

TOWN OF NORTH CASTLE

WESTCHESTER COUNTY 17 Bedford Road Armonk, New York 10504-1898

PLANNING DEPARTMENT Adam R. Kaufman, AICP Director of Planning

Telephone: (914) 273-3542 Fax: (914) 273-3554 www.northcastleny.com

# Application for Site Development Plan Approval

Application Name

88 OLD BYRAM LAKE ROAD PROPOSED RESIDENCE



TOWN OF NORTH CASTLE

WESTCHESTER COUNTY 17 Bedford Road Armonk, New York 10504-1898

PLANNING DEPARTMENT Adam R. Kaufman, AICP Director of Planning

Telephone: (914) 273-3542 Fax: (914) 273-3554 www.northcastleny.com

# APPLICATIONS REQUIRING PLANNING BOARD APPROVAL SCHEDULE OF APPLICATION FEES

Type of Application	<b>Application Fee</b>		
Site Development Plan	\$200.00		
Each proposed Parking Space	\$10		
Special Use Permit (each)	\$200 (each)		
Preliminary Subdivision Plat	\$300 1 <sup>st</sup> Lot \$200 (each additional lot)		
Final Subdivision Plat	\$250 1 <sup>st</sup> Lot \$100 (each additional lot)		
Tree Removal Permit	\$75		
Wetlands Permit	\$50 (each)		
Short Environmental Assessment Form	\$50		
Long Environmental Assessment Form	\$100		
Recreation Fee	\$10,000 Each Additional Lot		
Discussion Fee	\$200.00		

Prior to submission of a sketch or preliminary subdivision Plat, an applicant or an applicant's representative wishes to discuss a subdivision proposal to the Planning Board, a discussion fee of \$200.00 shall be submitted for each informal appearance before the board.

\*Any amendment to previously approved applications requires new application forms and Fes\*



**TOWN OF NORTH CASTLE** 

WESTCHESTER COUNTY 17 Bedford Road Armonk, New York 10504-1898

PLANNING DEPARTMENT Adam R. Kaufman, AICP Director of Planning

Telephone: (914) 273-3542 Fax: (914) 273-3554 www.northcastleny.com

# PLANNING BOARD SCHEDULE OF ESCROW ACCOUNT DEPOSITS

# <u>Type of Application</u> <u>Deposit\*</u>

Concept Study

Site Plan Waiver for Change of Use

Site Development Plan for:

Multifamily Developments

**Commercial Developments** 

1 or 2 Family Projects

Special Use Permit

Subdivision:

\*

Lot Line Change resulting in no new lots

All Others

Preparation or Review of Environmental Impact Statement \$500.00

\$500.00

\$3,000.00 plus \$100.00 per proposed dwelling unit

**Amount of Initial Escrow Account** 

\$3,000.00 plus \$50.00 for each required parking space

\$2,000.00

\$2,000.00 plus \$50.00 for each required parking space

\$1,500.00

\$3,000.00 plus \$200.00 per proposed new lot in excess of two (2)

\$15,000.00

If a proposed action involves multiple approvals, a single escrow account will be established. The total amount of the initial deposit shall be the sum of the individual amounts indicated. When the balance in such escrow account is reduced to one-third (1/3) of its initial amount, the applicant shall deposit additional funds into such account to restore its balance to the amount of the initial deposit.

Applicant Signature

4/5/24

Date:

# I. IDENTIFICATION OF PROPERTY OWNER, APPLICANT AND PROFESSIONAL REPRESENTATIVES

Name of Property Owner: <u>Sal Ingrao</u>		
Mailing Address: <u>8 West Farms Lane</u> ,	New Fairfield, CT 06812	
Telephone:914-490-4616 Fax		e-mail _smbcc1@aol.com
Name of Applicant (if different):		
Address of Applicant:		
Telephone: Fa	ax:	_e-mail
Interest of Applicant, if other than Prop	erty Owner:	
Is the Applicant (if different from the p	roperty owner) a Contract Vendee?	,
Yes No		
If yes, please submit affidavit sating suc	ch. If no, application cannot be rev	viewed by Planning Board
Name of Professional Preparing Site Pl Alfonzetti Engineering P.C.	an:	
Address:14 Smith_Ave. Mt. Kisco,	NY 10549	
Telephone:914-666-9800	_ Fax:	e-mail info@alfonzettieng.com
Name of Other Professional:		
Address:		
Telephone:	Fax:	e-mail
Name of Attorney (if any):		
Address:		
Telephone:	Fax:	e-mail

# **Applicant Acknowledgement**

By making this application, the undersigned Applicant agrees to permit Town officials and their designated representatives to conduct on-site inspections in connection with the review of this application.

The Applicant also agrees to pay all expenses for the cost of professional review services required for this application.

It is further acknowledged by the Applicant that all bills for the professional review services shall be mailed to the Applicant, unless the Town is notified in writing by the Applicant at the time of initial submission of the application that such mailings should be sent to a designated representative instead.

