ARMONK HEIGHTS RD TOWN OF NORTH CASTLE WESTCHESTER CO. NEW YORK
Sheet Title TITLE SHEET & GENERAL NOTES	TAX ASSESSMENT INFO: SEC: 101.01 BLOCK: 1 TAX LOT: 34 Job No. MISC Scale AS NOTED Date 1 OCT. 2023 Drawn By M.M. Checked By M.M. Sheet TTOOD Seal & Signature



TOTAL NUMBER OF INFILTRATOR =

=	2.54	FT
:	4.33	FT
:	7.00	FT
-		
•	1.50	FT
-		
•	1.50	FT

RAINFALL INTENSITY =	6.70 inc

	=	6220.00 SF
	=	3472.83 CF
•	=	8.41
	8.41	INFILTRATORS
	=	16500.00 SF
	=	9212.50 CF
	=	22.31
	22.31	INFILTRATORS
	=	200.00 SF
	=	111.67 CF
•	=	0.27
	0.27	INFILTRATORS
	=	3570.00 SF
	=	1993.25 CF
•	=	4.83
	4.83	INFILTRATORS
	=	1500.00 SF
	=	837.50 CF
•	=	2.03
-	2.03	INFILTRATORS
	=	0.00 SF
	=	0.00 CF
	=	0.00
-	0.00	INFILTRATORS
	=	0.00 SF
	=	0.00 CF
•	=	0.00
-	0.00	INFILTRATORS
	37.84	CULTECT 330XLHD
	38	S TOTAL NUMBER 330XLHD
1		

	Mair	ntenance Guidelines			Frequency	Action
	The fo manag	llowing guidelines shall be adl gement system:	hered to for the operation and maintenance of the CULTEC stormwater	Inlets and Outlets	Every 3 years	Obtain documentation that the inlets, outlets and vents have bee cleaned and will function as intended.
	Α.	The owner shall keep a main have an effect on the syster	ntenance log which shall include details of any events which would n's operational capacity.		Spring and Fall	Check inlet and outlets for clogging and remove any debris as re quired.
	в.	The operation and maintena conditions.	ance procedure shall be reviewed periodically and changed to meet site	CULTEC Stormwater Chambers	2 years after commis- sioning	 Inspect the interior of the stormwater management chambers through inspection port for deficiencies using CCTV or comparable toobneue.
	c.	Maintenance of the stormwa shall follow applicable occup	ater management system shall be performed by qualified workers and bational health and safety requirements.			 Obtain documentation that the stormwater management chambe and feed connectors will function as anticipated.
	D.	Debris removed from the sta applicable laws and regulation	ormwater management system shall be disposed of in accordance with ons.	d of in accordance with	9 years after commis- sioning every 9 years	Clean stormwater management chambers and feed connectors of any debris.
[V .	Sug	gested Maintenance	Schedules		Tonowing	Inspect the interior of the stormwater management structures for deficiencies using CCTV or comparable technique.
	Α.	Minor Maintenance The following suggested sch operation of the stormwater	nedule shall be followed for routine maintenance during the regular - system:			 Obtain documentation that the stormwater management chamber and feed connectors have been cleaned and will function as inter ed.
					45 years after com-	Clean stormwater management chambers and feed connectors o
Frequ	ency		Action		missioning	any debris.
Month	ly in first	year	Check inlets and outlets for clogging and remove any debris, as required.			Determine the remaining life expectancy of the stormwater man
Spring	and Fall		Check inlets and outlets for clogging and remove any debris, as required.			bilitate the stormwater management chambers as required.
one y year f	ear after ollowing	commissioning and every third	Check inlets and outlets for clogging and remove any debris, as required.			 Inspect the interior of the stormwater management chambers fo deficiencies using CCTV or comparable technique.
	B. Major Maintenance The following suggested maintenance schedule shall be followed to maintain the performance of the CULTEC stormwater management chambers. Additional work may be necessary due to insufficient performance and other issues that might be found during the inspection of the				Replace or restore the stormwater management chambers in acc dance with the schedule determined at the 45-year inspection.	
		stormwater management ch	nambers. (See table on next page)			Attain the appropriate approvals as required. Establish a new operation and maintenance schedule
				Surrounding Site	Monthly in 1 st year	Check for depressions in areas over and surrounding the stormw management system.
					Spring and Fall	Check for depressions in areas over and surrounding the stormw management system.

