

WESTCHESTER COUNTY 17 Bedford Road Armonk, New York 10504-1898

PLANNING DEPARTMENT Adam R. Kaufman, AICP Director of Planning

Telephone: (914) 273-3542 Fax: (914) 273-3554 www.northcastleny.com

### Application for Site Development Plan Approval

Application Name

86 OLD BYRAM LAKE ROAD PROPOSED RESIDENCE



WESTCHESTER COUNTY 17 Bedford Road Armonk, New York 10504-1898

PLANNING DEPARTMENT Adam R. Kaufman, AICP Director of Planning

Telephone: (914) 273-3542 Fax: (914) 273-3554 www.northcastleny.com

#### APPLICATIONS REQUIRING PLANNING BOARD APPROVAL SCHEDULE OF APPLICATION FEES

Type of Application	<b>Application Fee</b>
Site Development Plan	\$200.00
Each proposed Parking Space	\$10
Special Use Permit (each)	\$200 (each)
Preliminary Subdivision Plat	\$300 1 <sup>st</sup> Lot \$200 (each additional lot)
Final Subdivision Plat	\$250 1 <sup>st</sup> Lot \$100 (each additional lot)
Tree Removal Permit	\$75
Wetlands Permit	\$50 (each)
Short Environmental Assessment Form	\$50
Long Environmental Assessment Form	\$100
Recreation Fee	\$10,000 Each Additional Lot
Discussion Fee	\$200.00

Prior to submission of a sketch or preliminary subdivision Plat, an applicant or an applicant's representative wishes to discuss a subdivision proposal to the Planning Board, a discussion fee of \$200.00 shall be submitted for each informal appearance before the board.

\*Any amendment to previously approved applications requires new application forms and Fes\*



WESTCHESTER COUNTY 17 Bedford Road Armonk, New York 10504-1898

PLANNING DEPARTMENT Adam R. Kaufman, AICP Director of Planning

Telephone: (914) 273-3542 Fax: (914) 273-3554 <u>www.northcastleny.com</u>

## PLANNING BOARD SCHEDULE OF ESCROW ACCOUNT DEPOSITS

#### <u>Type of Application</u> <u>Deposit\*</u>

Concept Study

Site Plan Waiver for Change of Use

Site Development Plan for:

Multifamily Developments

**Commercial Developments** 

1 or 2 Family Projects

Special Use Permit

Subdivision:

\*

Lot Line Change resulting in no new lots

All Others

\$500.00

**Amount of Initial Escrow Account** 

\$3,000.00 plus \$100.00 per proposed dwelling unit

\$3,000.00 plus \$50.00 for each required parking space

\$2,000.00

\$500.00

\$2,000.00 plus \$50.00 for each required parking space

\$1,500.00

\$3,000.00 plus \$200.00 per proposed new lot in excess of two (2)

Preparation or Review of Environmental Impact Statement

\$15,000.00

If a proposed action involves multiple approvals, a single escrow account will be established. The total amount of the initial deposit shall be the sum of the individual amounts indicated. When the balance in such escrow account is reduced to one-third (1/3) of its initial amount, the applicant shall deposit additional funds into such account to restore its balance to the amount of the initial deposit.

Applicant Signature

# I. IDENTIFICATION OF PROPERTY OWNER, APPLICANT AND PROFESSIONAL REPRESENTATIVES

Name of Property Owner: SAL INGRAC	)	
Mailing Address: 8 West Farms Lane, No.	ew Fairfield, CT 06812	
Telephone: _914-490-4616_ Fax:	e-mail <u>smbcc1@aol.co</u>	<u>m</u>
Name of Applicant (if different):		
Address of Applicant:		
Telephone: Fax:	e-mail	
Interest of Applicant, if other than Propert	y Owner:	
Is the Applicant (if different from the prop	erty owner) a Contract Vendee?	
Yes No		
If yes, please submit affidavit sating such.	If no, application cannot be reviewed	by Planning Board
Name of Professional Preparing Site Plan:	Alfonzetti Engineering, P.C.	
Address: 14 Smith Ave. Mt. Kisco, NY 10	549	
Telephone: <u>914-666-9800</u> Fax:	e-mailinfo@al	fonzettieng.com
Name of Other Professional:		-
Address:		
Telephone:	Fax:	e-mail
Name of Attorney (if any):		
Address:		_
Telephone:	Fax:	e-mail

#### **Applicant Acknowledgement**

By making this application, the undersigned Applicant agrees to permit Town officials and their designated representatives to conduct on-site inspections in connection with the review of this application.

The Applicant also agrees to pay all expenses for the cost of professional review services required for this application.

It is further acknowledged by the Applicant that all bills for the professional review services shall be mailed to the Applicant, unless the Town is notified in writing by the Applicant at the time of initial submission of the application that such mailings should be sent to a designated representative instead.

Signature of Applicant: Date:  $\frac{75/24}{15/24}$ Signature of Property Owner;

MUST HAVE BOTH SIGNATURES

### II. IDENTIFICATION OF SUBJECT PROPERTY

Street A	Address: <u>86 C</u>	ld Byram Lake Ro	ad, Armon	<u>k, NY 1</u> 0504		
Locatio	on (in relation	n to nearest inters	secting str	reet):		
1885 fe	et north east	of Byram Lake Rd				
Abutti	ng Street(s):	Byram Lake Rd	_			
Tax M	ap Designatio	on (NEW):				
Section	n101.03	Block	4	Lot_	17.2	
Tax M	ap Designatio	on (OLD): Sectio	n		Block	Lot
Zoning	g District: R-	2A Total	Land Are	ea 2.627Acr	es	
Land A	area in North	Castle Only (if d	lifferent)_			
Fire Di	strict(s) <u>Arm</u>	ionk FD	School I	District(s) <u>B</u>	yram Hills	5
Is any	portion of sul The boundat No <u>X</u> Yes ( If yes, pleas	bject property abo ry of any city, toy adjacent) e identify name(s	utting or l vn or villa Yes (with ):	ocated withinge? in 500 feet)	in five hur	ndred (500) feet of the following:
	The boundar No Ye	ry of any existing s (adjacent)	or propo Yes (wi	sed County ithin 500 fee	or State pa et) <u>X</u>	ark or any other recreation area?
	The right-of or highway? No Ye	way of any exists (adjacent)	ing or proYes (wi	oposed Cour ithin 500 fee	nty or State	e parkway, thruway, expressway, road
	The existing for which th No Y	or proposed right e County has estates (adjacent) <u>X</u>	nt-of-way ablished c _ Yes (wi	of any streat hannel lines ithin 500 fee	m or drain ? et) <u>X</u>	age channel owned by the County or
	The existing or institution No <u>X</u> Ye	g or proposed bou n is situated? s (adjacent)	ndary of a Yes (v	any county o vithin 500 fe	or State ow	vned land on which a public building
	The boundar No <u>X</u> Ye	ry of a farm operations (adjacent)	ation loca Yes (	ted in an agi (within 500)	icultural c	listrict?
Does tl	ne Property ( No Ye	Owner or Applica s <u>X</u>	nt have ar	n interest in	any abutti	ng property?
If yes,	please identi Lot 5 86 Ol	fy the tax map de d Byram Lake Roa	signation <u>d, Armonk</u>	of that prop a, New York 1	erty: <u>0504, Tax</u>	ID: 101.03-4-17.3

### **III. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT**

Proposed Use: Residence
Gross Floor Area: Existing <u>0</u> S.F. Proposed <u>9361</u> S.F.
Proposed Floor Area Breakdown:
Retail0S.F.; Office0S.F.;
Industrial 0 S.F.; Institutional 0 S.F.;
Other Nonresidential0S.F.; Residential9361S.F.;
Number of Dwelling Units:1
Number of Parking Spaces: Existing 0 Required 2 Proposed 2
Number of Loading Spaces: Existing <u>N/A</u> Required <u>N/A</u> Proposed <u>N/A</u>
Earthwork Balance: Cut C.Y. Fill C.Y.
Will Development on the subject property involve any of the following:
Areas of special flood hazard? No $\underline{x}$ Yes (If yes, application for a Development Permit pursuant to Chapter 177 of the North Castle Town Code may also be required)
Trees with a diameter at breast height (DBH) of 8" or greater?
No Yes X (If yes, application for a Tree Removal Permit pursuant to Chapter 308 of the North Castle Town Code may also be required.)
Town-regulated wetlands? No X Yes (If yes, application for a Town Wetlands Permit pursuant to Chapter 340 of the North Castle Tow Code may also be required.)
State-regulated wetlands? No $X$ Yes (If yes, application for a State Wetlands Permit may also be required.)