Signature of Applicant: Salar	Date: 4/5/21
Signature of Property Owner:	Date: <u>\$/5/24</u>

MUST HAVE BOTH SIGNATURES

# II. IDENTIFICATION OF SUBJECT PROPERTY

Street Address:88 Old Byram Lake Road, Armonk, NY	10504	
Location (in relation to nearest intersecting street):		
2240 feet northeast of Byram Lake Rd		
Abutting Street(s): <u>Byram Lake Rd</u>		
Tax Map Designation (NEW): Section 101.03	Block_4	_Lot17.3
Tax Map Designation (OLD): Section	Block	Lot
Zoning District: <u>R-2A</u> Total Land Area	5.302 ACRES	_
Land Area in North Castle Only (if different)		_
Fire District(s) <u>Armonk FD</u> School District(s)	Byram Hills	_
Is any portion of subject property abutting or located w	vithin five hundred	(500) feet of the following:
The boundary of any city, town or village? No X Yes (adjacent) Yes (within 500 If yes, please identify name(s): The boundary of any existing or proposed Cou No Yes (adjacent) Yes (within 500	) feet) nty or State park or ) feet)X	any other recreation area?
The right-of-way of any existing or proposed C or highway? No Yes (adjacent) Yes (within 500	County or State parl	cway, thruway, expressway, road
The existing or proposed right-of-way of any s for which the County has established channel 1 No Yes (adjacent) _X Yes (within 50	tream or drainage c ines? 00 feet) <u>X</u>	channel owned by the County or
The existing or proposed boundary of any cour or institution is situated? No <u>X</u> Yes (adjacent) Yes (within 5	nty or State owned 500 feet)	land on which a public building
The boundary of a farm operation located in an No $\underline{X}$ Yes (adjacent) Yes (within	agricultural distric 500 feet)	ct?
Does the Property Owner or Applicant have an interes No Yes	t in any abutting pr	operty?
If yes, please identify the tax map designation of that p	property:	
Lot 6 88 Old Byram Lake Road, Armonk, New York	x 10504, Tax ID: 101.	03-4-17.2

# **III. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT**

Proposed Use:Residence
Gross Floor Area: Existing <u>0</u> S.F. Proposed <u>11410</u> S.F.
Proposed Floor Area Breakdown:
Retail 0 S.F.; Office 0 S.F.;
Industrial 0 S.F.; Institutional 0 S.F.;
Other Nonresidential 0 S.F.; Residential 11410 S.F.;
Number of Dwelling Units:1
Number of Parking Spaces: Existing <u>0</u> Required <u>2</u> Proposed <u>2</u>
Number of Loading Spaces: Existing <u>N/A</u> Required <u>N/A</u> Proposed <u>N/A</u>
Earthwork Balance: Cut C.Y. Fill C.Y.
Will Development on the subject property involve any of the following:
Areas of special flood hazard? No <u>X</u> Yes <u>(If yes, application for a Development Permit pursuant to Chapter 177 of the North Castle Town Code may also be required)</u>
Trees with a diameter at breast height (DBH) of 8" or greater?
No YesX (If yes, application for a Tree Removal Permit pursuant to Chapter 308 of the North Castle Town Code may also be required.)
Town-regulated wetlands? No $\underline{X}$ Yes (If yes, application for a Town Wetlands Permit pursuant to Chapter 340 of the North Castle Town Code may also be required.)
State-regulated wetlands? No X Yes (If yes, application for a State Wetlands Permit may also be required.)

# **IV. SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS**

The site development plan application package shall include all materials submitted in support of the application, including but not limited to the application form, plans, reports, letters and SEQR Environmental Assessment Form. **Submission of the following shall be required:** 

- One (1) PDF set of the site development plan application package in a single PDF file .
- A check for the required application fee and a check for the required Escrow Account, both made payable to "Town of North Castle" in the amount specified on the "Schedule of Application Fees."

(continued next page)

# V. INFORMATION TO BE INCLUDED ON SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

The following checklist is provided to enable the Applicant to determine if he/she has provided enough information on the site development plan for the Planning Board to review his/her proposal. Applicants are advised to review ARTICLE VIII, Site Development Plan of the North Castle Town Code for a complete enumeration of pertinent requirements and standards prior to making application for site development plan approval.

The application for site development plan approval will not be accepted for Planning Board review unless all items identified below are supplied and **so indicated with a check mark in the blank line provided.** If a particular item is not relevant to the subject property or the development proposal, **the letters ''NA'' should be entered instead**. In addition, the project will not be scheduled on a Planning Board agenda until the Applicant receives an initialed "site plan checklist" from the Planning Department.

The information to be included on a site development plan shall include:

# Legal Data:

- $\mathbf{X}$  Name of the application or other identifying title.
- X Name and address of the Property Owner and the Applicant, (if different).
- X Name, address and telephone number of the architect, engineer or other legally qualified professional who prepared the plan.
- X Names and locations of all owners of record of properties abutting and directly across any and all adjoining streets from the subject property, including the tax map designation of the subject property and abutting and adjoining properties, as shown on the latest tax records.
- X Existing zoning, fire, school, special district and municipal boundaries.
- X Size of the property to be developed, as well as property boundaries showing dimensions and bearings as determined by a current survey; dimensions of yards along all property lines; name and width of existing streets; and lines of existing lots, reservations, easements and areas dedicated to public use.
- X Reference to the location and conditions of any covenants, easements or deed restrictions that cover all or any part of the property, as well as identification of the document where such covenants, easements or deed restrictions are legally established.
- X Schedule of minimum zoning requirements, as well as the plan's proposed compliance with those requirements, including lot area, frontage, lot width, lot depth, lot coverage, yards, off-street parking, off-street loading and other pertinent requirements.
- X Locator map, at a convenient scale, showing the Applicant's entire property in relation to surrounding properties, streets, etc., within five hundred (500) feet of the site.
- X North arrow, written and graphic scales, and the date of the original plan and all revisions, with notation identifying the revisions.
- X A signature block for Planning Board endorsement of approval.