	L.
MA	ASTROGIACOMO
с	CONSULTING ENGINEERS & LAND SURVEYORS
10 MIDL/	AND AVENUE, SUITE 100, PORT CHESTER, N.Y. 10573 Tel :. 914-920-6372 EMail : admin@masenanc.com
	www.masengpc.com
All ideas, a owned by developed	designs, arrangements and plans indicated or represented are and the property of this office and were created, evolved and for use and in connection with this specific orbiect. None of such
ideas, desi person, fir permission	gns, arrangements or plans shall be used by or disclosed to any m or corporation for any purpose whatsoever without written of Mastrogiacomo Engineering, P.C.
Written dir dimensions and condit	mensions on these drawings shall have precedence over scaled s. Contractors shall verify and be responsible for all dimensions tions on the job and this office must be notified of any variation
It is a via	plation of Chapter 16, Title VIII, Article 145 of Section 7209,
acting unc alter any Profession	n 2 of the New York State Education Law for any person, unless Jer the direction of a Licensed Professional Engineer, to add or item on this drawing and/or related specification. The al Engineer/Surveyor whose seal appears hereon assumes no
Revi:	lity for any such alteration or re-use without his consent.
$\overline{\underline{\bigwedge}}$	
$\frac{\bigtriangleup}{\bigtriangleup}$	
$\frac{\underline{\wedge}}{\wedge}$	
$\frac{\underline{\qquad }}{\underline{\qquad }}$	
$\frac{\triangle}{\wedge}$	
$\frac{\underline{2 \cdot 2}}{\underline{2 \cdot 2}}$	
Δ	
	Drawing North
	Client Name and Address
	ESIAIES
	21 BRASSIE ROAD
	EASTCHESTER, NY
	10709
	Project Information
	PROPOSED 1
	FAMILY
	RESIDENCE
	Situated At 4 ARMONK HFIGHTS RD
	TOWN OF NORTH CASTLE
	WESTCHESTER CO. NEW YORK
ILS	
ETA	
N DE	SEC : <u>101.01</u>
10L	BLOCK : <u>1</u> TAX LOT : 34
UC1	Job No. MISC
STRI	Scale AS NOTED
Ň	Date 1 OC 1, 2023 Drawn By M.M.
Ŭ &	Checked By M.M. Sheet
NS	
TIO	
JTA ⁻	
JPL	Seal & Signature
NO NO	
ER (MASTR C
VAT	
\$MM	milun Merthand
Title TOR	COFESSI CAPL
Sheet	MICHAEL MASTROCIACOMO, P.E., L.S. New York State P.E. I.C. NO. 083853 New York State L.S. IIC. NO. 051124 CONNECTICUT STATE P.E. & L.S. IIC. NO. 21713

NORTH CASTEL LANNING BOARD AT ROVAL .	

APPROVED BY THE TOWN OF NORTH CASTLE PLANNING BOARD AS PER DATED : RESOLUTION No.

PLANNING BOARD CHAIRMAN

DATE

MA	STROGIACOMO ENGINEERING, P.C. ONSULTING ENGINEERS & LAND SURVEYORS
10 MIDL	Licensed in New York and Connecticut AND AVENUE, SUITE 100, PORT CHESTER, N.Y. 10573 Tel :. 914-920-6372 EMail : admin@masengpc.com www.masengpc.com
All ideas, a owned by developed ideas, desi person, fir permission Written dir dimension: and condit	designs, arrangements and plans indicated or represented are and the property of this office and were created, evolved and for use and in connection with this specific project. None of such gns, arrangements or plans shall be used by or disclosed to any m or corporation for any purpose whatsoever without written of Mastrogiacomo Engineering, P.C. mensions on these drawings shall have precedence over scaled s. Contractors shall verify and be responsible for all dimensions tions on the job and this office must be notified of any variation
It is a via Subdivision acting und alter any Profession responsibi	blation of Chapter 16, Title VIII, Article 145 of Section 7209, n 2 of the New York State Education Law for any person, unless ler the direction of a Licensed Professional Engineer, to add or item on this drawing and/or related specification. The al Engineer/Surveyor whose seal appears hereon assumes no lity for any such alteration or re-use without his consent.
Revi	sions
Δ	
$\frac{\Delta}{\Delta}$	
Δ	
Δ	
$\frac{\bigtriangleup}{\land}$	
$\frac{2}{2}$	
Δ	
$\frac{\bigtriangleup}{\land}$	
	Client Name and Address ARMONK ESTATES LLC 21 BRASSIE ROAD EASTCHESTER, NY
AILS	Project Information PROPOSED 1 FAMILY RESIDENCE Situated At 4 ARMONK HEIGHTS RD TOWN OF NORTH CASTLE WESTCHESTER CO. NEW YORK
RMWATER COMPUTATIONS & CONSTRUCTION DETA	TAX ASSESSMENT INFO: SEC : 101.01 BLOCK : 1 TAX LOT : 34 Job No. MISC Scale AS NOTED Date 1 OCT. 2023 Drawn By M.M. Checked By M.M. Sheet CC201 Seal & Signature
STC	MICHAEL MASTROCIACOMO, P.E.,L.S. NEW YORK STATE P.B.U.C.MO. 083853 NEW YORK STATE L.S. LIC. NO. 051124 CONNECTICUT STATE P.E. & L.S. LIC. NO. 21713

Situated At

NEW YORK

MISC

M.M.

M.M.

AS NOTED

1 OCT. 2023