### **IV. SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS**

The site development plan application package shall include all materials submitted in support of the application, including but not limited to the application form, plans, reports, letters and SEQR Environmental Assessment Form. **Submission of the following shall be required:** 

- One (1) PDF set of the site development plan application package in a single PDF file .
- A check for the required application fee and a check for the required Escrow Account, both made payable to "Town of North Castle" in the amount specified on the "Schedule of Application Fees."

(continued next page)

### V. INFORMATION TO BE INCLUDED ON SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

The following checklist is provided to enable the Applicant to determine if he/she has provided enough information on the site development plan for the Planning Board to review his/her proposal. Applicants are advised to review ARTICLE VIII, Site Development Plan of the North Castle Town Code for a complete enumeration of pertinent requirements and standards prior to making application for site development plan approval.

The application for site development plan approval will not be accepted for Planning Board review unless all items identified below are supplied and **so indicated with a check mark in the blank line provided.** If a particular item is not relevant to the subject property or the development proposal, **the letters ''NA'' should be entered instead**. In addition, the project will not be scheduled on a Planning Board agenda until the Applicant receives an initialed "site plan checklist" from the Planning Department.

The information to be included on a site development plan shall include:

### Legal Data:

- X Name of the application or other identifying title.
- X Name and address of the Property Owner and the Applicant, (if different).
- X Name, address and telephone number of the architect, engineer or other legally qualified professional who prepared the plan.
- X Names and locations of all owners of record of properties abutting and directly across any and all adjoining streets from the subject property, including the tax map designation of the subject property and abutting and adjoining properties, as shown on the latest tax records.
- X Existing zoning, fire, school, special district and municipal boundaries.
- X Size of the property to be developed, as well as property boundaries showing dimensions and bearings as determined by a current survey; dimensions of yards along all property lines; name and width of existing streets; and lines of existing lots, reservations, easements and areas dedicated to public use.
- X Reference to the location and conditions of any covenants, easements or deed restrictions that cover all or any part of the property, as well as identification of the document where such covenants, easements or deed restrictions are legally established.
- X Schedule of minimum zoning requirements, as well as the plan's proposed compliance with those requirements, including lot area, frontage, lot width, lot depth, lot coverage, yards, off-street parking, off-street loading and other pertinent requirements.
- X Locator map, at a convenient scale, showing the Applicant's entire property in relation to surrounding properties, streets, etc., within five hundred (500) feet of the site.
- X North arrow, written and graphic scales, and the date of the original plan and all revisions, with notation identifying the revisions.
- X A signature block for Planning Board endorsement of approval.

### **Existing Conditions Data:**

- X Location of existing use and design of buildings, identifying first floor elevation, and other structures.
- X Location of existing parking and truck loading areas, with access and egress drives thereto.
- X Location of existing facilities for water supply, sanitary sewage disposal, storm water drainage, and gas and electric service, with pipe sizes, grades, rim and inverts, direction of flow, etc. indicated.
- X Location of all other existing site improvements, including pavement, walks, curbing, retaining walls and fences.
- X Location, size and design of existing signs.
- <u>N/A</u> Location, type, direction, power and time of use of existing outdoor lighting.
- N/A Location of existing outdoor storage, if any.
- X Existing topographical contours with a vertical interval of two (2) feet or less.
- X Location of existing floodplains, wetlands, slopes of 15% or greater, wooded areas, landscaped areas, single trees with a DBH of 8" or greater, rock outcrops, stone walls and any other significant existing natural or cultural features.

### **Proposed Development Data:**

- X Proposed location of lots, streets, and public areas, and property to be affected by proposed easements, deed restrictions and covenants.
- X Proposed location, use and architectural design of all buildings, including proposed floor elevations and the proposed division of buildings into units of separate occupancy.
- X Proposed means of vehicular and pedestrian access to and egress from the site onto adjacent streets.
- <u>N/A</u> Proposed sight distance at all points of vehicular access.
- N/A Proposed number of employees for which buildings are designed
- X Proposed streets, with profiles indicating grading and cross-sections showing the width of the roadway; the location and width of sidewalks; and the location and size of utility lines.
- N/A Proposed location and design of any pedestrian circulation on the site and off-street parking and loading areas, including handicapped parking and ramps, and including details of construction, surface materials, pavement markings and directional signage.
- X Proposed location and design of facilities for water supply, sanitary sewage disposal, storm water drainage, and gas and electric service, with pipe sizes, grades, rim and inverts, direction of flow, etc. indicated.

- X Proposed location of all structures and other uses of land, such as walks, retaining walls, fences, designated open space and/or recreation areas and including details of design and construction.
- N/A Location, size and design of all proposed signs.
- <u>N/A</u> Location, type, direction, power and time of use of proposed outdoor lighting.
- <u>N/A</u> Location and design of proposed outdoor garbage enclosure.
- N/A Location of proposed outdoor storage, if any.
- N/A Location of proposed landscaping and buffer screening areas, including the type (scientific and common names), size and amount of plantings.
- <u>N/A</u> Type of power to be used for any manufacturing
- <u>N/A</u> Type of wastes or by-products to be produced and disposal method
- N/A In multi-family districts, floor plans, elevations and cross sections
- X The proposed location, size, design and use of all temporary structures and storage areas to be used during the course of construction.
- X Proposed grade elevations, clearly indicating how such grades will meet existing grades of adjacent properties or the street.
- X Proposed soil erosion and sedimentation control measures.
- <u>N/A</u> For all proposed site development plans containing land within an area of special flood hazard, the data required to ensure compliance with Chapter 177 of the North Castle Town Code.
- X For all proposed site development plans involving clearing or removal of trees with a DBH of 8" or greater, the data required to ensure compliance with Chapter 308 of the North Castle Town Code.
- N/A For all proposed site development plans involving disturbance to Town-regulated wetlands, the data required to ensure compliance with Chapter 340 of the North Castle Town Code.

F:\PLAN6.0\Application Forms\2016 Full Set\Part B - Site Devel 2016.doc

### Short Environmental Assessment Form Part 1 - Project Information

#### **Instructions for Completing**

**Part 1 - Project Information. The applicant or project sponsor is responsible for the completion of Part 1.** Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification. Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information.

Complete all items in Part 1. You may also provide any additional information which you believe will be needed by or useful to the lead agency; attach additional pages as necessary to supplement any item.