# **Existing Conditions Data:**

- X Location of existing use and design of buildings, identifying first floor elevation, and other structures.
- X Location of existing parking and truck loading areas, with access and egress drives thereto.
- X Location of existing facilities for water supply, sanitary sewage disposal, storm water drainage, and gas and electric service, with pipe sizes, grades, rim and inverts, direction of flow, etc. indicated.
- X Location of all other existing site improvements, including pavement, walks, curbing, retaining walls and fences.
- X Location, size and design of existing signs.
- <u>N/A</u> Location, type, direction, power and time of use of existing outdoor lighting.
- N/A Location of existing outdoor storage, if any.
- <u>X</u> Existing topographical contours with a vertical interval of two (2) feet or less.
- X Location of existing floodplains, wetlands, slopes of 15% or greater, wooded areas, landscaped areas, single trees with a DBH of 8" or greater, rock outcrops, stone walls and any other significant existing natural or cultural features.

# **Proposed Development Data:**

- X Proposed location of lots, streets, and public areas, and property to be affected by proposed easements, deed restrictions and covenants.
- X Proposed location, use and architectural design of all buildings, including proposed floor elevations and the proposed division of buildings into units of separate occupancy.
- X Proposed means of vehicular and pedestrian access to and egress from the site onto adjacent streets.
- N/A Proposed sight distance at all points of vehicular access.
- N/A Proposed number of employees for which buildings are designed
- X Proposed streets, with profiles indicating grading and cross-sections showing the width of the roadway; the location and width of sidewalks; and the location and size of utility lines.
- N/A Proposed location and design of any pedestrian circulation on the site and off-street parking and loading areas, including handicapped parking and ramps, and including details of construction, surface materials, pavement markings and directional signage.
- X Proposed location and design of facilities for water supply, sanitary sewage disposal, storm water drainage, and gas and electric service, with pipe sizes, grades, rim and inverts, direction of flow, etc. indicated.

- X Proposed location of all structures and other uses of land, such as walks, retaining walls, fences, designated open space and/or recreation areas and including details of design and construction.
- N/A Location, size and design of all proposed signs.
- <u>N/A</u> Location, type, direction, power and time of use of proposed outdoor lighting.
- <u>N/A</u> Location and design of proposed outdoor garbage enclosure.
- <u>N/A</u> Location of proposed outdoor storage, if any.
- N/A Location of proposed landscaping and buffer screening areas, including the type (scientific and common names), size and amount of plantings.
- <u>N/A</u> Type of power to be used for any manufacturing
- <u>N/A</u> Type of wastes or by-products to be produced and disposal method
- N/A In multi-family districts, floor plans, elevations and cross sections
- X The proposed location, size, design and use of all temporary structures and storage areas to be used during the course of construction.
- X Proposed grade elevations, clearly indicating how such grades will meet existing grades of adjacent properties or the street.
- X Proposed soil erosion and sedimentation control measures.
- <u>N/A</u> For all proposed site development plans containing land within an area of special flood hazard, the data required to ensure compliance with Chapter 177 of the North Castle Town Code.
- X For all proposed site development plans involving clearing or removal of trees with a DBH of 8" or greater, the data required to ensure compliance with Chapter 308 of the North Castle Town Code.
- N/A For all proposed site development plans involving disturbance to Town-regulated wetlands, the data required to ensure compliance with Chapter 340 of the North Castle Town Code.

F:\PLAN6.0\Application Forms\2016 Full Set\Part B - Site Devel 2016.doc

# Short Environmental Assessment Form Part 1 - Project Information

# **Instructions for Completing**

**Part 1 - Project Information. The applicant or project sponsor is responsible for the completion of Part 1.** Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification. Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information.

Complete all items in Part 1. You may also provide any additional information which you believe will be needed by or useful to the lead agency; attach additional pages as necessary to supplement any item.

Part 1 - Project and Sponsor Information					
Name of Action or Project:					
Project Location (describe, and attach a location map):					
Brief Description of Proposed Action:					
Name of Applicant or Sponsor:	Telepl	none:			
	E-Mai	1:			
Address:					
City/PO:		State:	Zip C	ode:	
1. Does the proposed action only involve the legislative adoption of a plan,	local law	, ordinance,	N	10	YES
administrative rule, or regulation? If Yes, attach a narrative description of the intent of the proposed action an may be affected in the municipality and proceed to Part 2. If no, continue t	d the env to questio	ironmental resources t n 2.	that		
2. Does the proposed action require a permit, approval or funding from an	y other go	overnmental Agency?	N	10	YES
If Yes, list agency(s) name and permit or approval:	-				
3.a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action?         b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed?		acres acres			
c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor?		acres			
4. Check all land uses that occur on, adjoining and near the proposed actio	n.				
□ Urban □ Rural (non-agriculture) □ Industrial □ Com	mercial	□ Residential (suburl	ban)		
□ Forest □ Agriculture □ Aquatic □ Other	(specify	):			
$\Box$ Parkland					

5. Is the proposed action,	NO	YES	N/A
a. A permitted use under the zoning regulations?			
b. Consistent with the adopted comprehensive plan?			
6. Is the proposed action consistent with the predominant character of the existing built or natural landscape?		NO	YES
7. Is the site of the proposed action located in, or does it adjoin, a state listed Critical Environmental A If Yes, identify:	rea?	NO	YES
8. a. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels?		NO	YES
b. Are public transportation service(s) available at or near the site of the proposed action?			
c. Are any pedestrian accommodations or bicycle routes available on or near site of the proposed ac	tion?		
<ul> <li>9. Does the proposed action meet or exceed the state energy code requirements?</li> <li>If the proposed action will exceed requirements, describe design features and technologies:</li> </ul>		NO	YES
10. Will the proposed action connect to an existing public/private water supply?		NO	YES
If No, describe method for providing potable water: Proposed Well			
11. Will the proposed action connect to existing wastewater utilities?		NO	YES
If No, describe method for providing wastewater treatment: <b>Proposed OWTS</b>			
12. a. Does the site contain a structure that is listed on either the State or National Register of Historic		NO	YES
b. Is the proposed action located in an archeological sensitive area?			
13. a. Does any portion of the site of the proposed action, or lands adjoining the proposed action, contain wetlands or other waterbodies regulated by a federal, state or local agency?	n	NO	YES
b. Would the proposed action physically alter, or encroach into, any existing wetland or waterbody? If Yes, identify the wetland or waterbody and extent of alterations in square feet or acres:	1		
14. Identify the typical habitat types that occur on, or are likely to be found on the project site. Check         □ Shoreline       □ Forest       □ Agricultural/grasslands       □ Early mid-success	all that ional	apply:	
U Wetland U Urban U Suburban		NO	VEC
by the State or Federal government as threatened or endangered?		NU	165
16. Is the project site located in the 100 year flood plain?		NO	YES
17. Will the proposed action create storm water discharge, either from point or non-point sources?		NO	YES
If Yes, a. Will storm water discharges flow to adjacent properties?			
b. Will storm water discharges be directed to established conveyance systems (runoff and storm drain If Yes, briefly describe:	18)?		

<ul><li>18. Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that result in the impoundment of water or other liquids (e.g. retention pond, waste lagoon, dam)?</li><li>If Yes, explain purpose and size:</li></ul>	NO	YES
	~	
19. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed solid waste management facility?	NO	YES
If Yes, describe:	~	
20. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? If Yes, describe:	NO	YES
I AFFIRM THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE IS TRUE AND ACCURATE TO THE KNOWLEDGE Applicant/sponsor name: Satingrae Date: 4/5/2 Signature:	BEST O	F MY









# ALFONZETTI ENGINEERING, P.C. 14 Smith Avenue, Mt. Kisco, NY 10549

(914) 666-9800

Info@AlfonzettiEng.com

# Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan

for

88 Old Byram Lake Road Town of North Castle

April 5, 2024

# ALFONZETTI ENGINEERING, P.C. 14 Smith Avenue, Mt. Kisco, NY 10549

(914) 666-9800

Info@AlfonzettiEng.com

- PROJECT: 88 Old Byram Lake Road Town of North Castle, NY
- SCOPE: Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan
- DATE: April 5, 2024

Introduction:

The subject site is located at 88 Old Byram Lake Road, in the Town of North Castle, New York. The site consists of a vacant lot with vegetation, woods, and grass. The applicant is proposing a single-family residence, driveway and similar improvements. The change in surface cover and addition of impervious surface warrants this drainage assessment.

The subject property's tax map identification is Section 101.03, Block 4, Lot 17.3 and the total lot area is 5.302 acres.

# Discussion:

The site is located in an area tributary to the Inland Long Island Sound Basin. Site disturbance is approximately 30,196 S.F. or 0.69 acres.

The proposed improvements to this site, with approximately 0.69 acres of disturbance, requires a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan as the Town of North Castle.

Stormwater Quantity:

Deep test holes and percolation tests were performed on site to determine the suitability of the soil for subsurface detention. The results are shown in the appendix of this report. In addition, the soils in the area of disturbance are classified as Fluvaquents-Udifluvents complex, frequently flooded with a rating of

A/D, Paxton fine sandy loam,8 to 15 percent slopes with a rating C, Paxton fine sandy loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes with a rating C, Ridgebury complex, 3 to 8 percent slopes with a rating D and Riverhead loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes with a rating A.

In the existing condition Drainage Study Area 1 consists of the existing wooded and grass areas contained in the outline of the proposed impervious driveway, walkway and half of the dwelling roof.

In the existing condition Drainage Study Area 2 consists of the existing wooded and grass areas contained in the outline of half of the proposed impervious dwelling.

In the proposed conditions, Drainage Study Area 1 consists of the proposed impervious driveway, walkway and half of the dwelling roof.

In the proposed conditions, Drainage Study Area 2 consists of half of proposed impervious dwelling.

Curve number calculations for the drainage study area are shown in the appendix of this report. The results are shown below:

Drainage	Tributony	Aroa	Existing	Proposed
Study	Aroa	Area	Curve	Curve
area	Alea	(51)	Number	Number
	1/2 Dwelling Roof			
1	Walkway	4,561	72	98
	Driveway			
2	1/2 Dwelling Roof	1,535	72	98

Using the curve number, and a 100-year design storm event of 9.2", the existing and proposed conditions were entered using a HydroCad model. To be conservative, existing impervious area on the site was not accounted for in the HydroCad model.