Part 1 - Project and Sponsor Information					
Name of Action or Project:					
Project Location (describe, and attach a location map):					
Brief Description of Proposed Action:					
Name of Applicant or Sponsor:	Telepl	none:			
	E-Mai	1:			
Address:					
City/PO:		State:	Zip C	ode:	
1. Does the proposed action only involve the legislative adoption of a plan,	local law	, ordinance,	N	10	YES
administrative rule, or regulation? If Yes, attach a narrative description of the intent of the proposed action an may be affected in the municipality and proceed to Part 2. If no, continue t	d the env to questio	ironmental resources t n 2.	that		
2. Does the proposed action require a permit, approval or funding from an	y other go	overnmental Agency?	N	10	YES
If Yes, list agency(s) name and permit or approval:	-				
3.a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action?         b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed?		acres acres			
c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor?		acres			
4. Check all land uses that occur on, adjoining and near the proposed actio	n.				
□ Urban □ Rural (non-agriculture) □ Industrial □ Com	mercial	□ Residential (suburl	ban)		
□ Forest □ Agriculture □ Aquatic □ Other	(specify	):			
$\Box$ Parkland					

5. Is the proposed action,	NO	YES	N/A		
a. A permitted use under the zoning regulations?					
b. Consistent with the adopted comprehensive plan?		NO	VEG		
6. Is the proposed action consistent with the predominant character of the existing built or natural landscape?		NO	YES		
7. Is the site of the proposed action located in, or does it adjoin, a state listed Critical Environmental A: If Yes, identify:	rea?	NO	YES		
8. a. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels?					
b. Are public transportation service(s) available at or near the site of the proposed action?					
c. Are any pedestrian accommodations or bicycle routes available on or near site of the proposed ac	tion?				
9. Does the proposed action meet or exceed the state energy code requirements? If the proposed action will exceed requirements, describe design features and technologies:		NO	YES		
10. Will the proposed action connect to an existing public/private water supply?		NO	YES		
If No, describe method for providing potable water: Private Well					
11. Will the proposed action connect to existing wastewater utilities?		NO	YES		
If No, describe method for providing wastewater treatment: <u>Proposed OWTS</u>					
12. a. Does the site contain a structure that is listed on either the State or National Register of Historic Places?		NO	YES		
b. Is the proposed action located in an archeological sensitive area?					
13. a. Does any portion of the site of the proposed action, or lands adjoining the proposed action, contain wetlands or other waterbodies regulated by a federal, state or local agency?	n	NO	YES		
b. Would the proposed action physically alter, or encroach into, any existing wetland or waterbody? If Yes, identify the wetland or waterbody and extent of alterations in square feet or acres:					
14. Identify the typical habitat types that occur on, or are likely to be found on the project site. Check and a structure of the project site. Check and structure of the project site. Check and a structure o	all that a ional	apply:			
□ Wetland □ Urban □ Suburban		NO	VES		
by the State or Federal government as threatened or endangered?		NU	ILS		
16. Is the project site located in the 100 year flood plain?		NO	YES		
17. Will the proposed action create storm water discharge, either from point or non-point sources?		NO	YES		
a. Will storm water discharges flow to adjacent properties?					
b. Will storm water discharges be directed to established conveyance systems (runoff and storm drain If Yes, briefly describe:	1s)?				

18. Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that result in the impoundment of water or other liquids (e.g. retention pond, waste lagoon, dam)?	NO	YES
If Yes, explain purpose and size:		
	~	
19. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed solid waste management facility?	NO	YES
If Yes, describe:	~	
<ul><li>20. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste?</li><li>If Yes, describe:</li></ul>	NO	YES
I AFFIRM THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE IS TRUE AND ACCURATE TO THE KNOWLEDGE	BEST O	FMY
Applicant/sponsor name: Sal Ingrao           Signature:         Date:         1/2	24	







DGE OF PAVEMENT	PROPERTY LINE				
ш		2.05%			
					416
0+	•• PROPC	SED DRIVE	way profile	2+	• 412 -00

### ALFONZETTI ENGINEERING, P.C. 14 Smith Avenue, Mt. Kisco, NY 10549

(914) 666-9800

Info@AlfonzettiEng.com

## Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan

for

86 Old Byram Lake Road Town of North Castle

April 5, 2024

### ALFONZETTI ENGINEERING, P.C. 14 Smith Avenue, Mt. Kisco, NY 10549

(914) 666-9800

Info@AlfonzettiEng.com

- PROJECT: 86 Old Byram Lake Road Town of North Castle, NY
- SCOPE: Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan
- DATE: April 5, 2024

Introduction:

The subject site is located at 86 Old Byram Lake Road, in the Town of North Castle, New York. The site consists of a vacant lot with vegetation, woods, and grass. The applicant is proposing a single-family residence, driveway and associated improvements. The change in surface cover and addition of impervious surface warrants this drainage assessment.

The subject property's tax map identification is Section 101.03, Block 4, Lot 17.2 and the total lot area is 2.627 acres.

Discussion:

The site is located in an area tributary to the Inland Long Island Sound Basin. Site disturbance is approximately 37,445 S.F. or 0.86 acres.

The proposed improvements to this site, with approximately 0.86 acres of disturbance, require a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan as per Town of North Castle.

Stormwater Quantity:

Deep test holes and percolation tests were performed on site to determine the suitability of the soil for subsurface detention. The results are shown in the appendix of this report. In addition, the soils in the area of disturbance are classified as Fluvaquents-Udifluvents complex, frequently flooded with a rating of

A/D, Paxton fine sandy loam,8 to 15 percent slopes with a rating C and Paxton fine sandy loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes with a rating C.

In the existing condition Drainage Study Area 1 consists of the existing wooded and grass areas equaling the area of the proposed impervious driveway, walkway and half of the dwelling roof.

In the existing condition Drainage Study Area 2 consists of the existing wooded and grass areas equaling the area of half of the proposed impervious dwelling.

In the proposed conditions, Drainage Study Area 1 consists of the proposed impervious driveway, walkway and half of the dwelling roof.

In the proposed conditions, Drainage Study Area 2 consists of half of proposed impervious dwelling.

Curve number calculations for the drainage study area are shown in the appendix of this report. The results are shown below:

Drainage Study area	Tributary Area	Area (sf)	Existing Curve Number	Proposed Curve Number
1	½ Dwelling Roof Walkway Driveway	4,282	72	98
2	1/2 Dwelling Roof	1,489	72	98

Using the curve number, and a 100-year design storm event of 9.2", the existing and proposed conditions were entered using a HydroCad model. To be conservative, existing impervious area on the site was not accounted for in the HydroCad model.

To ensure no off-site flooding occurs as a result of the proposed construction, a subsurface infiltration system is proposed to capture the required storage volume for both drainage studies.

The infiltration system for drainage area 1 will be located in the front lawn area. This infiltration system consists of Twelve (12) 'Cultec' stormwater chambers, model '330XLHD', or approved equal, surrounded by crushed stone and filter fabric.

The infiltration system for drainage area 2 will be located in the rear lawn area. This infiltration system consists of four (4) 'Cultec' stormwater chambers, model '330XLHD', or approved equal, surrounded by crushed stone and filter fabric.

Using the dimensions of the chambers, a stone void ratio of 33%, and a design percolation rate of 5 min./inch for drainage study 1 and 6 min./inch for drainage study 2, the peak flow comparison is shown below.

Peak Flow Comparison:

Design	Storm	Existing	Proposed	Net
Point	Event	Peak	Peak Runoff	Change
		Runoff (cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)
1	100 Year	0.62	0.42	-0.20
2	100 Year	0.21	0.08	-0.13

Calculations and additional information are shown in the appendix of this report. Details are shown on the site plan.

Temporary Erosion Control Measures:

The following is an inventory and description of the temporary erosion control devices proposed on this site.

Silt Fence – Silt Fencing consists of a fabric barrier between supporting stakes or posts usually made of wood. The fabric is proposed to capture suspended sediments from construction runoff and also decreases the velocity of the runoff to protect off-site areas. The proposed location of the silt fence is shown on the plans along with details for installing the silt fence.