To ensure no off-site flooding occurs as a result of the proposed construction, a subsurface infiltration system is proposed to capture the required storage volume for both drainage studies.

The infiltration system for drainage area 1 will be located in the front lawn area. This infiltration system consists of Fifteen (15) 'Cultec' stormwater chambers, model '330XLHD', or approved equal, surrounded by crushed stone and filter fabric.

The infiltration system for drainage area 2 will be located in the rear lawn area. This infiltration system consists of four (4) 'Cultec' stormwater chambers, model '330XLHD', or approved equal, surrounded by crushed stone and filter fabric.

Using the dimensions of the chambers, a stone void ratio of 33%, and a design percolation rate of 3 min./inch for drainage study 1 and 4.6 min./inch for drainage study 2, the peak flow comparison is shown below.

Peak Flow Comparison:

Design	Storm	Existing	Proposed	Net
Point	Event	Peak	Peak Runoff	Change
		Runoff (cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)
1	100 Year	0.66	0.23	-0.43
2	100 Year	0.22	0.14	-0.08

Calculations and additional information are shown in the appendix of this report. Details are shown on the site plan.

Temporary Erosion Control Measures:

The following is an inventory and description of the temporary erosion control devices proposed on this site.

Silt Fence – Silt Fencing consists of a fabric barrier between supporting stakes or posts usually made of wood. The fabric is proposed to capture suspended sediments from construction runoff and also decreases the velocity of the runoff to protect off-site areas. The proposed location of the silt fence is shown on the plans along with details for installing the silt fence.

Anti-Tracking Pad – An Anti-Tracking Pad shall be installed at the construction entrance. The purpose of the Anti-Tracking Pad shall be to dislodge mud, dirt, and debris from construction vehicles prior to these vehicles leaving the construction site. This will ensure the existing roadways are kept clear of sediment. Locations and details of the Anti-Tracking Pad are shown on the plans.

**Construction Sequence:** 

The proposed development is proposed to be constructed in 1 phase. The construction will be in a sequence that will minimize the potential for erosion. Construction is scheduled to begin in the summer of 2024. The general sequence of construction is as follows:

• Stakeout, Erosion Control Measures, Clearing

The initial fieldwork shall consist of surveying and staking for disturbance limits and erosion control installation. All trees to be preserved shall be marked and protected prior to the start of clearing operations. Erosion controls shall be installed as shown on the erosion control plan and as per the respective erosion control details. The tree clearing, if any, shall begin prior to the completion of the entire silt fence. Silt fence should not be installed in areas where tree clearing operations will damage silt fence. The silt fence installation will closely follow the tree clearing operations and will be complete prior to tree stump removal. Tree stump removal shall only begin following the installation of the anti-tracking pad at the construction entrance.

# • Earthwork

After trees/brush/stumps and other vegetation has been removed, the grading operations shall begin and the footing installation will begin. Initial earthwork operations involve the installation of some structural erosion control measures such as soil stockpiles. Any disturbed soil that will not be worked for a period greater than 14 days must be stabilized.

• Grading/Drainage/Utility Installation

The drainage construction shall begin once the footings have cured, been striped, and backfilled. As the drainage system is installed it shall be protected to ensure sediment does not enter the system. Once land disturbing operations are completed, final grading, seeding, sodding, and other soil stabilizing landscaping may be installed. The infiltration systems shall not be put into service until the contributing area is stabilized.

• Removal of Erosion Control Devices

As areas are stabilized, sediment shall be removed and erosion control devices shall be discarded in an appropriate and lawful manor.

Maintenance:

A maintenance chart is below showing typical maintenance schedule of temporary erosion control devices during construction. The maintenance of the erosion control devices is the responsibility of the contractor.

Temporary Erosion Control device maintenance schedule is as follows:

Device	Weekly	Monthly	Bi- annually	Annually	Prior to Significant Rainfall	After Significant Rainfall
Silt fence		Inspect		Inspect	Inspect	Inspect/clean
Anti-tracking pad	Inspect		Restore			Inspect

Conclusion:

The proposed infiltration systems consisting of a total of nineteen (19) 'Cultec' model '330XLHD' stormwater chambers, will mitigate the small increase in stormwater runoff, therefore there should be no adverse impacts due to stormwater as a result of the proposed improvements.

Ralph Alfonzetti, P.E. ALFONZETTI ENGINEERING, P.C.



Appendix A

Site Test Results

DT STW 6-1	
0" - 8"	Top soil
8" – 48"	Red/Brown Sandy Loam
48" - 108"	Mixed Sands
No	Ledge
No	Water

DT STW 6-2	
0" - 8"	Top soil
12" – 36"	Sandy Loam
36" – 108"	Mixed Sands
No	Ledge
No	Water

PT STW 5-1	12 MIN./IN.
PT STW 5-2	20 MIN./IN.

Appendix B

Hydrologic Soil Group Map (from USDA)





Hydrologic Soil Group-Westchester County, New York

# Hydrologic Soil Group

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
Ff	Fluvaquents-Udifluvents complex, frequently flooded	A/D	1.5	46.3%
PnC	Paxton fine sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	С	1.2	35.3%
PnD	Paxton fine sandy loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes	C	0.1	4.4%
RdB	Ridgebury complex, 3 to 8 percent slopes	D	0.2	6.4%
RhB	Riverhead loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	A	0.3	7.6%
Totals for Area of Intere	st		3.3	100.0%

Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey Hydrologic Soil Group-Westchester County, New York

### Description

Hydrologic soil groups are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four groups according to the rate of water infiltration when the soils are not protected by vegetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms.

The soils in the United States are assigned to four groups (A, B, C, and D) and three dual classes (A/D, B/D, and C/D). The groups are defined as follows:

Group A. Soils having a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravely sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.

Group B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.

Group C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.

Group D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, soils that have a high water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.

If a soil is assigned to a dual hydrologic group (A/D, B/D, or C/D), the first letter is for drained areas and the second is for undrained areas. Only the soils that in their natural condition are in group D are assigned to dual classes.

#### Rating Options

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified Tie-break Rule: Higher



Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey Appendix C

HydroCad Report



### **INGRAO 88 OLD BYRAM RD**

Prepared by Alfonzetti Engineering, P.C. HydroCAD<sup>®</sup> 9.00 s/n 02177 © 2009 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Printed 4/6/2024

## Area Listing (all nodes)

Area	CN	Description
(sq-ft)		(subcatchment-numbers)
6,096	72	Woods/grass comb., Good, HSG C (EXDSA1, EXDSA2)
2,468	98	Paved parking, HSG C (PRDSA 1)
409	98	Unconnected pavement, HSG C (PRDSA 1)
3,219	98	Unconnected roofs, HSG C (PRDSA 1, PRDSA 2)
12,192		TOTAL AREA

INGRAO 88 OLD BYRAM R Prepared by Alfonzetti Engin HydroCAD® 9.00 s/n 02177 © 2	D Type III 24-hr 100 YEAR Rainfall=9.20" eering, P.C. Printed 4/6/2024 009 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC
Ti Reach routing	me span=0.00-72.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 7201 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method
Subcatchment EXDSA1:	Runoff Area=4,561 sf 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=5.76" Tc=8.0 min CN=72 Runoff=0.66 cfs 2,190 cf
Subcatchment EXDSA2:	Runoff Area=1,535 sf 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=5.76" Tc=8.0 min CN=72 Runoff=0.22 cfs 737 cf
Subcatchment PRDSA 1:	Runoff Area=4,561 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=8.96" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.95 cfs 3,405 cf
Subcatchment PRDSA 2:	Runoff Area=1,535 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=8.96" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.32 cfs 1,146 cf
Pond SMS1:	Peak Elev=414.90' Storage=1,216 cf Inflow=0.95 cfs 3,405 cf Discarded=0.04 cfs 3,024 cf Primary=0.23 cfs 92 cf Outflow=0.27 cfs 3,116 cf
Pond SMS2:	Peak Elev=415.87' Storage=349 cf Inflow=0.32 cfs 1,146 cf Discarded=0.02 cfs 1,049 cf Primary=0.14 cfs 130 cf Outflow=0.16 cfs 1,179 cf
Link EXDP1:	Inflow=0.66 cfs 2,190 cf Primary=0.66 cfs 2,190 cf
Link EXDP2:	Inflow=0.22 cfs 737 cf Primary=0.22 cfs 737 cf
Link PRDP1:	Inflow=0.23 cfs 92 cf Primary=0.23 cfs 92 cf
Link PRDP2:	Inflow=0.14 cfs 130 cf Primary=0.14 cfs 130 cf
T-t-l Dur -f	

Total Runoff Area = 12,192 sf Runoff Volume = 7,478 cf Average Runoff Depth = 7.36" 50.00% Pervious = 6,096 sf 50.00% Impervious = 6,096 sf

#### Summary for Subcatchment EXDSA1:

Runoff = 0.66 cfs @ 12.11 hrs, Volume= 2,190 cf, Depth= 5.76"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 100 YEAR Rainfall=9.20"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description				
	4,561	72	2 Woods/grass comb., Good, HSG C				
	4,561		100.00% Per	vious Area			
Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slop (ft/ft	e Velocity	Capacity (cfs)	Description		
8.0	(icct)	(14)1	1 (19500)	(00)	Direct Entry, Direct Entry		





#### Summary for Subcatchment EXDSA2:

Runoff = 0.22 cfs @ 12.11 hrs, Volume= 737 cf, Depth= 5.76"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 100 YEAR Rainfall=9.20"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description				
	1,535	72	Woods/grass comb., Good, HSG C				
	1, <mark>535</mark>		100.00% Per	vious Area	3		
Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Sloj (ft/	be Velocity ft) (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description		



**Direct Entry, Direct Entry** 

Subcatchment EXDSA2:



#### Summary for Subcatchment PRDSA 1:

Runoff = 0.95 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 3,405 cf, Depth= 8.96"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 100 YEAR Rainfall=9.20"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Descript	ion				
	409	98	Unconne	ecte	d pavemen	nt, HSG C		
	2,468	98	Paved p	arkir	ng, HSG C			
	1,684	98	Unconne	ecte	d roofs, HS	SG C		
	4,561	98	Weighte	d Av	/erage			
	4,561		100.00%	6 Imp	pervious Ar	rea		
	2,093		45.89%	Unco	onnected			
Тс	Length	Slo	pe Velo	city	Capacity	Description		
(min)	(feet)	(ft/	ft) (ft/s	ec)	(cfs)			
6.0						Direct Entry, Direct Entry		
	Subcatchment PRDSA 1:							
	Hydrograph							