Anti-Tracking Pad – An Anti-Tracking Pad shall be installed at the construction entrance. The purpose of the Anti-Tracking Pad shall be to dislodge mud, dirt, and debris from construction vehicles prior to these vehicles leaving the construction site. This will ensure the existing roadways are kept clear of sediment. Locations and details of the Anti-Tracking Pad are shown on the plans.

**Construction Sequence:** 

The proposed development is proposed to be constructed in 1 phase. The construction will be in a sequence that will minimize the potential for erosion. Construction is scheduled to begin in the summer of 2024. The general sequence of construction is as follows:

• Stakeout, Erosion Control Measures, Clearing

The initial fieldwork shall consist of surveying and staking for disturbance limits and erosion control installation. All trees to be preserved shall be marked and protected prior to the start of clearing operations. Erosion controls shall be installed as shown on the erosion control plan and as per the respective erosion control details. The tree clearing, if any, shall begin prior to the completion of the entire silt fence. Silt fence should not be installed in areas where tree clearing operations will damage silt fence. The silt fence installation will closely follow the tree clearing operations and will be complete prior to tree stump removal. Tree stump removal shall only begin following the installation of the anti-tracking pad at the construction entrance.

### • Earthwork

After trees/brush/stumps and other vegetation has been removed, the grading operations shall begin and the footing installation will begin. Initial earthwork operations involve the installation of some structural erosion control measures such as soil stockpiles. Any disturbed soil that will not be worked for a period greater than 14 days must be stabilized.

• Grading/Drainage/Utility Installation

The drainage construction shall begin once the footings have cured, been striped, and backfilled. As the drainage system is installed it shall be protected to ensure sediment does not enter the system. Once land disturbing operations are completed, final grading, seeding, sodding, and other soil stabilizing landscaping may be installed. The infiltration systems shall not be put into service until the contributing area is stabilized.

• Removal of Erosion Control Devices

As areas are stabilized, sediment shall be removed and erosion control devices shall be discarded in an appropriate and lawful manor.

Maintenance:

A maintenance chart is below showing typical maintenance schedule of temporary erosion control devices during construction. The maintenance of the erosion control devices is the responsibility of the contractor.

Temporary Erosion Control device maintenance schedule is as follows:

Device	Weekly	Monthly	Bi- annually	Annually	Prior to Significant Rainfall	After Significant Rainfall
Silt fence		Inspect		Inspect	Inspect	Inspect/clean
Anti-tracking pad	Inspect		Restore			Inspect

Conclusion:

The proposed infiltration systems consisting of a total of sixteen (16) 'Cultec' model '330XLHD' stormwater chambers, will mitigate the small increase in stormwater runoff, therefore there should be no adverse impacts due to stormwater as a result of the proposed improvements.

Ralph Alfonzetti, P.E. ALFONZETTI ENGINEERING, P.C.



Appendix A

Site Test Results

DT STW 5-1	
0 - 8"	Top soil
8" – 96"	Mixed Sans
No	Ledge
No	Water

DT STW 5-2		
0-12"	Top soil	
12" - 36"	Sandy Loam	
36" – 98"	Mixed San	
No	Ledge	
No	Water	

PT STW 5-1	12 MIN./IN.
PT STW 5-2	10 MIN./IN.

Appendix B

Hydrologic Soil Group Map (from USDA)



86 Old Byram Lake Road

Appendix B: Hydrologic Soil Group Map (from USDA)



4/1/2024 Page 2 of 4

Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey

Natural Resources Conservation Service

NOS

Hydrologic Soil Group-Westchester County, New York

#### Hydrologic Soil Group

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI		
Ff	Fluvaquents-Udifluvents complex, frequently flooded	A/D	1.2	48.0%		
PnĈ	Paxton fine sandy loam. 8 to 15 percent slopes	с	1.1	43.5%		
PnD	Paxton fine sandy loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes	c	0.2	8.5%		
Totals for Area of Inter	rest	1	2.5	100.0%		

#### Description

Hydrologic soil groups are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four groups according to the rate of water infiltration when the soils are not protected by vegetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms.

The soils in the United States are assigned to four groups (A, B, C, and D) and three dual classes (A/D, B/D, and C/D). The groups are defined as follows:

Group A. Soils having a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravelly sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.

Group B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.

Group C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.

Group D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, soils that have a high water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.

If a soil is assigned to a dual hydrologic group (A/D, B/D, or C/D), the first letter is for drained areas and the second is for undrained areas. Only the soils that in their natural condition are in group D are assigned to dual classes.



Hydrologic Soil Group-Westchester County, New York

#### **Rating Options**

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified Tie-break Rule: Higher



Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey Appendix C

HydroCad Report



#### **INGRAO 86 OLD BYRAM RD**

Prepared by Alfonzetti Engineering, P.C. HydroCAD<sup>®</sup> 9.00 s/n 02177 © 2009 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Printed 4/5/2024

#### Area Listing (all nodes)

Area	CN	Description
(sq-ft)		(subcatchment-numbers)
5,771	72	Woods/grass comb., Good, HSG C (EXDSA1, EXDSA2)
2,577	98	Paved parking, HSG C (PRDSA 1)
403	98	Unconnected pavement, HSG C (PRDSA 1)
2,791	98	Unconnected roofs, HSG C (PRDSA 1, PRDSA 2)
11,542		TOTAL AREA

INGRAO 86 OLD BYRAM R Prepared by Alfonzetti Engin HydroCAD <sup>®</sup> 9.00 s/n 02177 © 20	Type III 24-hr 100 YEAR Rainfall=9.20"         eering, P.C.       Printed 4/5/2024         009 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC       Printed 4/5/2024					
Ti Reach routing	Time span=0.00-72.00 hrs, dt=0.01 hrs, 7201 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method					
Subcatchment EXDSA1:	Runoff Area=4,282 sf 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=5.76" Tc=8.0 min CN=72 Runoff=0.62 cfs 2,056 cf					
Subcatchment EXDSA2:	Runoff Area=1,489 sf 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=5.76" Tc=8.0 min CN=72 Runoff=0.21 cfs 715 cf					
Subcatchment PRDSA 1:	Runoff Area=4,282 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=8.96" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.89 cfs 3,197 cf					
Subcatchment PRDSA 2:	Runoff Area=1,489 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=8.96" Tc=0.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.38 cfs 1,112 cf					
Pond SMS1:	Peak Elev=414.73' Storage=910 cf Inflow=0.89 cfs 3,197 cf Discarded=0.06 cfs 2,855 cf Primary=0.42 cfs 340 cf Outflow=0.47 cfs 3,195 cf					
Pond SMS2:	Peak Elev=415.15' Storage=328 cf Inflow=0.38 cfs 1,112 cf Discarded=0.02 cfs 1,034 cf Primary=0.08 cfs 78 cf Outflow=0.10 cfs 1,112 cf					
Link EXDP1:	Inflow=0.62 cfs 2,056 cf Primary=0.62 cfs 2,056 cf					
Link EXDP2:	Inflow=0.21 cfs 715 cf Primary=0.21 cfs 715 cf					
Link PRDP1:	Inflow=0.42 cfs 340 cf Primary=0.42 cfs 340 cf					
Link PRDP2:	Inflow=0.08 cfs 78 cf Primary=0.08 cfs 78 cf					

Total Runoff Area = 11,542 sf Runoff Volume = 7,080 cf Average Runoff Depth = 7.36" 50.00% Pervious = 5,771 sf 50.00% Impervious = 5,771 sf INGRAO 86 OLD BYRAM RDType III 24-hr 100 YEAR Rainfall=9.20"Prepared by Alfonzetti Engineering, P.C.Printed 4/5/2024HydroCAD® 9.00 s/n 02177© 2009 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