#### Summary for Subcatchment PRDSA 2:

Runoff = 0.32 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 1,146 cf, Depth= 8.96"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 100 YEAR Rainfall=9.20"

Α	rea (sf)	CN	Description					
	1,535	98	98 Unconnected roofs, HSG C					
	1,535	535 100.00% Impervious Area						
	1,535	100.00% Unconnected						
Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slop (ft/f	e Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description			
6.0	, ,		<u>, , , ,</u>		Direct Entry, Direct Entry			
Subcatchment PRDSA 2:								



#### Summary for Pond SMS1:

Inflow Are	a =	4,561 st	f,100.00%	mpervious,	Inflow Depth =	8.96"	for 100 YEAR event	2
Inflow	=	0.95 cfs @	12.08 hrs,	Volume=	3,405 cf			
Outflow	=	0.27 cfs @	12.30 hrs,	Volume=	3,116 cf,	Atten=	71%, Lag= 13.3 mir	n
Discarded	=	0.04 cfs @	9.63 hrs,	Volume=	3,024 cf			
Primary	=	0.23 cfs @	12.30 hrs,	Volume=	92 cf			

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 2 Peak Elev= 414.90' @ 12.30 hrs Surf.Area= 592 sf Storage= 1,216 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 276.6 min calculated for 3,116 cf (91% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 231.7 min ( 971.2 - 739.6 )

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1A	410.50'	<b>43</b> 4 cf	16.00'W x 37.00'L x 3.54'H Field A
			2,097 cf Overall - 782 cf Embedded = 1,314 cf x 33.0% Voids
#2A	411.00'	782 cf	Cultec R-330XL x 15 Inside #1
			Effective Size= 47.8"W x 30.0"H => 7.45 sf x 7.00'L = 52.2 cf
			Overall Size= 52.0"W x 30.5"H x 8.50'L with 1.50' Overlap
		1.216 cf	Total Available Storage

Storage Group A created with Chamber Wizard

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices			
#1	Discarded	410.50'	3.000 in/hr Exfiltration over Horizontal area			
#2	Primary	414.80'	8.0" Horiz. Orifice/Grate	C= 0.500 Limited to	weir flow at low heads	

**Discarded OutFlow** Max=0.04 cfs @ 9.63 hrs HW=410.54' (Free Discharge) **1=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.04 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=0.20 cfs @ 12.30 hrs HW=414.90' (Free Discharge) -2=Orifice/Grate (Weir Controls 0.20 cfs @ 1.01 fps) INGRAO 88 OLD BYRAM RD

Prepared by Alfonzetti Engineering, P.C.

Type III 24-hr 100 YEAR Rainfall=9.20" Printed 4/6/2024

#### Pond SMS1: - Chamber Wizard Field A

Chamber Model = Cultec R-330XL Effective Size= 47.8"W x 30.0"H => 7.45 sf x 7.00'L = 52.2 cf Overall Size= 52.0"W x 30.5"H x 8.50'L with 1.50' Overlap

HydroCAD® 9.00 s/n 02177 © 2009 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

52.0" Wide + 6.0" Spacing = 58.0" C-C

5 Chambers/Row x 7.00' Long = 35.00' + 12.0'' End Stone x 2 = 37.00' Base Length 3 Rows x 52.0'' Wide + 6.0'' Spacing x 2 + 12.0'' Side Stone x 2 = 16.00' Base Width 6.0'' Base + 30.5'' Chamber Height + 6.0'' Cover = 3.54' Field Height

15 Chambers x 52.2 cf = 782.4 cf Chamber Storage

2,096.7 cf Field - 782.4 cf Chambers = 1,314.3 cf Stone x 33.0% Voids = 433.7 cf Stone Storage

Stone + Chamber Storage = 1,216.1 cf = 0.028 af

15 Chambers 77.7 cy Field 48.7 cy Stone





INGRAO 88 OLD BYRAM RD

Type III 24-hr 100 YEAR Rainfall=9.20" Printed 4/6/2024



#### Summary for Pond SMS2:

Inflow Are	a =	1,535 sf	f,100.00% li	mpervious,	Inflow Depth =	8.96"	for 1	00 YEAR even	t
Inflow	=	0.32 cfs @	12.08 hrs,	Volume=	1,146 cf				
Outflow	=	0.16 cfs @	12.28 hrs,	Volume=	1,179 cf,	Atten=	· 50%,	Lag= 12.1 mi	n
Discarded	=	0.02 cfs @	10.76 hrs,	Volume=	1,049 cf				
Primary	=	0.14 cfs @	12.28 hrs,	Volume=	130 cf				

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 2 Peak Elev= 415.87' @ 12.28 hrs Surf.Area= 179 sf Storage= 349 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= (not calculated: outflow precedes inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 110.0 min ( 849.5 - 739.6 )

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1A	410.50'	140 cf	11.17'W x 16.00'L x 3.54'H Field A
			633 cf Overall - 209 cf Embedded = 424 cf x 33.0% Voids
#2A	411.00'	209 cf	Cultec R-330XL × 4 Inside #1
			Effective Size= 47.8"W x 30.0"H => 7.45 sf x 7.00'L = 52.2 cf
			Overall Size= 52.0"W x 30.5"H x 8.50'L with 1.50' Overlap
		349 cf	Total Available Storage

Storage Group A created with Chamber Wizard

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices		
#1	Discarded	410.50'	5.000 in/hr Exfiltration ov	er Horizon	tal area
#2	Primary	415.80'	8.0" Horiz. Orifice/Grate	C= 0.500	Limited to weir flow at low heads

**Discarded OutFlow** Max=0.02 cfs @ 10.76 hrs HW=410.55' (Free Discharge) **1=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.02 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=0.12 cfs @ 12.28 hrs HW=415.87' (Free Discharge) -2=Orifice/Grate (Weir Controls 0.12 cfs @ 0.84 fps)

#### INGRAO 88 OLD BYRAM RD

Type III 24-hr 100 YEAR Rainfall=9.20" Printed 4/6/2024

Prepared by Alfonzetti Engineering, P.C. HydroCAD<sup>®</sup> 9.00 s/n 02177 © 2009 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

#### Pond SMS2: - Chamber Wizard Field A

Chamber Model = Cultec R-330XL Effective Size= 47.8"W x 30.0"H => 7.45 sf x 7.00'L = 52.2 cf Overall Size= 52.0"W x 30.5"H x 8.50'L with 1.50' Overlap

52.0" Wide + 6.0" Spacing = 58.0" C-C

2 Chambers/Row x 7.00' Long = 14.00' + 12.0'' End Stone x 2 = 16.00' Base Length 2 Rows x 52.0'' Wide + 6.0'' Spacing x 1 + 12.0'' Side Stone x 2 = 11.17' Base Width 6.0'' Base + 30.5'' Chamber Height + 6.0'' Cover = 3.54' Field Height

4 Chambers x 52.2 cf = 208.6 cf Chamber Storage

632.8 cf Field - 208.6 cf Chambers = 424.1 cf Stone x 33.0% Voids = 140.0 cf Stone Storage

Stone + Chamber Storage = 348.6 cf = 0.008 af

4 Chambers 23.4 cy Field 15.7 cy Stone





### INGRAO 88 OLD BYRAM RD

Type III 24-hr 100 YEAR Rainfall=9.20" Printed 4/6/2024

Prepared by Alfonzetti Engineering, P.C. HydroCAD® 9.00 s/n 02177 © 2009 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC



### Summary for Link EXDP1:

Inflow Ar	ea =	4,561 s	f, 0.00% Impervious,	Inflow Depth =	5.76" fo	r 100 YEAR event
Inflow	=	0.66 cfs @	12.11 hrs, Volume=	2,190 cf		
Primary	=	0.66 cfs @	12.11 hrs, Volume=	2,190 cf,	Atten= 0%	%, Lag= <b>0.0 m</b> in

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs



### Summary for Link EXDP2:

Inflow Ar	ea =	1,535 st	f, 0.00% Impervious,	Inflow Depth =	5.76" for	100 YEAR event
Inflow	=	0.22 cfs @	12.11 hrs, Volume=	737 cf		
Primary	=	0.22 cfs @	12.11 hrs, Volume=	737 cf,	Atten= 0%	, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs



#### Summary for Link PRDP1;

Inflow Are	ea =	4,561 s	f,100.00% Impervious,	Inflow Depth =	0.24"	for 100 YEAR event
Inflow	=	0.23 cfs @	12.30 hrs, Volume=	92 cf		
Primary	=	0.23 cfs @	12.30 hrs, Volume=	92 cf,	Atten=	= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs





FLOOR AREA CALCULA	ATIONS
	PROPOSED
FIRST FLOOR	2,494 sq.ft.
SECOND FLOOR	1,875.5 sq.ft.
*BASEMENT	2,494 sq.ft.
GARAGE	725 sq.ft.
TOTAL FLOOR AREA	4,369.5 sq.ft

\* BASEMENT EXCLUDED

	WILLIAM P WITT ARCHITEC	268 Route 202, Somers NY 10589 914-276-0225 Bwittarch@gmail.com	THESE DOCUMENTS ARE INSUFFICIENT FOR CONSTRUCTION WITHOUT THE SEAL AND SIGNATURE OF THE ARCHITECT OF RECORD. William P Witt Architect, PL
Project Title	New Residence	88 Old Byram Lake Road	AKMUNK, NY 10504
Sco	ale	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{BASEMENT}\\ \hline \textbf{BASEMENT}\\ \hline \textbf{BAN}\\ \$	<u>'-0"</u> A.O.
Da	te vised	10/20	0/23

A-102



0

JFFICIENT F( SEAL AND

ARE HOUT RECC

TOTAL FLOOR AREA 4,369.5 sq.ft.

\* BASEMENT EXCLUDED



FLOOR AREA CALCUL	ATIONS
	PROPOSED
FIRST FLOOR	2,494 sq.ft.
SECOND FLOOR	1,875.5 sq.ft.
*BASEMENT	2,494 sq.ft.
GARAGE	725 sq.ft.
TOTAL FLOOR AREA	4,369.5 sq.ft.

\* BASEMENT EXCLUDED

SECOND FLOOR     New Residence       88 Old Byram Lake Road       ARMONK, NY 10504	Project Title New Residence <b>SECOND FLOOR</b> <b>88 Old Byram Lake Road</b> ARMONK, NY 10504
SECOND FLOOR PLAN	Scale $1/4" = 1'-0"$ DrawingByA.O.
	Scale 1/4" = 1'-0" Drawing By A.O.

A-104





A-201







LEFT ELEVATION

*|/4"= |'-0"* 



- □