#### Summary for Subcatchment EXDSA1:

Runoff = 0.62 cfs @ 12.11 hrs, Volume= 2,056 cf, Depth= 5.76"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 100 YEAR Rainfall=9.20"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description				
	4,282	72	Woods/gras	Woods/grass comb., Good, HSG C			
	4,282		100.00% Pervious Area				
Тс	Length	Slop	e Velocity	Capacity	Description		
(min)	(feet)	(ft/f	t) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	- 23		



**Direct Entry, Direct Entry** 

#### Subcatchment EXDSA1:



INGRAO 86 OLD BYRAM RDType III 24-hr 100 YEAR Rainfall=9.20"Prepared by Alfonzetti Engineering, P.C.Printed 4/5/2024HydroCAD® 9.00 s/n 02177© 2009 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

#### Summary for Subcatchment EXDSA2:

Runoff = 0.21 cfs @ 12.11 hrs, Volume= 715 cf, Depth= 5.76"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 100 YEAR Rainfall=9.20"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description					
	1,489	72	Woods/gras	Woods/grass comb., Good, HSG C				
	1,489		100.00% Pervious Area					
Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slop (ft/f	e Velocity t) (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description			
8.0					Direct Entry, Direct Entry			

Subcatchment EXDSA2:



**INGRAO 86 OLD BYRAM RD** Type III 24-hr 100 YEAR Rainfall=9.20" Prepared by Alfonzetti Engineering, P.C. Printed 4/5/2024 HydroCAD® 9.00 s/n 02177 © 2009 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

#### Summary for Subcatchment PRDSA 1:

Runoff 0.89 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume= 3,197 cf, Depth= 8.96" =

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 100 YEAR Rainfall=9.20"

Α	rea (sf)	CN	Description	Description			
	1,302	98	Unconnected roofs, HSG C				
	403	98	Unconnected pavement, HSG C				
	2, <mark>5</mark> 77	98	Paved parking, HSG C	_			
	4,282	98	Weighted Average				
	4,282		100.00% Impervious Area	100.00% Impervious Area			
	1,705		39.82% Unconnected				
Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slo (ft/	ope Velocity Capacity Description :/ft) (ft/sec) (cfs)	_			
6.0			Direct Entry, Direct Entry				

#### 6.0



INGRAO 86 OLD BYRAM RDType III 24-hr 100 YEAR Rainfall=9.20"Prepared by Alfonzetti Engineering, P.C.Printed 4/5/2024HydroCAD® 9.00 s/n 02177 © 2009 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLCPrinted 4/5/2024

#### Summary for Subcatchment PRDSA 2:

Runoff = 0.38 cfs @ 12.00 hrs, Volume= 1,112 cf, Depth= 8.96"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Type III 24-hr 100 YEAR Rainfall=9.20"

 Area (sf)	CN	Description
 1,489	98	Unconnected roofs, HSG C
1,489 100.00% Impervious Area		100.00% Impervious Area
1,489	100.00% Unconnected	

#### Subcatchment PRDSA 2:



INGRAO 86 OLD BYRAM RDType III 24-hr 100 YEAR Rainfall=9.20"Prepared by Alfonzetti Engineering, P.C.Printed 4/5/2024HydroCAD® 9.00 s/n 02177 © 2009 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLCVinted 4/5/2024

#### Summary for Pond SMS1:

Inflow Are	a =	4,282 st	f,100.00%	mpervious,	Inflow Depth =	8.96"	for 1	00 YEAR even	ıt
Inflow	=	0.89 cfs @	12.08 hrs,	Volume=	3,197 cf				
Outflow	=	0.47 cfs @	12.23 hrs,	Volume=	3,195 cf,	Atten=	47%,	Lag= 9.1 mir	۱
Discarded	=	0.06 cfs @	10.71 hrs,	Volume=	2,855 cf				
Primary	=	0.42 cfs @	12.23 hrs,	Volume=	340 cf				

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 2 Peak Elev= 414.73' @ 12.23 hrs Surf.Area= 491 sf Storage= 910 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 103.4 min calculated for 3,194 cf (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 102.9 min (842.4 - 739.6 )

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1A	411.70'	368 cf	11.17'W x 44.00'L x 3.54'H Field A
			1,740 cf Overall - 626 cf Embedded = 1,114 cf x 33.0% Voids
#2A	412.20'	626 cf	Cultec R-330XL x 12 Inside #1
			Effective Size= 47.8"W x 30.0"H => 7.45 sf x 7.00'L = 52.2 cf
			Overall Size= 52.0"W x 30.5"H x 8.50'L with 1.50' Overlap
		994 cf	Total Available Storage

Storage Group A created with Chamber Wizard

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Discarded	411.70'	5.000 in/hr Exfiltration over Horizontal area
#2	Primary	414.70'	12.0" x 120.0" Horiz. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600
			Limited to weir flow at low heads

**Discarded OutFlow** Max=0.06 cfs @ 10.71 hrs HW=411.74' (Free Discharge) **1=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.06 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=0.32 cfs @ 12.23 hrs HW=414.73' (Free Discharge) -2=Orifice/Grate (Weir Controls 0.32 cfs @ 0.54 fps) INGRAO 86 OLD BYRAM RDType III 24-hr 100 YEAR Rainfall=9.20"Prepared by Alfonzetti Engineering, P.C.Printed 4/5/2024HydroCAD® 9.00 s/n 02177 © 2009 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLCVertice 4/5/2024

#### Pond SMS1: - Chamber Wizard Field A

Chamber Model = Cultec R-330XL Effective Size= 47.8"W x 30.0"H => 7.45 sf x 7.00'L = 52.2 cf Overall Size= 52.0"W x 30.5"H x 8.50'L with 1.50' Overlap

52.0" Wide + 6.0" Spacing = 58.0" C-C

6 Chambers/Row x 7.00' Long = 42.00' + 12.0'' End Stone x 2 = 44.00' Base Length 2 Rows x 52.0'' Wide + 6.0'' Spacing x 1 + 12.0'' Side Stone x 2 = 11.17' Base Width 6.0'' Base + 30.5'' Chamber Height + 6.0'' Cover = 3.54' Field Height

12 Chambers x 52.2 cf = 625.9 cf Chamber Storage

1,740.1 cf Field - 625.9 cf Chambers = 1,114.3 cf Stone x 33.0% Voids = 367.7 cf Stone Storage

Stone + Chamber Storage = 993.6 cf = 0.023 af

12 Chambers 64.4 cy Field 41.3 cy Stone





#### INGRAO 86 OLD BYRAM RD

Type III 24-hr 100 YEAR Rainfall=9.20" Printed 4/5/2024

Prepared by Alfonzetti Engineering, P.C. HydroCAD® 9.00 s/n 02177 © 2009 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC



INGRAO 86 OLD BYRAM RDType III 24-hr 100 YEAR Rainfall=9.20"Prepared by Alfonzetti Engineering, P.C.Printed 4/5/2024HydroCAD® 9.00 s/n 02177 © 2009 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLCPrinted 4/5/2024

#### Summary for Pond SMS2:

 Inflow Area =
 1,489 sf,100.00% Impervious, Inflow Depth =
 8.96" for 100 YEAR event

 Inflow =
 0.38 cfs @
 12.00 hrs, Volume=
 1,112 cf

 Outflow =
 0.10 cfs @
 12.26 hrs, Volume=
 1,112 cf, Atten= 72%, Lag= 15.7 min

 Discarded =
 0.02 cfs @
 11.10 hrs, Volume=
 1,034 cf

 Primary =
 0.08 cfs @
 12.26 hrs, Volume=
 78 cf

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs Peak Elev= 415.15' @ 12.26 hrs Surf.Area= 179 sf Storage= 328 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 80.4 min calculated for 1,112 cf (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 80.4 min (814.4 - 734.0)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1A	412.30'	170 cf	11.17'W x 16.00'L x 3.54'H Field A
			633 cf Overall - 209 cf Embedded = 424 cf x 40.0% Voids
#2A	412.80'	209 cf	Cultec R-330XL x 4 Inside #1
			Effective Size= 47.8"W x 30.0"H => 7.45 sf x 7.00'L = 52.2 cf
			Overall Size= 52.0"W x 30.5"H x 8.50'L with 1.50' Overlap
		378 cf	Total Available Storage

Storage Group A created with Chamber Wizard

Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices
#1	Discarded	412.30'	6.000 in/hr Exfiltration over Horizontal area
#2	Primary	415.00'	8.0" Vert. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.02 cfs @ 11.10 hrs HW=412.34' (Free Discharge) ↑ 1=Exfiltration (Exfiltration Controls 0.02 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=0.08 cfs @ 12.26 hrs HW=415.15' (Free Discharge) ↑ 2=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 0.08 cfs @ 1.32 fps) INGRAO 86 OLD BYRAM RDType III 24-hr 100 YEAR Rainfall=9.20"Prepared by Alfonzetti Engineering, P.C.Printed 4/5/2024HydroCAD® 9.00 s/n 02177 © 2009 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLCPrinted 4/5/2024

#### Pond SMS2: - Chamber Wizard Field A

Chamber Model = Cultec R-330XL Effective Size= 47.8"W x 30.0"H => 7.45 sf x 7.00'L = 52.2 cf Overall Size= 52.0"W x 30.5"H x 8.50'L with 1.50' Overlap

52.0" Wide + 6.0" Spacing = 58.0" C-C

2 Chambers/Row x 7.00' Long = 14.00' + 12.0" End Stone x 2 = 16.00' Base Length 2 Rows x 52.0" Wide + 6.0" Spacing x 1 + 12.0" Side Stone x 2 = 11.17' Base Width 6.0" Base + 30.5" Chamber Height + 6.0" Cover = 3.54' Field Height

4 Chambers x 52.2 cf = 208.6 cf Chamber Storage

632.8 cf Field - 208.6 cf Chambers = 424.1 cf Stone x 40.0% Voids = 169.7 cf Stone Storage

Stone + Chamber Storage = 378.3 cf = 0.009 af

4 Chambers 23.4 cy Field 15.7 cy Stone





#### INGRAO 86 OLD BYRAM RD

Type III 24-hr 100 YEAR Rainfall=9.20" Printed 4/5/2024

Prepared by Alfonzetti Engineering, P.C. HydroCAD® 9.00 s/n 02177 © 2009 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC



INGRAO 86 OLD BYRAM RDType III 24-hr 100 YEAR Rainfall=9.20"Prepared by Alfonzetti Engineering, P.C.Printed 4/5/2024HydroCAD® 9.00 s/n 02177 © 2009 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLCPrinted 4/5/2024

#### Summary for Link EXDP1:

Inflow A	rea =	4,282 st	f, 0.00% Ir	mpervious,	Inflow Depth =	5.76" fo	or 100 YEAR event
Inflow	=	0.62 cfs @	12.11 hrs,	Volume=	2,056 cf		
Primary	=	0.62 cfs @	12.11 hrs,	Volume=	2,056 cf,	Atten= 0	%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs



#### Link EXDP1:

INGRAO 86 OLD BYRAM RDType III 24-hr 100 YEAR Rainfall=9.20"Prepared by Alfonzetti Engineering, P.C.Printed 4/5/2024HydroCAD\* 9.00 s/n 02177 © 2009 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLCPrinted 4/5/2024

#### Summary for Link EXDP2:

Inflow Ar	ea =	1,489 s	f, 0.00% Imperviou	us, Inflow Depth =	5.76" for	r 100 YEAR event
Inflow	=	0.21 cfs @	12.11 hrs, Volume	= 715 cf		
Primary	=	0.21 cfs @	12.11 hrs, Volume	= 715 cf,	Atten= 0%	%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs



#### Link EXDP2:

INGRAO 86 OLD BYRAM RDType III 24-hr 100 YEAR Rainfall=9.20"Prepared by Alfonzetti Engineering, P.C.Printed 4/5/2024HydroCAD® 9.00 s/n 02177 © 2009 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLCPrinted 4/5/2024

#### Summary for Link PRDP1:

Inflow A	rea =	4,282 9	sf,100.00% Impervious,	Inflow Depth =	0.95"	for 100 YEAR event
Inflow	=	0.42 cfs @	12.23 hrs, Volume=	340 cf		
Primary	=	0.42 cfs @	12.23 hrs, Volume=	340 cf,	Atten=	0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs



#### Link PRDP1:

INGRAO 86 OLD BYRAM RDType III 24-hr 100 YEAR Rainfall=9.20"Prepared by Alfonzetti Engineering, P.C.Printed 4/5/2024HydroCAD\* 9.00 s/n 02177 © 2009 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLCPrinted 4/5/2024

#### Summary for Link PRDP2:

Inflow Ar	rea =	1,489 s	sf,100.00% Impervious,	Inflow Depth =	0.63"	for 100 YEAR event
Inflow	=	0.08 cfs @	12.26 hrs, Volume=	78 cf		
Primary	=	0.08 cfs @	12.26 hrs, Volume=	78 cf,	, Atten	= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs



INGRAO 86 OLD BYRAM RDType III 24-hr 100 YEAR Rainfall=9.20"Prepared by Alfonzetti Engineering, P.C.Printed 4/5/2024HydroCAD\* 9.00 s/n 02177 © 2009 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLCPrinted 4/5/2024

#### Summary for Link PRDP2:

Inflow Are	ea =	1,489 s	f,100.00% Impervious,	Inflow Depth =	0.63"	for 100 YEAR event
Inflow	=	0.08 cfs @	12.26 hrs, Volume=	78 cf		
Primary	=	0.08 cfs @	12.26 hrs, Volume=	78 cf,	Atten	= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs



#### Link PRDP2:



WESTCHESTER COUNTY 17 Bedford Road Armonk, New York 10504-1898

PLANNING DEPARTMENT Adam R. Kaufman, AICP Director of Planning

Telephone: (914) 273-3542 Fax: (914) 273-3554 www.northcastleny.com

### **GROSS LAND COVERAGE CALCULATIONS WORKSHEET**

Applic	ation Name or Identifying Title: Sal Ingrao	Date: <u>April 8, 2</u> 024
Tax M	ap Designation or Proposed Lot No.: 101.03-4-17.2	
Gross	Lot Coverage	
1.	Total lot Area (Net Lot Area for Lots Created After 12/13/06):	2.627 Acres
2.	Maximum permitted gross land coverage (per Section 355-26.C(1)(a)):	<u>15,318.4 s.f.</u>
3.	<b>BONUS</b> maximum gross land cover (per Section 355-26.C(1)(b)):	
	Distance principal home is beyond minimum front yard setback $67.8 \times 10 =$	<u>678 s.f.</u>
4.	<b>TOTAL Maximum Permitted gross land coverage</b> = Sum of lines 2 and 3	15,996.4
5.	Amount of lot area covered by <b>principal building:</b> <u>0</u> existing + 2,791 proposed =	<u>2,791 s.f.</u>
6.	Amount of lot area covered by <b>accessory buildings:</b> 0 existing + $0$ proposed =	<u>0 s.f.</u>
7.	Amount of lot area covered by <b>decks:</b> <u>0</u> existing + <u>403</u> proposed =	<u>403 s.f.</u>
8.	Amount of lot area covered by <b>porches:</b> 0 existing + $0$ proposed =	<u>0 s.f.</u>
9.	Amount of lot area covered by <b>driveway, parking areas and walkways:</b> <u>0</u> existing + <u>2,577</u> proposed =	2,577 s.f.
10.	Amount of lot area covered by <b>terraces:</b> 0 existing + $0$ proposed =	<u>0 s.f.</u>
11.	Amount of lot area covered by <b>tennis court, pool and mechanical equip:</b> 0 existing + $0$ proposed =	<u>0 s.f.</u>
12.	Amount of lot area covered by <b>all other structures:</b> $\underline{0}$ existing + $\underline{0}$ proposed =	<u>0 s.f.</u>
13.	Proposed gross land coverage: Total of Lines $5 - 12 =$	5,771 s.f.

If Line 13 is less than or equal to Line 4, your proposa **popple** with the Town's maximum gross land coverage regulations and the project may proceed to the Residential Project Neview Committee for review. If Line 13 is greater than Line 4 your proposal does not comply with the Town's regulations and **LFO** 

RATA + A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	
Signature and Seal of Professional Prenarioe Worksee	
076215	
POErceinna	
OFESSION	

April 8, 2024 Date



WESTCHESTER COUNTY 17 Bedford Road Armonk, New York 10504-1898

PLANNING DEPARTMENT Adam R. Kaufman, AICP Director of Planning

Telephone: (914) 273-3542 Fax: (914) 273-3554 www.northcastleny.com

### GROSS LAND COVERAGE WORKSHEET

The following format is to be used for all applications for the purpose of demonstrating the gross land coverage of a property as necessary to show compliance with gross land coverage limitations of the Town Code.

- 1. Scaled worksheets are to be prepared based upon a site plan which represents existing or proposed conditions as applicable to the particular circumstances of the approval being sought. All site plans and worksheets are required to be prepared by a licensed or registered professional in the State of New York.
- 2. Each component of the gross land coverage is to be divided into simple polygons (squares, rectangles, etc.) each being drawn on the plan. The area of each polygon is to be shown by providing the dimensions and resulting area measurement. Each polygon is to be assigned an identifying label for reference purposes.
- 3. A summary table for each component is to be completed. The area of each polygon is to be listed by reference label then added, resulting in the gross land coverage for the entire site.
- 4. Any exception of land coverage from the gross land coverage must be identified on the floor plans and summary tables. The rationale for any exception must accompany the floor area worksheets.
- 5. A schematic illustration of the format is shown below (or a schematic illustration with areas computed by CAD)



LOT AREA, NET – Lot area minus seventy five (75) percent of the area of any wetlands, waterbodies and, watercourses, but excluding any adjacent areas, all as defined in Chapter 340 Wetlands and Drainage, of the Town Code, and the area of any steep slopes, as defined Chapter 355, except that in the case of one-family lots, the deduction for steep slopes shall be only fifty (50) percent.

Lot Size	Maximum Permitted Gross Land Coverage for One-Family Dwelling Lots <sup>1</sup> (square feet)
Less than 5,000 square feet	50% of the lot area
5,000 to 9,999 square feet	2,500 plus 30% of the lot area in excess of 5,000 square feet
10,000 to 14,999 square feet	4,000 plus 24% of the lot area in excess of 10,000 square feet
15,000 square feet to 0.499 acres	5,200 plus 18% of the lot area in excess of 15,000 square feet
0.5 to 0.749 acres	6,420 plus 15% of the lot area in excess of 0.5 acres
0.75 to 0.999 acres	8,050 plus 12% of the lot area in excess of 0.75 acres
1.0 to 1.999 acres	9,350 plus 9% of the lot area in excess of 1.0 acres
2.0 acres or more	13,270 plus 7.5% of the lot area in excess of 2.0 acres

\*Permitted gross land coverage limitations for two-family dwelling lots in the R-2F District shall be twenty five (25) percent greater than that permitted for one-family dwelling lots.

NOTWITHSTANDING ABOVE LIMITATIONS, AN ADDITIONAL 10 SQUARE FEET OF GROSS LAND COVERAGE SHALL BE PERMITTED FOR EACH ONE FOOT OF FRONT YARD SETBACK OF THE PRINCIPAL DWELLING IN EXCESS OF THE MINIMUM FRONT YARD SETBACK REQUIRED.

F:\PLAN6.0\Application Forms\2016 Full Set\GROSS LAND COVERAGE CALCULATIONS WORKSHEET 2016.doc



COVERAGE				
PRINIPLE BUILDING	2,791 S.F.			
ACCESSORY BUILDING	0 S.F.			
DECKS	403 S.F.			
PORCHES	0 S.F.			
DRIVEWAY AND WALKWAYS	2,577 S.F.			
TERRACES	0 S.F.			
POOL AND POOL EQUIPMENT	0 S.F.			
ALL OTHER STRUCTURES	0 S.F.			



GLC BACKUP APRIL 8, 2024



125.00° 150 15 59 W	
	Approximate Location of 100 Teor Flood Ploin Side /bro Side /bro Solbock
well	
ZONING TABLETOTAL LOT AREA: 98,750.52 SQUARE FEET (2.267 ACRES)ZONE: ONE-FAMILY RESIDENCE DISTRICT ZONE 'R-2A'CONE: ONE-FAMILY RESIDENCE DISTRICT ZONE 'R-2A'REQUIREDPROPOSEDFRONT YARD50 FT.117.8 FT.SIDE YARD50 FT.347.6 FT.REAR YARD50 FT.30 FT.MAXIMUM HEIGHT30 FT.	tota o teoreta Viana canada e a constructioned a constructioned a constructioned a constructioned a construction of the const
MAXIMUM BUILDING COVERAGE8%2.8%MINIMUM DWELLING UNIT SIZE1,400 S.F.2,790.2DLE DESCRIPTIONSTOP SOIL MIXED SANDPERCOLATION TEST DATATOP SOIL SANDY LOAM MIXED SANDSPT STW 5-112 MIN./IN.TOP SOIL SANDY LOAM MIXED SANDSPT STW 5-210 MIN./IN.	PROPOSED LAYOUT PLAN 1 + 20' $1 + 20'$ $1$



83.25°

-



EARTHWORK

THE DRAINAGE CONSTRUCTION SHALL BEGIN ONCE THE FOOTINGS HAVE CURED, BEEN STRIPED, AND BACKFILLED. AS THE DRAINAGE SYSTEM IS INSTALLED IT SHALL BE PROTECTED TO ENSURE SEDIMENT DOES NOT ENTER THE SYSTEM. ONCE LAND DISTURBING OPERATIONS ARE COMPLETED, FINAL GRADING, SEEDING, SODDING, AND OTHER SOIL STABILIZING LANDSCAPING MAY BE INSTALLED. THE INFILTRATION SYSTEMS SHALL NOT BE PUT INTO SERVICE UNTIL THE CONTRIBUTING AREA IS STABILIZED. • REMOVAL OF EROSION CONTROL DEVICES

### CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE:

THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT IS PROPOSED TO BE CONSTRUCTED IN 1 PHASE. THE CONSTRUCTION WILL BE IN A SEQUENCE THAT WILL MINIMIZE THE POTENTIAL FOR EROSION. CONSTRUCTION IS SCHEDULED TO BEGIN IN THE SUMMER OF 2024. THE GENERAL SEQUENCE OF CONSTRUCTION IS AS FOLLOWS:

• STAKEOUT, EROSION CONTROL MEASURES, CLEARING THE INITIAL FIELDWORK SHALL CONSIST OF SURVEYING AND STAKING FOR DISTURBANCE LIMITS AND EROSION CONTROL INSTALLATION. ALL TREES TO BE PRESERVED SHALL BE MARKED AND PROTECTED PRIOR TO THE START OF CLEARING OPERATIONS.

EROSION CONTROLS SHALL BE INSTALLED AS SHOWN ON THE EROSION CONTROL PLAN AND AS PER THE RESPECTIVE EROSION CONTROL DETAILS. THE TREE CLEARING, IF ANY, SHALL BEGIN PRIOR TO THE COMPLETION OF THE ENTIRE SILT FENCE. SILT FENCE SHOULD NOT BE INSTALLED IN AREAS WHERE TREE CLEARING OPERATIONS WILL DAMAGE SILT FENCE. THE SILT FENCE INSTALLATION WILL CLOSELY FOLLOW THE TREE CLEARING OPERATIONS AND WILL BE COMPLETE PRIOR TO TREE STUMP REMOVAL. TREE STUMP REMOVAL SHALL ONLY BEGIN FOLLOWING THE INSTALLATION OF THE ANTI-TRACKING PAD AT THE CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE.

AFTER TREES/BRUSH/STUMPS AND OTHER VEGETATION HAS BEEN REMOVED, THE GRADING OPERATIONS SHALL BEGIN AND THE FOOTING INSTALLATION WILL BEGIN. INITIAL EARTHWORK OPERATIONS INVOLVE THE INSTALLATION OF SOME STRUCTURAL EROSION CONTROL MEASURES SUCH AS SOIL STOCKPILES. ANY DISTURBED SOIL THAT WILL NOT BE WORKED FOR A PERIOD GREATER THAN 14 DAYS MUST BE STABILIZED. GRADING/DRAINAGE/UTILITY INSTALLATION

AS AREAS ARE STABILIZED, SEDIMENT SHALL BE REMOVED AND EROSION CONTROL DEVICES SHALL BE DISCARDED IN AN APPROPRIATE AND LAWFUL MANOR.

EROSION CONTROL NOTES:

- 1. CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR COMPLIANCE WITH ALL SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL PRACTICES. THE SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL PRACTICES ARE TO BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO ANY MAJOR SOIL DISTURBANCES, AND MAINTAINED IN EFFECTIVE CONDITION THROUGHOUT THE CONSTRUCTION PERIOD OR UNTIL PERMANENT PROTECTION IS ESTABLISHED.
- 2. PRIOR TO ANY EXCAVATION, SILT FENCE SHALL BE INSTALLED AT THE LOCATIONS NOTED ON THE EROSION CONTROL PLAN. ADDITIONAL SILT FENCE MAY BE REQUIRED BY THE ENGINEER IN THE FIELD. SILT FENCING SHALL BE MAINTAINED IN EFFECTIVE CONDITION AND SHALL NOT BE REMOVED UNTIL DISTURBED AREAS ARE THOROUGHLY STABILIZED.
- 3. INSTALL ANTI-TRACKING PAD AT ALL CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCES. ANTI-TRACKING PAD SHALL BE 2"-3" DIAMETER CRUSHED STONE 6" DEEP.
- 4. TIMELY MAINTENANCE OF SEDIMENT CONTROL STRUCTURES IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR. ALL STRUCTURES SHALL BE MAINTAINED IN GOOD WORKING ORDER AT ALL TIMES. THE SEDIMENT LEVEL IN ALL SEDIMENT REMOVING DEVICES SHALL BE CLOSELY MONITORED AND SEDIMENT REMOVED PROMPTLY WHEN MAXIMUM LEVELS ARE REACHED OR AS ORDERED BY THE ENGINEER. SEDIMENT SHALL BE DISPOSED OF IN A MANNER THAT DOES NOT RESULT IN ADDITIONAL EROSION OR POLLUTION. ALL SEDIMENT CONTROL STRUCTURES SHALL BE INSPECTED ON A REGULAR BASIS, AND IMMEDIATELY AFTER EACH RAINFALL TO INSURE PROPER OPERATION AS DESIGNED. ANY REQUIRED REPAIRS SHALL BE MADE IMMEDIATELY.
- 5. ALL TOPSOIL NOT TO BE USED FOR FINAL GRADING SHALL BE REMOVED FROM THE SITE IMMEDIATELY AND PLACED IN A STABILIZED STOCKPILE OR FILL AREA. ALL TOPSOIL REQUIRED FOR FINAL GRADING AND STORED ON SITE SHALL BE LIMED, FERTILIZED, TEMPORARILY SEEDED AND MULCHED WITHIN 14 DAYS OR OTHERWISE STABILIZED. DO NOT STOCKPILE MATERIALS ON STEEP SLOPES, IN DRAINAGE SWALES OR IN WETLAND AREAS. SURROUND ALL STOCKPILE AREAS WITH STAKED HAYBALES OR SILT FENCE.
- 6. ALL SLOPES CONSTRUCTED WITH FILL MATERIAL AND ALL SLOPES WITH GRADE 3:1 OR STEEPER SHALL BE TOPSOILED, SEEDED, MULCHED AND STABILIZED WITH STAKED TOBACCO NETTING, OR EROSION BLANKET AS NOTED, UNLESS OTHERWISE DIRECTED.
- 7. ANY DISTURBED AREAS THAT WILL BE LEFT EXPOSED MORE THAN 14 DAYS AND NOT SUBJECT TO CONSTRUCTION TRAFFIC, SHALL IMMEDIATELY RECEIVE TEMPORARY SEEDING. MULCH SHALL BE USED IF THE SEASON PREVENTS THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A TEMPORARY COVER. DISTURBED AREAS SHALL BE LIMED AND FERTILIZED PRIOR TO TEMPORARY SEEDING.
- 8. ALL DISTURBED AREAS WITHIN 500 FEET OF A BUILDING SHALL BE WETTED AS NECESSARY TO PROVIDE DUST CONTROL. A WATERING TRUCK WILL BE USED IN DRY SEASON TO WET DOWN DUST AREAS.
- THE CONTRACTOR SHALL KEEP THE ROADWAYS WITHIN THE PROJECT CLEAR OF SOIL AND DEBRIS AND IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY STREET CLEANING NECESSARY DURING THE COURSE OF THE PROJECT. 10. ALL CATCH BASINS AND DRAIN INLETS ARE TO BE PROTECTED WITH SEDIMENT
- FILTERS THROUGHOUT THE CONSTRUCTION PERIOD AND UNTIL ALL DISTURBED AREAS ARE STABILIZED.
- 11. UTILITY LINE EXCAVATED MATERIAL SHALL BE TEMPORARILY STOCKPILED ON THE HIGH SIDE OF EXCAVATION SO RUNOFF IS DIRECTED AWAY FROM TRENCH. AFTER BACK-FILLING, AREA IS TO BE TOPSOILED, SEEDED AND MULCHED. 12. SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL STRUCTURES SHALL BE REMOVED AND THE
- AREA STABILIZED WHEN THE DRAINAGE AREA HAS BEEN PROPERLY STABILIZED BY PERMANENT MEASURES. 13. ALL AREAS OF DISTURBED SOIL SHALL BE STABILIZED BY THE CONTRACTOR. IN ADDITION TO ALL SPECIFIED EROSION CONTROL DEVICES, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL TAKE ALL STEPS PRUDENT AND NECESSARY TO STABILIZE THE SITE AT ALL TIMES.
- 14. ALL SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL MEASURES SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CURRENT EDITION OF "NEW YORK STATE STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROLS" (BLUE BOOK).























FLOOR AREA CALCULATIONS	
	PROPOSED
FIRST FLOOR	2,118 sq.f
SECOND FLOOR	2,056 sq.f
*BASEMENT	2,118 sq.f
GARAGE	673 sq.ft
TOTAL FLOOR AREA	4,174 sq.ft

\* BASEMENT EXCLUDED





















